

MONDAY ONLY



A ONE DAY SALE
OF 250 EVENING,
MATINEE & PARTY
**Dresses and
Gowns
at Saving of
\$10.25 to \$22.50**

In addition to these splendid price differences they are the most recent handiwork of New York City's most exclusive modistes—arriving in Omaha by fast express only Friday morning. Many of them are characteristic of the forthcoming styles for next season, and they all show marked superiority to any gowns yet shown in Omaha at even a great advance over the regular price of these.

\$25.00 Dresses & Gowns \$14.75

\$14.75 Exquisite creations in mes-saline, crepe de chine, marquise, voile and novelty Louisens and taffetas—re-seda, maize, helio, corn, sky blue, brown, tan, black, white and practically every correct new shade. Choice of nearly a hundred new styles—**\$14.75.**

\$39.75 & \$47.50 Dresses & Gowns \$25

The wonderful and beautiful colorings and styles in this array of a hundred and fifty garments cannot be told. Persian models with all the beauty and charm of imported gowns, in marquises, hand painted chiffons, Crepe de Chines, Crepe de Metres, etc. No color appropriate for evening or party wear is forgotten in this showing. Choice of this large lot—**\$25.**

Many of these gowns can now be seen in our south window where they have already attracted great attention. The genuineness of the values guarantees busy selling on Monday and we advise your attendance in the morning if possible.

BENSON AND THORNE Co.

Asquith, and Mr. Balfour has been detected whispering to Mr. Lloyd-George.

"If Lord Balfour would be good enough to pass the time of day with Mr. Churchill," says a London paragrapher, "all might be well."

Neither of the principal British parties takes kindly to a solution of the problems of home-rule and a "privilege veto" by the creation of 500 peers to vote the extinction of their own order."

That blow would stagger the whole empire according to an independent commentator in another part of the paper.

Tariff reformers are determined not to allow their specialty to be lost sight of in the din of dispute over other issues. Mr. Balfour's sudden seal-for colonial preference gives them great encouragement. Mr. Lortleton and Bonar Law, who expect to be in the next unicameral movement, if there is one to come, are also advertising "this superb policy." It is their best rallying cry, though Sir Robertson Nicoll, one of the most capable personalities of the Liberal press, implies that Joseph Chamberlain, by revision of this old idea of federation over the Canadian model, provides them with a better shield.

Statement by Churchill.

Colonial preference is created by the liberal critics with hostile hilarity.

Mr. Churchill declared that the cabinet is a unit in opposition to any project which could not be carried out without a tax on food. The fiscal position of the Asquith government shows no signs of weakening.

At the meetings of the labor party, more numerous and more largely attended than ever before, the Osborne judgment which has all but paralyzed the political activities of trade unionism, is a more permanent topic than the veto, home rule or tariff change.

John Redmond has risen to the situation with promptness, dignity and tact, modifying his tone discreetly as conditions have become more and more acute, but not abating one jot of his original demands. As during his absence in the United States a mistaken version of his utterances there led to considerable error here, he has redefined the position of the Irish leaders.

What they want, what Ireland wants, he asserts, is not a parliament on college lines, having sovereignty, but a parliament like the London parliament, put in permanent with at least as much authority over local affairs as is possessed by the parliament of the South African commonwealth and by the legislature of every state in the German empire. He admits that his demand is somewhat less sweeping than Parnell's, but denies that he has yielded a foot of the ground occupied three years ago, when after full deliberation the people of Ireland rejected the policy of revolution.

This definition of home rule by analogies accompanied as it is by Redmond's promise that, if such a measure of justice is given, there will be no part of the empire more loyal than Ireland to the crown, the central authority and the imperial system, strikes the mind of the ordinary Briton favorably.

MEXICANS KILLED IN RIOTS

(Continued from First Page.)

building a door was opened by a woman, who shot the chief. A fight then began between the police and the occupants. A bomb was thrown from one of the windows in the midst of the policemen and civilians, the latter having been called to assist the officers. The bomb exploded, killing many. The other casualties occurred in the course of fighting which took place in the street. So far as known there were no Americans killed.

Revolution is Predicted.

SAN ANTONIO, Tex., Nov. 19.—"A revolution in Mexico is inevitable," said Francisco I. Madero, the pro-electionist candidate for president of Mexico, now in exile in San Antonio, when seen tonight regarding the news from Mexico.

"It may not break loose today, to-mor-

Sister of Slayer of Attorney Thomas at Sturgis, S. D., Tells of Her Erratic Action.

STURGIS, S. D., Nov. 19.—The defense in the case of the state against McMahon was outlined to the jury yesterday morning, the defense being insanity. The defendant's sister, Mrs. Brooks, narrated the life history of the defendant, showing that the defendant had brain fever two years ago, resulting in the loss of the sight of one eye. She also testified to various erratic actions of the defendant for a number of years and her melancholy, nervous condition at the time of the tragedy. Evidence of various threats by Thomas were introduced. Defendant may take the stand this afternoon.

The defense concluded the introduction of testimony at 5 o'clock today when the attorneys for the defendant announced that they rested. The afternoon was taken up in the testimony of various witnesses as to the action and state of mind of the defendant. The defendant took the witness stand in her own behalf and related a series of incidents having reference to her insanity, suffered by her at the hands of the deceased, David Thomas. She testified that Thomas frequented her home uninvited and in spite of her protests, entering the home without invitation or knocking at the door, coming frequently at night, and if the doors were locked, broke them open. She testified the deceased made improper proposals to her and tried to induce her to sell what property she could, insure the balance and he would burn it and collect the insurance; that in this manner they could clear up a large sum of money and leave the country. She testified the deceased threatened her life, accused her of poisoning her former husband and that he would retain possession of her deceased husband's law office, insure the law books in his own name and burn them and collect the income.

The defense introduced a number of witnesses who testified that when the defendant passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant

passed them on the street after the shooting she exclaimed: "I shot him, but did not intend to."

Two physicians on behalf of the defense

testified that when the defendant