

NEWS OF INTEREST FROM IOWA

COUNCIL BLUFFS

Office, 10 Pearl St. Tel. 42.

RESERVE FUND IS INTACT

Treasurer of Danish Brotherhood Did Not Have the Money in His Custody

VAULTS OF FIRST NATIONAL IN OMAHA

Each of Three Trustees Has a Key to the Strong Box and All Three of the Keys Are Necessary to Open It.

The reserve fund is impaired or that the Danish Brotherhood will lose one dollar by the defalcation of Supreme Treasurer Eklidson of Chicago was officially denied yesterday by Supreme Secretary J. Michaelson of Omaha and Supreme Trustee Peterson of this city.

Supreme Secretary Michaelson made the following statement as the press dispatches from Racine and Chicago, he said, were misleading and incorrect:

The reserve fund, which amounts to \$24,000, was not in Eklidson's hands. It was and is safely under the custody of the First National bank of Omaha. There are three separate and different keys to the deposit vault and each of the three supreme trustees is custodian of one of the keys.

Consequently the reserve fund cannot be touched or the deposit vault opened except by the three trustees.

Former Treasurer Eklidson's shortage cannot exceed \$1,000 and probably will not be that much. In any case, the Danish Brotherhood will not lose a dollar, as Eklidson was bonded by two surety companies in the aggregate sum of \$20,000.

At the recent quadrennial convention of the order in Council Bluffs it was decided to make a radical change in the method of keeping the funds of the order. Heretofore Treasurer Eklidson, who had been re-elected by the convention, was directed to transfer at once all funds of the order in his possession to the Commercial National bank of Chicago.

Eklidson said he had some business to attend to in Iowa and that he could not return to Chicago at that time, but would meet the committee there October 15, when he would make the transfer. When the committee went to Chicago on the date named Eklidson was not there and the money was not transferred as directed. The shortage, which had been suspected, then became apparent.

The executive committee, acting under the authority imposed on it, appointed Ror Rasmussen of Chicago as supreme treasurer of the convention in this city having elected Mr. Rasmussen as Eklidson's alternate.

Under the new system of keeping the funds of the order, an appeal upon the recent convention would be hardly any chance of a defalcation by the treasurer. All checks drawn on the funds of the order are to be countersigned by the president and secretary and the bank is to make a statement of the deposits and disbursements. This monthly statement will then be copied and sent to each of the three trustees. All funds will first pass through the hands of the secretary, who will keep account of them and in the future the treasurer could not possibly get away

with more than one month's receipts. If he should wish to be dishonest.

Mr. Michaelson said he regretted the press reports which had emanated from Racine and Chicago, as they would lead the members of the order throughout the country, not otherwise informed, to believe that the order had lost its entire reserve fund, as well as the balances in the various other funds.

Combination gas and electric chandeliers and the celebrated Washburn incandescent gas burners. Why not see us before you buy. We can certainly please you in price and quality of goods. Stephan Bros., 129 West Broadway.

You get the lowest price, exact terms and best guarantee on your plans when purchased at A. Hoop Co., 35 South Main St., Council Bluffs.

KIERSTED MAY BE HERE TODAY

Has Report on the Water Works Matter Completed.

The regular monthly session of the city council is scheduled for this evening and it is possible that William Kiersted, an expert employed to make a computation of the value of the present water works plant and estimate of the cost of a new one, may be here today and submit his report at the meeting tonight.

Councilman Wallace, chairman of the special water works committee, stated last evening that he expected Mr. Kiersted here today, although he had no definite assurance from Mr. Kiersted to that effect. In his letter to Councilman Wallace, received by the latter last Wednesday, Mr. Kiersted newly stated that he had his report completed and hoped to be in Council Bluffs in a few days. Mr. Kiersted is fully aware that the council holds its regular meeting on the first Monday in the month and this includes Councilman Wallace to believe that he will be here today. Other members of the council, however, did not look for Mr. Kiersted until after election. Not the slightest information as to the nature of Mr. Kiersted's report has been received here.

At tonight's meeting the committee on fire and light, to which was added the water and electric light committee, will report on the disposition of the twenty or more additional lights under the new lighting contract with the Citizens' Gas and Electric company, and the re-location of several of the old ones. Each councilman has had the disposition of two new lights in his respective ward and the remainder have been located in the business center of the city.

Our highest ambition is, when we frame a picture for you, to do it right, to please our customers. Perhaps you have a picture that needs framing. Bring it to our store and let us see what we can frame it for. We'll do it as reasonable as it possibly can be done. Council Bluffs Paint, Oil and Glass Company, Merriam block.

The famous Acorn stove; nothing in the market like it for heat, economy, durability and neatness. We make the most reasonable price on stoves in the city, considering the quality. We handle a large stock of carpets, rugs and oilcloths. D. W. Keller, 105 South Main street.

Reopening of Bloomer School. The Bloomer school has been closed for one week on account of the principal, Miss Hardin, and two or three of the pupils being attacked with diphtheria. While closed the building has been thoroughly fumigated and disinfected and the school authorities believe there will be no danger in reopening the school today.

Superintendent Clifford stated yesterday that everything possible had been done during the week the school had been closed to give it a most thorough disinfecting, and after a conference with the health authorities, it had been decided that there would be no danger in reopening the school this morning.

Miss Hardin was reported yesterday to be nearly convalescent, and as far as was known there were no new cases of the dread disease among the children attending the school. The last case reported was that of the little daughter of Rev. and Mrs. Marcus P. McClure, pastor of the First Presbyterian church, which developed Saturday. There is nothing to indicate that there is any epidemic of diphtheria among the school children.

If you want the best light to be had by your burners and mantles at W. A. Maurer's, Lindsay burners complete, 7c.

You can't afford to have your carpets and rugs beaten in the old-fashioned way when you can have them thoroughly cleaned by our process at such moderate cost, without injury to the finest fabric. Bring down four last winter's suits and let us make it look like new. Council Bluffs Cleaning and Rug Factory, 24 North Main St.

Mrs. Sophia Eves Dies Suddenly. Mrs. Sophia Eves, wife of S. H. Eves, died suddenly yesterday morning at her home just outside of the city limits in Lewis township from heart trouble. Mrs. Eves and her husband, after partaking of breakfast, were preparing to drive into the city to attend church. Mr. Eves went out to the barn to hitch up and on returning to the house discovered his wife lying unconscious on the floor. Medical assistance was secured as soon as possible, but Mrs. Eves never regained consciousness and two hours later passed away. She was 75 years of age and of late had been in somewhat poor health. Besides the husband she leaves two daughters, Mrs. J. Watson of Millbank, S. D., and Miss Mary Eves of Oklahoma; and two sons, William T. of Oklahoma and E. S. of O'Neill, Neb. Mr. and Mrs. Eves had only been residents of Lewis township about four years.

MALONEY CIGAR CO., 29 PEARL ST., COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA. DISTRIBUTORS FOR THE ROBERT BURNS ICE CREAM AND LITTLE BOBBIE OLD TIMES AND ERRIS ICE CIGARS.

CENTRAL FLOUR-MILL. Every sack warranted. Central Grocery and Meat Market. Both phones 54.

A revolution in home decorating—Maratta, protected by patent; very expensive, but a labor saver. See it and get prices on your work if you are going to decorate. Pictures and framing artistically done. Clearing out our wall paper, and new, clean stock to select from. W. S. HEWETSON, Masonic Temple, Broadway and Fourth street, Council Bluffs.

Polling Places Tuesday. These are the polling places in the several precincts of the city:

First Ward—First precinct, 122 East Broadway; Second precinct, 26 East Broadway.

Second Ward—First precinct, 23 Bryant street; Second precinct, 14 West Broadway.

Third Ward—First precinct, 101 Fourth street; Second precinct, 131 South Main.

Fourth Ward—First precinct, county courthouse; Second precinct, 1202 Fourth Main.

Fifth Ward—First precinct, county building, corner Fifth avenue and Tenth street; Second precinct, county building, corner Tenth street and Fifteenth avenue.

Sixth Ward—First precinct, county building, 12th and 13th streets, county building.

STUDENTS WATCH ATLANTIC

Report on Municipal Owned Plants of Great Interest.

RAILROADS FAIL TO KEEP UP TO DATE

Trains Increase More Rapidly Than Equipment to Handle It—Provided—Closing Up Campaign.

(From a Staff Correspondent.)

DIX MOINES, Nov. 4.—(Special.)—Because of its being one of the first impartial and absolute authentic reports on the condition of a municipal plant the report of the water meter on the account of the city of Atlantic is considered by students of municipal affairs one of the most important. The city of Atlantic owns both its water works and electric light plants and there is agitation at this time in the city for the sale of the electric light plant.

The water meter was submitted to a vote of the people before the plant can be sold and the sale involves with it the letting of a franchise to an electric railway. The figures filed with the state auditor by the inspectors of city accounts for both the water works and electric light plants cover a period from April 1, 1905, to September 30, 1905. In the case of the electric light plant the receipts from consumers were \$88,072.35 for the five and a half years, and the expenditures were \$21,761.35, less \$3,000, which was illegally transferred to the water works fund to help pay for the water works plant. The net saving, which had cost the city but \$2,000 for five years and a half. During that period, however, there was paid into the treasury from an electric light tax the sum of \$12,000.34, but the fund was entirely used in supplying consumers with the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12,000.34, showing that the plant could operate and pay all expenses without taxation. The showing should even be better than this, for in the items of expenditure there are a large number of items that really amount to nothing, such as the \$3,000 illegally transferred, would make \$12