

The only form of food made from wheat that is all nutriment is the soda cracker, and yet—the only soda cracker of which this is really true is

Uneed a Biscuit

- The only soda cracker scientifically baked.
- The only soda cracker effectually protected.
- The only soda cracker ever fresh, crisp and clean.
- The only soda cracker good at all times.

5¢ in a dust tight, moisture proof package.

NATIONAL BISCUIT COMPANY

BRIEF CITY NEWS.

New Store—New goods. Clothing for men and women, hats, shoes, furniture, carpets, draperies, stoves—Cash or credit. Union Outfitting Co., 215-219 Farnam St.

Harry Scott or Turner Wanted—A telegram from Beale Scott, Leavenworth, Kan., requests the Omaha police to locate one Harry Scott, or Turner, and notify him that his mother is dead. No person bearing either name has as yet been found in the city.

Mahogany Display Case—George Rogers, the cigar man, is much elated over the arrival of a fine mahogany display case lined with plate mirrors and bevel glass doors. The case is eight or nine feet high with a marble base. The work was done by Alfred Bloom company.

City Wins the Case—The jury in the suit of Jacob Green against the City of Omaha returned a verdict in the district court Wednesday in favor of the defendant. Green sued for \$2,000 damages, alleged to have been sustained by driving into an excavation made for laying city sewers.

Fred W. Shottwell's New Job—Fred W. Shottwell, formerly private secretary to Congressman John L. Kennedy, has been appointed to a remunerative position in the office of the treasurer of the Battle Mountain San. sm. Hot Springs, S. D. Mr. Shottwell will leave for Hot Springs Wednesday evening.

Federal Jury Resumed Work Friday—There being no cases ready for trial by jury in the federal courts the petit jury was excused Wednesday morning until Friday morning. In the meanwhile the court is listening to motions and demurrers and the assignment of trial cases. Both Judges W. H. Munger and T. C. Munger are presiding at court.

Little Hip Protectors for Dogs—Little Hip, the performing elephant, appears this week at the Orpheum, visited Mayor Dahlman Wednesday afternoon to protest against the muzzling of dogs. The animal was brought up in the elevator by Conductor Johnson and was in the custody of his trainer and manager, Reiter, of the Orpheum. Hip was introduced to Mayor Jim in the mayor's office and seemed to relate highly the honor thus conferred.

Deals in Residences—Mrs. Agnes J. Webb has bought the two-story residence at 219 Pacific street from the W. Farnam Smith company for \$4,000. The house is new and entirely modern. The first story being constructed of brick. The W. Farnam Smith company has also sold two lots near Twenty-fifth and Spencer streets, which will be improved. James R. Johnson bought one of the lots and will build a \$1,000 home. The other lot was sold to Ferdinand Ryden, who is building a home to cost about \$2,000.

South Omaha Case Deferred—The suit of Frank Walbeber against the mayor and council of South Omaha was continued by Judge Redek Wednesday until April 16, as it was impossible for H. B. Fiehart, city attorney for South Omaha, to be present. Walbeber has secured a restraining order against the mayor and city council preventing them from paying out any of a sum of \$40,000 appropriated for purchasing and improving a tract of land for city park purposes. The plaintiff showed that more than \$25,000 had been expended to purchase of land alone, leaving less than \$15,000 for improvement.

Funeral of Eugene O. Loomis—The funeral of the late Eugene Overton Loomis will take place on Thursday at 2 p. m., from the residence, 229 Capitol avenue, the Rev. T. J. Mackey officiating. A Delmore Cheney will sing a solo. The pallbearers will be Edward J. Monaghan, Ralph Hayward, William P. Byrne, Robert B. Ballman, Andrew B. McConnell and Charles E. Lathrop. At least two of his surviving brothers are expected to arrive here today from the east to attend the funeral. A brother-in-law, Mr. Fernold, has already arrived here from St. Paul, Minn. The burial will be at Forest Lawn.

Mangum & Co. LETTER SPECIALISTS. Building Permits. The following building permits have been issued: A. Beck, 295 Miami, \$2,000 dwelling; M. E. Kendall, 505 North Twenty-sixth avenue, two 11.000 dwellings; Joseph Cudaky, Thirty-eighth avenue and Dodge, \$15,000 brick dwelling and \$3,000 concrete barn; John Hultgren, Twenty-second and Camden, \$1,700 dwelling; McCague Investment company, 287 Leavenworth, \$2,000 store building; Charles Newstrom, 233 Decatur, \$1,500 dwelling; Fred Lansing, 253 Franklin, \$2,000 dwelling; K. Buratay, 343 California, \$2,500 dwelling; W. O. Wead, Twenty-fourth and Harney, 1000 pairs.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

REALTY MEN TALK ETHICS. Some Think It Wrong to Take Options Unless Sale is Practically Certain. Professional ethical problems were discussed at length at the regular weekly meeting of the Real Estate exchange Wednesday at the Commercial club, the questions of taking options and securing exclusive sale rights on property being generally discussed by members of the exchange. D. V. Sholes gave it as his opinion that options should not be taken unless the chances for sale were reasonably sure, as the securing of an option at a high price tended to give the owner a fictitious value of the actual value of the property. Mr. Sholes also thought newspaper advertising should not be made of property without having the exclusive sale privileges of it. W. H. Gates broached the question of whether it was proper for a broker to sell property advertised by another broker without consulting the latter.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

County Court Keeps Power Not Deprived of Authority Over Juveniles by Operation of New Law. The operation of the juvenile court law does not take from the county court the jurisdiction which it has always held over delinquent children. This is the opinion of Judge Troup as expressed Wednesday, when he sustained the motion of the Nebraska Children's Home society to quash the application of Charles Holton for a writ of habeas corpus. Holton lives in Nebraska City and his children were placed in the home by order of the county court. Judge Troup held that the operation of the juvenile court in no way curtails the powers of the county court.

CERTAINLY THE ORANGE BELT

Omaha Proves Claim to Summer Resort Through Action of Colonel Ryder. "I was at Valley yesterday," said John J. Ryder, clerk of the Board of County Commissioners Wednesday morning. "What was you doing there?" asked County Auditor Smith. "Picking oranges," said the colonel, without "batting an eye." "Picking oranges?" exclaimed Mr. Smith. "Yes," drawled Mr. Ryder. The answer could not have been more nonchalant if it had been in answer to the remark that it was a fine day. Mr. Ryder went on writing in a large book. Mr. Smith looked at him and then sadly shook his head. "Too bad, too bad," he said to James Ruan, who happened to be in the room. "Yes, it is," acquiesced Mr. Ruan, and the two men regarded Mr. Ryder sadly. "So young, too, and with a bright future before him," continued Mr. Ruan, and Mr. Smith nodded his head slowly and sadly. Mr. Ryder looked up. "Were you speaking?" he asked. "Yes, he is coming to," exclaimed Mr. Smith. "Hope he will not get violent," said Mr. Ruan. Then up rose Colonel Ryder. He opened a drawer and took from it a big yellow object hanging from a long twig, with the green leaves still attached. The two men came forward. "I said I was picking oranges," said Mr. Ryder, "and here's the proof." Mr. Ryder accompanied the commissioners on a trip through the country Tuesday and he picked oranges from one of the trees of Plover, Nightingale at Valley. The fruit is large, the skin very thin and the flavor is said to be unsurpassed. Mr. Nightingale expects to have an exhibit of oranges, lemons and pomegranates grown in Douglas county at the next A-S-B-B celebration. His two "maligners" withdrew their insinuations after admiring the fruit.

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Dr. Miller on Dog Fights. Genial President of Humane Society is Determined to Win. Muzzles Barbarous, Mayor Mistaken Habes Caninus a Rare Disease and Popular Error Alone Responsible for the Uproar Made Against the Dog. "How do you like your dog fight as far as you have got?" was the question which a Bee reporter asked Dr. Miller yesterday. The doctor said in reply: "I don't like dog fights. I never did. I spent much time and some money in stopping real dog fights in Omaha when I was a much younger man than I am now. I suppose you mean by my dog fight the fight I am making against official and other forms of cruelty to the dog. I am sorry that I was forced by the city council and Mayor Dahlman into another controversy in defense of the dog and society against an inhuman wrong. I tried hard to avoid it, but through Chief Donahue by appeals to it through Mayor Dahlman before he issued his proclamation and publicly afterward. But Mayor Dahlman was defiant to the point of insolence, and as president of the Nebraska Humane Society and as a citizen I had to fight against it as I am now fighting, or brand myself a craven and a coward. I know the cost and the pain of contending against a tradition which feeds on fears of the old mad dog ghost. Men sneer who do not know and call names, but I sometimes call back. Not in nearly two generations have our homes been threatened by that instrument of torture—the muzzle. The great New York Times said a few days ago, 'No gentleman would put a muzzle on a dog.'"

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great London practitioner and teacher, never saw a case of rabies in his great practice in the greatest city in the world, but he accepted of what had been taught to him by others. And so it goes. The average medical man of our day falls into line, accepts all he reads about the musty old tradition, and every wild cry of 'Mad dog, mad dog!' is accepted by him as a correct diagnosis."

DR. MILLER ON DOG FIGHTS

Genial President of Humane Society is Determined to Win. Muzzles Barbarous, Mayor Mistaken Habes Caninus a Rare Disease and Popular Error Alone Responsible for the Uproar Made Against the Dog. "How do you like your dog fight as far as you have got?" was the question which a Bee reporter asked Dr. Miller yesterday. The doctor said in reply: "I don't like dog fights. I never did. I spent much time and some money in stopping real dog fights in Omaha when I was a much younger man than I am now. I suppose you mean by my dog fight the fight I am making against official and other forms of cruelty to the dog. I am sorry that I was forced by the city council and Mayor Dahlman into another controversy in defense of the dog and society against an inhuman wrong. I tried hard to avoid it, but through Chief Donahue by appeals to it through Mayor Dahlman before he issued his proclamation and publicly afterward. But Mayor Dahlman was defiant to the point of insolence, and as president of the Nebraska Humane Society and as a citizen I had to fight against it as I am now fighting, or brand myself a craven and a coward. I know the cost and the pain of contending against a tradition which feeds on fears of the old mad dog ghost. Men sneer who do not know and call names, but I sometimes call back. Not in nearly two generations have our homes been threatened by that instrument of torture—the muzzle. The great New York Times said a few days ago, 'No gentleman would put a muzzle on a dog.'"

Mad Dog Theory. "But how do you account for the popular instance upon the mad dog theory—who is responsible for it?" "I will answer that in this way: for hundreds of years the Christian world was taught to believe in a literal physical hell of fire and brimstone, and other forms of punishment. In the meantime, however, to suffer everlasting punishment at the hands of an avenging God. The Holy Bible said, 'so, and the man in the pulpit who dared to doubt or failed to preach the horrible doctrine would have been in danger of being burned at the stake. I distinctly remember hearing all this monstrous stuff preached when I was a boy, and even after I was a man. I was there in my pulpit in America who would dare to preach doctrine now to an average congregation of white men and women? But it took more than an hundred years in our country for ministers of Christ to break away from the shackles imposed upon them by religious superstition, intolerance and bigotry. Why? Because if they had dared to speak from their conscience and their convictions they would have been arraigned, tried by ecclesiastical court organized to convict them of heresy, branded as religious infidels and cast into the sea. How do you now look on Lowlands in those days. This is an illustration of my answer to the Bee's very pertinent question which, as I come to it, is this: Medical teachers teach what they have been taught to teach about rabies canis, and the greater and bigger the dog, the greater the punishment he must receive. Dr. Thomas Watson, for instance, the great