

BRIEF CITY NEWS

Nav. Root Print II. Take your printing to the Times. Thomas W. Blackburn for congress. Electric Fans—Burgess-Grandsen Co. Best Dry Cleaning of garments. Twin City Dye Works, 437 South Fifteenth.

Every Dollar Placed with the Nebraska Savings and Loan Ass'n. helps to earn money. Six per cent per annum credited semi-annually. Board of Trade Bldg. Six Months for Burgess-Grandsen Co. convicted in district court on a burglary charge, has been sentenced by Judge Estelle to serve six months in the county jail.

In the Divorce Court—Theresa B. Collins has been put for divorce from Charles J. Collins, alleging extreme cruelty. Susie B. Willis asks a divorce from Harry Willis, charging desertion.

Candidates May Withdraw Till Tuesday—An announcement comes from the county clerk's office that withdrawals from the various county political races may be made up to and including August 1.

New Station on Burlington—J. H. Toller-son has been appointed as station master of the new station, Amherst, on the Burlington. The place is located fifty-nine miles east of Sterling in Colorado, and will begin business August 1.

Insurer for Insurance—Agnes Andrews, mother of the late Ernest G. Andrews, has brought suit against Sovereign camp, Woodman of the World, for the sum of \$1,000. She states that her son was insured in the Woodmen lodge for this amount, but that the company has refused to pay.

Thirty New Paving Districts—The city engineer's office is planning to advertise for bids on thirty new paving districts which have been recently ordered by the city council. None of these will be paved until next year, however, as the paving money is all expended. The estimate will be put in so the contracts can be signed up January 1, when more money is at hand.

Traveler Is Overcome—Heinrich Frederick Wisch of Trullin, Germany, a man 60 years old, arrived at the Union station Thursday in agony from a bad case of acute indigestion. He was enroute from Hoboken, N. J., to Portland, Ore. The station authorities had Dr. S. H. Smith attend to him at once and it was thought that perhaps an operation would be needed to save him.

Asks \$5,000 for Broken Well—Queenie Gillen has filed suit against the firm of Byrne & Oswell, plumbing contractors for \$5,000. She claims that whileConnell was in the cellar at her home examining plumbing work, he left open a trap door leading to the cellar, and that she, not knowing the trap door was open, fell into it breaking her wrist and sustaining other painful injuries.

No More Stopovers—Stopovers of length on little or no cause will not be allowed by the railroads of the country after October 1 of this year. For some time the railroads have been trying to get together on this question and now an agreement of practically all the large lines of the United States that wherever the stopover reader to extend the time limit beyond the original extent of the ticket it shall not be allowed.

Shawhan Happy at Bryan's Fall

Says He is Ready to Die Since Bryan Read His Own Funeral Sermon.

"Dug" Shawhan, of the merchants, is chewing the juicy out of contentment because Bryan has died. The puckering string was in at Grand Island," says Shawhan, in his picturesque way, "and we simply pulled it tight."

Odd Mistakes Are Made by Painters

Signs on the Windows Are Often Quite Ludicrous from Errors.

Sign painters are at work on various windows of the City National bank building putting on gilt lettering to advertise the tenants about to move in. None of these sign painters has so far slipped up in spelling, which is the highest record that the industry has achieved by other local "artists."

M'COOK IS RECOVERING FROM ITS SCARLET FEVER

Home Town of Congressman Norris Has Been Having a Siege.

McCook, Neb., is just beginning to emerge from a gloomy state of affairs, according to Omaha visitors from that section. McCook is the county seat of Red Willow county, and is the junction point of several railroad lines. Sometime since scarlet fever broke out in the town. The disease was in a mild form, so mild at first that proper precautions to prevent its spread seem to have been neglected.

Excursion Rates

K. of P. Encampment, Milwaukee—Knights Templar, Conclave, Chicago. Tickets on sale via the Chicago & North-western railway, daily July 25, 26, 27 and 28. Account K. of P. Encampment, Milwaukee; sold daily August 5, 6 and 7, account Knights Templar, Conclave, Chicago. Great opportunity for sightseeing in these beautiful convention cities and to visit the many adjacent famous summer resorts, lakes and streams of Wisconsin and northern Michigan. For tickets and full information apply to any ticket agent.

OMAHA ON THE AERO MAP

Application Blank Received from Federation Aeronautique.

LOCAL MEN TO BUY AEROPLANES

Next Year May See Omaha Enthusiasts Riding Across the Fields Around This City—Meet a Success.

The Aero Club of Nebraska is about to advise the Aero Club of America, an intention of some standing in time, but which has not been hitherto carried out owing to the rush of getting the aviation meet under way.

A blank form of application at hand states "that we hereby agree if our application is accepted to abide by the articles of affiliation as shown in the year book of the Aero Club of America, and we agree to uphold the rules and regulations of the Federation Aeronautique International and the Aero Club of America."

All members of the Nebraska club are not just sure what is the "Federation Aeronautique," but all think it sounds well.

Omaha's aviation meet has really put it on the aeronautical map and the flight of Cyrcles here have been reported all over the country. The meet has resulted among other things in a pronounced desire upon the part of some venturesome men to buy aeroplanes and they will be in the market for their next year.

Cyrcles declare that aeroplanes will never become quite so popular as motor cars because people will be compelled as a rule to fly so high that other people will not be able to observe the proud and haughty occupants. This being observed, according to the cyrcles, is one of the chief joys of riding about in an automobile, the vulgar mob of pedestrians being supposed to look with admiration or envy upon the motorists.

The cyrcles further assert that the bearing and attitude of man-people sitting in cars confirms their belief. But, of course, all this is only the view of cyrcles.

Artesian Water in Great Demand

Interest Renewed in Proposition to Secure Flowing Well in Bayliss Park.

Anxiety to get the clear, sparkling and cool water that issues in a never-failing stream from the artesian well at the Upper Broadway sends daily crowds there to get the water that break all previous records. The stream is not of generous proportions, and it requires some little time to fill the various-sized vessels that are continuously presented. These range in size from the supply of tin cups maintained by the generosity of Mr. Hafer to four-gallon pails, and the waiting list often reaches a score or more.

Thousands of people daily carry away the water in addition to the other thousands who go a long way out of their route to get a cool drink. With the exception of the horse fountain at Broadway and Fourth street it is the only place in town where the thirsty can find relief without seeking it inside the places where they get something stronger. In the gray of the morning dawn one will find some persons there seeking the cooling water, and when the town is locked in sleep at midnight the procession has only slightly thinned.

The popularity of this little spring, for that is all it really is, indicates how grateful people would be for a real fountain gushing clear and pure water on the street. And this revived the proposition to sink a deep artesian well in Bayliss park. Property owners around the park and others nearby have expressed a willingness to aid in such an enterprise, and an amount of approximately \$1,000 has been offered. It is said the well would cost about \$6,000.

Sundell Bound Over for Trial

Man Charged with Manslaughter Waives Preliminary Hearing and Furnishes Bond.

C. Sundell, the man charged with manslaughter for running down and causing the death of a 5-year-old boy, Harry Drees, was bound over to the district court under \$5,000 bonds by Judge Crawford Thursday morning. Sundell waived preliminary examination.

He was represented at the hearing by Attorney C. L. Waldron. The county was represented by Deputy County Attorney Platt. Sundell furnished bond.

JUST HOW HOT IT WAS TWO CATERPILLARS LEARNED

Youngsters Tried to Crawl Three Feet on Cement Sidewalk and Died in Attempt.

Two little caterpillars led simultaneously from their native haunts on the grassy lawn of a residence on the corner of Broadway and 16th street, to the sidewalk of the same residence, where they were subjected to a severe test of their endurance. The state is spotted in this manner, showing poor farming more than poor land. The average yield of wheat in this state would in two years be increased to twenty-four bushels with a little care, and the corn yield could be raised ten bushels to the acre.

CLUB SETS UP ROAD SIGNS

Omaha Automobile Club is Having Signs Painted for Places on Outlying Roads.

E. H. Sprague, president of the Omaha Automobile club, announced Thursday that the club is having a number of signs painted to be placed on all the roads leading into Omaha, and pointing the way. He says this move is being taken thirty days ahead of Towles, Kan., which was reported previously to have carried it out.

Our Letter Box

Contributions on timely subjects are especially invited. We are glad to receive them from our readers.

Church and State in England. OMAHA, July 27.—To the Editor of The Bee: Some months ago I had occasion to call attention to a glaring misstatement of Mr. Frederic J. Haekin on the position of the clergy of the church of England, in the matter of public education. I said then, as I repeat now, that whenever a man attempts to constitute himself, offhand, an encyclopedist of universal knowledge he must of necessity risk to fall a thousand times.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

Another misstatement, which was, however, an outward form of truth, though untrue in fact: "The heads of the church, the archbishops of Canterbury and York and a great number of the bishops are appointed by the political leaders of the country." That is true to this extent: The prime minister of the day is the prime minister of the king, while he is in office. Under the English constitution the king nominates to the several cathedra chapters some reputable priest of the church, or the bishop of an inferior see, or a suffragan bishop. The duty of nominating this man is first committed to the prime minister of the day, who may be anything or nothing in religion, but as a matter of fact and history, the only prime ministers of England who have not been, at least nominally, members of the Church of England, are Balfour and Mr. Asquith. Balfour, I am almost certain, was not so appointed absolutely to any bishopric. He nominates to the king, the king, who must be a member of the Church of England, can, and may, and does sometimes veto the nomination of the prime minister. As often as otherwise, often perhaps the king is consulted, and his wishes govern the prime minister's nomination. That was notably so in the days of Queen Victoria, both as to first nomination and to its veto. It was so in the case of Edward VII.

It was an open secret that the present bishop of London, as well as the archbishop of York, were exalted to their present positions by the desire of King Edward. They were both young men without great social or political influence. They were appointed to their present positions, the one by Balfour, the other by Asquith, in fact by Edward himself.

Again Mr. Haekin says: "As a matter of fact, the head of the Anglican church may be appointed by a Jewish Disraeli, an Unitarian Chamberlain, an agnostic Balfour or a dissenting Asquith, quite as often as by an Anglican Gladstone." As a matter of fact and history, Disraeli, whilst a Jew by blood, was an Anglican in religion. Mr. Chamberlain was, and is, a Unitarian, but he was never prime minister and, of course, never appointed the head of the Anglican church. Balfour doubtless is a Jew by blood, but he was never prime minister, and he was never appointed the head of the Anglican church.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

Another misstatement, which was, however, an outward form of truth, though untrue in fact: "The heads of the church, the archbishops of Canterbury and York and a great number of the bishops are appointed by the political leaders of the country." That is true to this extent: The prime minister of the day is the prime minister of the king, while he is in office. Under the English constitution the king nominates to the several cathedra chapters some reputable priest of the church, or the bishop of an inferior see, or a suffragan bishop. The duty of nominating this man is first committed to the prime minister of the day, who may be anything or nothing in religion, but as a matter of fact and history, the only prime ministers of England who have not been, at least nominally, members of the Church of England, are Balfour and Mr. Asquith. Balfour, I am almost certain, was not so appointed absolutely to any bishopric. He nominates to the king, the king, who must be a member of the Church of England, can, and may, and does sometimes veto the nomination of the prime minister. As often as otherwise, often perhaps the king is consulted, and his wishes govern the prime minister's nomination. That was notably so in the days of Queen Victoria, both as to first nomination and to its veto. It was so in the case of Edward VII.

It was an open secret that the present bishop of London, as well as the archbishop of York, were exalted to their present positions by the desire of King Edward. They were both young men without great social or political influence. They were appointed to their present positions, the one by Balfour, the other by Asquith, in fact by Edward himself.

Again Mr. Haekin says: "As a matter of fact, the head of the Anglican church may be appointed by a Jewish Disraeli, an Unitarian Chamberlain, an agnostic Balfour or a dissenting Asquith, quite as often as by an Anglican Gladstone." As a matter of fact and history, Disraeli, whilst a Jew by blood, was an Anglican in religion. Mr. Chamberlain was, and is, a Unitarian, but he was never prime minister and, of course, never appointed the head of the Anglican church. Balfour doubtless is a Jew by blood, but he was never prime minister, and he was never appointed the head of the Anglican church.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

Another misstatement, which was, however, an outward form of truth, though untrue in fact: "The heads of the church, the archbishops of Canterbury and York and a great number of the bishops are appointed by the political leaders of the country." That is true to this extent: The prime minister of the day is the prime minister of the king, while he is in office. Under the English constitution the king nominates to the several cathedra chapters some reputable priest of the church, or the bishop of an inferior see, or a suffragan bishop. The duty of nominating this man is first committed to the prime minister of the day, who may be anything or nothing in religion, but as a matter of fact and history, the only prime ministers of England who have not been, at least nominally, members of the Church of England, are Balfour and Mr. Asquith. Balfour, I am almost certain, was not so appointed absolutely to any bishopric. He nominates to the king, the king, who must be a member of the Church of England, can, and may, and does sometimes veto the nomination of the prime minister. As often as otherwise, often perhaps the king is consulted, and his wishes govern the prime minister's nomination. That was notably so in the days of Queen Victoria, both as to first nomination and to its veto. It was so in the case of Edward VII.

It was an open secret that the present bishop of London, as well as the archbishop of York, were exalted to their present positions by the desire of King Edward. They were both young men without great social or political influence. They were appointed to their present positions, the one by Balfour, the other by Asquith, in fact by Edward himself.

Again Mr. Haekin says: "As a matter of fact, the head of the Anglican church may be appointed by a Jewish Disraeli, an Unitarian Chamberlain, an agnostic Balfour or a dissenting Asquith, quite as often as by an Anglican Gladstone." As a matter of fact and history, Disraeli, whilst a Jew by blood, was an Anglican in religion. Mr. Chamberlain was, and is, a Unitarian, but he was never prime minister and, of course, never appointed the head of the Anglican church. Balfour doubtless is a Jew by blood, but he was never prime minister, and he was never appointed the head of the Anglican church.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

Another misstatement, which was, however, an outward form of truth, though untrue in fact: "The heads of the church, the archbishops of Canterbury and York and a great number of the bishops are appointed by the political leaders of the country." That is true to this extent: The prime minister of the day is the prime minister of the king, while he is in office. Under the English constitution the king nominates to the several cathedra chapters some reputable priest of the church, or the bishop of an inferior see, or a suffragan bishop. The duty of nominating this man is first committed to the prime minister of the day, who may be anything or nothing in religion, but as a matter of fact and history, the only prime ministers of England who have not been, at least nominally, members of the Church of England, are Balfour and Mr. Asquith. Balfour, I am almost certain, was not so appointed absolutely to any bishopric. He nominates to the king, the king, who must be a member of the Church of England, can, and may, and does sometimes veto the nomination of the prime minister. As often as otherwise, often perhaps the king is consulted, and his wishes govern the prime minister's nomination. That was notably so in the days of Queen Victoria, both as to first nomination and to its veto. It was so in the case of Edward VII.

It was an open secret that the present bishop of London, as well as the archbishop of York, were exalted to their present positions by the desire of King Edward. They were both young men without great social or political influence. They were appointed to their present positions, the one by Balfour, the other by Asquith, in fact by Edward himself.

Again Mr. Haekin says: "As a matter of fact, the head of the Anglican church may be appointed by a Jewish Disraeli, an Unitarian Chamberlain, an agnostic Balfour or a dissenting Asquith, quite as often as by an Anglican Gladstone." As a matter of fact and history, Disraeli, whilst a Jew by blood, was an Anglican in religion. Mr. Chamberlain was, and is, a Unitarian, but he was never prime minister and, of course, never appointed the head of the Anglican church. Balfour doubtless is a Jew by blood, but he was never prime minister, and he was never appointed the head of the Anglican church.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

Another misstatement, which was, however, an outward form of truth, though untrue in fact: "The heads of the church, the archbishops of Canterbury and York and a great number of the bishops are appointed by the political leaders of the country." That is true to this extent: The prime minister of the day is the prime minister of the king, while he is in office. Under the English constitution the king nominates to the several cathedra chapters some reputable priest of the church, or the bishop of an inferior see, or a suffragan bishop. The duty of nominating this man is first committed to the prime minister of the day, who may be anything or nothing in religion, but as a matter of fact and history, the only prime ministers of England who have not been, at least nominally, members of the Church of England, are Balfour and Mr. Asquith. Balfour, I am almost certain, was not so appointed absolutely to any bishopric. He nominates to the king, the king, who must be a member of the Church of England, can, and may, and does sometimes veto the nomination of the prime minister. As often as otherwise, often perhaps the king is consulted, and his wishes govern the prime minister's nomination. That was notably so in the days of Queen Victoria, both as to first nomination and to its veto. It was so in the case of Edward VII.

It was an open secret that the present bishop of London, as well as the archbishop of York, were exalted to their present positions by the desire of King Edward. They were both young men without great social or political influence. They were appointed to their present positions, the one by Balfour, the other by Asquith, in fact by Edward himself.

Again Mr. Haekin says: "As a matter of fact, the head of the Anglican church may be appointed by a Jewish Disraeli, an Unitarian Chamberlain, an agnostic Balfour or a dissenting Asquith, quite as often as by an Anglican Gladstone." As a matter of fact and history, Disraeli, whilst a Jew by blood, was an Anglican in religion. Mr. Chamberlain was, and is, a Unitarian, but he was never prime minister and, of course, never appointed the head of the Anglican church. Balfour doubtless is a Jew by blood, but he was never prime minister, and he was never appointed the head of the Anglican church.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

Another misstatement, which was, however, an outward form of truth, though untrue in fact: "The heads of the church, the archbishops of Canterbury and York and a great number of the bishops are appointed by the political leaders of the country." That is true to this extent: The prime minister of the day is the prime minister of the king, while he is in office. Under the English constitution the king nominates to the several cathedra chapters some reputable priest of the church, or the bishop of an inferior see, or a suffragan bishop. The duty of nominating this man is first committed to the prime minister of the day, who may be anything or nothing in religion, but as a matter of fact and history, the only prime ministers of England who have not been, at least nominally, members of the Church of England, are Balfour and Mr. Asquith. Balfour, I am almost certain, was not so appointed absolutely to any bishopric. He nominates to the king, the king, who must be a member of the Church of England, can, and may, and does sometimes veto the nomination of the prime minister. As often as otherwise, often perhaps the king is consulted, and his wishes govern the prime minister's nomination. That was notably so in the days of Queen Victoria, both as to first nomination and to its veto. It was so in the case of Edward VII.

It was an open secret that the present bishop of London, as well as the archbishop of York, were exalted to their present positions by the desire of King Edward. They were both young men without great social or political influence. They were appointed to their present positions, the one by Balfour, the other by Asquith, in fact by Edward himself.

Again Mr. Haekin says: "As a matter of fact, the head of the Anglican church may be appointed by a Jewish Disraeli, an Unitarian Chamberlain, an agnostic Balfour or a dissenting Asquith, quite as often as by an Anglican Gladstone." As a matter of fact and history, Disraeli, whilst a Jew by blood, was an Anglican in religion. Mr. Chamberlain was, and is, a Unitarian, but he was never prime minister and, of course, never appointed the head of the Anglican church. Balfour doubtless is a Jew by blood, but he was never prime minister, and he was never appointed the head of the Anglican church.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

Another misstatement, which was, however, an outward form of truth, though untrue in fact: "The heads of the church, the archbishops of Canterbury and York and a great number of the bishops are appointed by the political leaders of the country." That is true to this extent: The prime minister of the day is the prime minister of the king, while he is in office. Under the English constitution the king nominates to the several cathedra chapters some reputable priest of the church, or the bishop of an inferior see, or a suffragan bishop. The duty of nominating this man is first committed to the prime minister of the day, who may be anything or nothing in religion, but as a matter of fact and history, the only prime ministers of England who have not been, at least nominally, members of the Church of England, are Balfour and Mr. Asquith. Balfour, I am almost certain, was not so appointed absolutely to any bishopric. He nominates to the king, the king, who must be a member of the Church of England, can, and may, and does sometimes veto the nomination of the prime minister. As often as otherwise, often perhaps the king is consulted, and his wishes govern the prime minister's nomination. That was notably so in the days of Queen Victoria, both as to first nomination and to its veto. It was so in the case of Edward VII.

It was an open secret that the present bishop of London, as well as the archbishop of York, were exalted to their present positions by the desire of King Edward. They were both young men without great social or political influence. They were appointed to their present positions, the one by Balfour, the other by Asquith, in fact by Edward himself.

Again Mr. Haekin says: "As a matter of fact, the head of the Anglican church may be appointed by a Jewish Disraeli, an Unitarian Chamberlain, an agnostic Balfour or a dissenting Asquith, quite as often as by an Anglican Gladstone." As a matter of fact and history, Disraeli, whilst a Jew by blood, was an Anglican in religion. Mr. Chamberlain was, and is, a Unitarian, but he was never prime minister and, of course, never appointed the head of the Anglican church. Balfour doubtless is a Jew by blood, but he was never prime minister, and he was never appointed the head of the Anglican church.

Mr. Haekin's misstatement: "The establishment of the church by law means the incorporation of the law of the church into the law of the land." That was never true, and it was never less true than it is today. The law of the church never became the law of the land, first, because it was not acted by Parliament since the Norman conquest or by the "wittengemot" during the Saxon period, or else promulgated by royal authority when Parliamentary authority was in abeyance. Today the struggle is to prevent the law of the church from being incorporated into the law of the land.

ownership any landlord in England has of any church. Where the right of presentation still rests in the owner of the estate, as a condition of the original gift of trust, the landlord owns that right, but otherwise he has no lawful right beyond that of the humblest parishioner, except he may usurp it. That is no worse than some of our own ecclesiastical abuses in this land today, when the possession of wealth oftentimes puts it owner in the front seats and pushes the poor toward or outside the doors of some of our Mammon worshipping churches. In England the patron may present his nominee to the bishop for institution; yet if he do so, regardless of the man's morals or of error in religion, the bishop can refuse to institute him, and he can only be compelled to do so by decree of a court. A bishop, of course, may fail to do his plain duty, or a civil court may override his righteous refusal, but that may happen in this land, where church and state are as far separate as they can be in any land. Upon the whole, the ecclesiastical conditions in England work out as satisfactorily as ours do here. So long as men and ecclesiasticals are not ideal men, just so long will no system of ecclesiastical jurisdiction or action prove ideal. It is just as true of the state as of the church. We are what we are. God helps us. So is Mr. Haekin. Some saint and much sinner.

JOHN WILLIAMS.

For, From and After. OMAHA, July 27.—To the Editor of The Bee: Sometimes a proposition carries most of the meaning of a sentence and makes the connection between the verbal structure and the dynamo of thought.

Running for office is nothing remarkable in this country, but when a person has to run from office, as Mr. Roosevelt has to do, there is an uncommon and interesting spectacle. And the honor of this situation is much greater than that of running for office. Many people seem not to recognize this fact. How many ever have an opportunity to do any running of this kind? It is a rare chance. Happy is he who is wise enough to be content with such high honor and leave the other propositions to his less fortunate countrymen.

Now, there is another and very different spectacle presented by simply changing the proposition. Under our present election laws there is something interesting to students of zoology in the herds of two-legged animals running after office. The glory of having one's picture on telephone poles and barn doors and saloon windows and having one's name in all kinds of mouths and mixed with all kinds of chewing tobacco is very alluring to many citizens who, in the course of evolution, have become able to assume the upright position and walk part of the time on two feet and serve as jurymen.

Mr. Burns says the defeated candidate, "if a fellow don't get into the shuffle he shure won't get no office. And in this country you never can tell who'll turn up on top. Nuthin' like tryin', anyhow. Maybe the advertiser'll 'pay me. Don't you know, running for (or) office is mostly an advertiser's' scheme, anyhow."

BERIAH F. COCHRAN.

Burns Tells of Nebraska Crops

Says that Poor Crops Are Due to Bad Methods Rather Than to Poor Soil.

John T. Burns, secretary-treasurer of the Dry Farming Congress of the world, was in Omaha for a short time Thursday and conferred with several of the prominent grain and railroad men on the crop question and on the coming session of the congress, the fifth in number to be held this year in Spokane, Wash., on October 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Mr. Burns states that in his opinion the association of dry farmers is doing the most practical work of an agriculture nature of any organization in the world. The entire energy of the members is devoted to exclusive dry farming, that is the encouragement of the development of the lands that have been arid.

"There are in the world today 5,000,000,000 acres of land possible of cultivation which are going to waste, and 300,000,000 acres of this amount is in the territory west of the Missouri river in the United States," said Mr. Burns. "Our object is to open to settlement this land and to teach the farmers before they start on it the proper method to raise successful crops."

"Any territory which has from eight to twenty-five inches of yearly rain is susceptible of cultivation, although, of course, atmospheric conditions are different. For instance in Montana sixteen inches of rainfall will do just as much good to the crops as twenty-five inches would elsewhere. "In my trip through Nebraska, I noticed that in many places a splendid crop of corn and other grain would be growing on one farm and then going on a few miles away different methods of farming had been used and the crop would be a failure. The state is spotted in this manner, showing poor farming more than poor land. The average yield of wheat in this state would in two years be increased to twenty-four bushels with a little care, and the corn yield could be raised ten bushels to the acre."

"That's mah caterpillar that's gones ahead. Bet you foush dollars mah mine makes it an' you shudn't do it," said the negro. "Tee done take de bet," responded the other, and both watched the struggle. The blistering heat of the cement made the hairy coats of the insects smoke. They writhed and struggled onward. Five-ten-fifteen feet they crawled, and then they were crushed. One of the men tossed a bit of cool watermelon rind ahead of them, and both eagerly sought its shade. Again they struggled on. To lend new encouragement, pieces of July melon rind were scattered a line clear across the cement. The heroic travelers bravely struggled from one piece to another, but the tragedy ended when they found a space where seven inches intervened. They lifted their eyes and gazed full into the face of the pitiless sun, then slowly sank.

CLUB SETS UP ROAD SIGNS

Omaha Automobile Club is Having Signs Painted for Places on Outlying Roads.

E. H. Sprague, president of the Omaha Automobile club, announced Thursday that the club is having a number of signs painted to be placed on all the roads leading into Omaha, and pointing the way. He says this move is being taken thirty days ahead of Towles, Kan., which was reported previously to have carried it out.

Our Entire Stock of Manhattan Shirts AT CUT PRICES Commencing Friday Morning \$1.50 and \$1.75 Manhattans \$1.15 \$2.00 Manhattans \$1.38 \$2.50 and \$3.00 Manhattans \$1.88 Store Closes at 5 P. M. Nebraska Clothing Co. "The House of High Merit." Store Closes at 5 P. M.

Our New Store Opens Saturday, July 30th At 308 South 18th Street Opposite Court House Carrying the finest line of imported goods ever placed on the Omaha market.

We will be pleased to have you call at any time for the purpose of inspecting our goods. Our salesman can then explain their merits and the proper ways of preparing them, thus enabling you, without experimenting, to get satisfactory results.

Antionetti Olive Oil has a pleasing, delicate flavor that is due entirely to the grade of olives used. Calbas Olive Oil as a medicinal agent is unequalled because of its absolute purity.

Olives (green or ripe) exceptional as to quality. They are the choicest part of the entire crop. Egg Plant Salad is a delicious summer dish, pleasantly seasoned, wholesome and appetizing.

Artichokes, plain and in tomato sauce, are rare treats at this season and are especially prepared from the choicest varieties. Peeled Italian Tomatoes are much the same in appearance as the domestic grade. The difference lies in the flavor and quality.

Imported Tomato Sauce, highly seasoned and with a flavor that is unequalled. Tunny Fish in Olive Oil is a pleasing dish, with a delicious flavor due to its having been prepared in olive oil—light and wholesome food for summer.

Genuine Imported Macaroni is not to be compared with the ordinary kind—to test its superiority try it, and you'll never use another kind. Genuine Imported Spaghetti will no longer be a rare treat. Can be bought in any quantity.

In buying Olive Oil, whether for use on salads or as a medicinal agent, get that which has been proven absolutely free of cottonseed or other adulterants. The "Antionetti" and the "Calbas" has We recommend its use because it's cheapest in the end to buy the best.

A. Minardi & Co. 308 South 18th Street. Opposite Court House