

Telephone Douglas 613.

Bee, October 9, 1906.

Suits and Cloaks

The Finest in Omaha

No woman will wisely choose her winter suit or coat without first seeing the collection assembled here, for it is the broadest showing made in Omaha, and nowhere in the greater cities are more and finer styles to be seen. We have concentrated on women's suits and coats, made a specialty of them, as it were, and in our great gathering is every good new style; such excellent workmanship as appeals at once to discriminating taste and such exceptional values as appeal to everybody. Come Wednesday and view the beautiful styles.

The best \$10.00 coat in America to be seen in our model Cloak Department.

Second floor.

Open Saturday Evenings.

THOMPSON BELDEN & CO.

Howard Cor. Sixteenth Street.

locked a side door. Mr. Chambers did not see the man. He knows it was three minutes past 10 when he got his keys and started outside to go to the side door. He asked a man, the time before he left the dancing hall.

The police still decline to disclose the identity of the two persons who saw the man at Twenty-fifth and Farnam. Several arrests of suspicious characters, both black and white, have been made in the last twenty-four hours and several of those arrested have been released. Jim Diggs, the negro who was charged with the murder of Frank Wheeler several months ago, has been lodged in jail, but the police do not say that his arrest has any connection with the investigation of the Rummelhart murder. Nat Crowder, a colored man from Creston, Ia., was arrested Monday evening on suspicion. A telegram from Chief Donahoe to Creston Tuesday established the fact that Crowder was in Creston Saturday night.

The man's handkerchief found at the scene of the murder is held under lock and key and no one outside of the detectives is allowed to see it. Captain Mostyn says the initials on it can be plainly distinguished, but it is imperative to keep them secret.

One Important Link.

Rumors in abundance were unearthed by the police Tuesday. One report was that a colored man had been seen by a woman and her little boy heading for the railroad tracks at Forty-fifth and Dodge streets late Saturday night, evidently for the purpose of hopping a train. This story was investigated Tuesday morning by Detective Mitchell and Stephen and reporter for The Bee, but no one in the vicinity could be found who had seen this negro.

During the canvass of the neighborhood bounded by Forty-third and Forty-fifth streets and Dodge and Hamilton streets a clue was unearthed which may prove to be of the utmost importance. Mrs. John Lynch of 128 North Forty-third avenue said that a suspicious-looking negro had been seen by her Saturday about noon. She was able to give a most accurate description of the colored man. Mrs. Lynch said he was about five feet seven inches tall, weighing about 140 or 150 pounds, and when seen by her was wearing a light-colored tan soft hat, which was badly soiled, a black coat and vest and a pair of dark trousers with an indistinct stripe.

"When I first saw the man he was slant-

ing on Davenport street, near the corner of Forty-first street, and my attention was first attracted to him by his slouching from a clear sky came the brutal murder of the woman whom we all knew and loved for her blameless, upright life.

"Her terrible death at the hands of an unidentified assassin shocked the entire city and the thought that it was something so clear as possible to the ground in the weeds to avoid being seen by a man on a bicycle who was coming toward the corner on Forty-first street," said Mrs. Lynch. "After the man on the wheel went by he turned westward my house, and entered the front yard and sat for a few minutes on my front steps. Then he came up on the porch and tried the front door, when he saw me standing in the window, and then went around to the north side of the house and looked in our kitchen window. This frightened me so I got my revolver from the front back door, opened the back door and told him he'd get a taste of the revolver if he didn't get away real quick. When he saw the revolver he moved away from the house, but swore at me some, and then he turned around and came toward me as he walked up Davenport street toward Forty-first street, where I lost sight of him, as he went over the hill."

Negro with a Stick.

Saturday afternoon Chief of Detectives Savage unearthed a bit of information which has a bearing on the mystery and which he considers reliable. One of his men interviewed a girl who was accused by a negro Saturday night shortly after 10 o'clock on Dodge street half way between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth streets. Mr. Savage will not disclose the name of the girl. She says the man had a stick in his hand. He stopped her and asked her if she knew where Mr. Patterson lived. She was so frightened at the time that she is unable to give a description, but she plainly recognized the man to be a negro.

More Negroes Arrested.

A negro named Marshall Brown, about 40 years of age, was arrested Tuesday afternoon by Detective Patulla on suspicion of being implicated in the Dodge street tragedy. The negro has a gray mustache and gray side whiskers. He claims to have been working Friday and Saturday at Twenty-fifth and Farnam streets. He is being held for further investigation.

Another colored man, giving the name of Ben Wright, was arrested Tuesday afternoon at 913 Jackson street by Officers Goodrich and Aughe. He is a very heavy-set negro and very black, with a bad cut over the left eye, which was bleeding when he was arrested. He is about 35 years of

perfectly. He said that the party he had in mind would bear watching. The three were joined by Captain Turgott and all went out on a search for the missing man. The search was continued nearly all night, but without results.

MISS RUMMELHART Laid at Rest

Mourning by Many Friends Who Attend Her Funeral.

The funeral of Miss Josephine Rummelhart was held yesterday afternoon from the residence of her brother, Joseph Rummelhart, 111 North Forty-first street. A large number of friends of the deceased had gathered to pay the last respects to the woman who had lost her life in such a brutal manner, and numerous beautiful floral decorations were sent by loving friends until one end of the room was a solid bank of flowers.

Taking for his text the words, "Be still and know that I am God," Rev. J. V. Carlson said in part:

"At the close of a week of general sorrow for us all, it is a thoughtful thing to see a clear sky come over the ground from a clear sky came the brutal murder of the woman whom we all knew and loved for her blameless, upright life.

"Her terrible death at the hands of an unidentified assassin shocked the entire city and the thought that it was something so clear as possible to the ground in the weeds to avoid being seen by a man on a bicycle who was coming toward the corner on Forty-first street," said Mrs. Lynch. "After the man on the wheel went by he turned westward my house, and entered the front yard and sat for a few minutes on my front steps. Then he came up on the porch and tried the front door, when he saw me standing in the window, and then went around to the north side of the house and looked in our kitchen window. This frightened me so I got my revolver from the front back door, opened the back door and told him he'd get a taste of the revolver if he didn't get away real quick. When he saw the revolver he moved away from the house, but swore at me some, and then he turned around and came toward me as he walked up Davenport street toward Forty-first street, where I lost sight of him, as he went over the hill."

"Death could never come too suddenly for such a one as Miss Rummelhart."

"I cannot close without a word of warning to the young people of this city, especially to the young women, who sometimes, by their actions, place themselves in the danger which this time fell upon one who was absolutely blameless."

"I am not here to present a case against the perpetrator of this horrible crime; I am here to try to give you consolation as may be possible to those who are mourning."

Relatives from out of town who had come to the funeral were: Mrs. Mammie Hansen of St. Louis, a sister; Mrs. Celia Kurek of Iowa City, a sister; and Mrs. Gross of Iowa City, a sister. The services were read by Rev. J. V. Carlson, pastor of the Zion Lutheran Swedish church. The pallbearers were P. A. Edquist, Thomas S. Kelley, J. D. Daly, O. L. Wohlford, J. G. Gross and L. L. Jacobson. Interment was at Prospect Hill cemetery.

TWO WOMEN SEE STRANGE MEN

Guests of W. W. C. A. Home Encounter Pair at Fatal Corner.

Louise Larson of Woburn and Eva Jacobson of Cushing, Ia., while passing Twenty-sixth and Dodge streets after 10 o'clock Saturday evening, noticed two suspicious looking men standing on a corner. The young women, burrowing out of the place, the Scandinavian Young Women's Christian association, one block distant. The women told Miss Matilda Berg, Miss Larson's cousin, that one of the men appeared intoxicated, but neither made any attempt to get closer to the men, as they were their homes Sunday. Miss Berg did not learn whether the men referred to were white or colored.

BURKE INQUEST AND REWARD

(Continued from First Page.)

station between 8 and 9 o'clock Sunday evening with two other young men. They gave an accurate description of young Burke, even to the clothes and hat he wore, before Captain Haze had described him to them. When they were shown a photograph of young Burke, they both recognized it without hesitancy. The description of the two young men accompanying Burke is withheld for prudential reasons.

Captain Haze expressed the opinion that young Burke may have fallen off the ash car, but cannot understand how it was that the body should have been found lying so far from the car. He has made no other discoveries that could throw any further light on the mystery.

Young Man with Girl.

A significant incident was told by a street car conductor to the effect that about 9 o'clock Sunday night a young man and young woman got onto his car at Ames avenue and rode out to Florence. The young man answered a partial description of young Burke, but not an accurate one. The young man asked the conductor when the next car would come out and at what time they could return. They left the car at Florence and he saw nothing more of them. "They did not return on his car."

This incident was not inquired into at the inquest. Another story is current that Captain Haze has found a conductor who identified Burke as one of three young men who were on the car on Sunday night. One of the men was tall and the other short. They stood on the rear platform and all appeared to be sober. However, Captain Haze made no reference to this incident in his testimony before the coroner's jury.

FUNERAL OF HERBERT G. BURKE

Body Will Be Buried at Forest Lawn Cemetery.

The funeral of Herbert G. Burke will be held Wednesday, Oct. 11, at 2 p. m. from the residence of his aunt, Mrs. P. J. Dale, 212 Farnam street, with interment at Forest Lawn cemetery. Friends are invited to attend the funeral. Mrs. George Burke, mother of Herbert Burke, and his sister, Miss Rita Burke, who is two years older than he, arrived from Lincoln Monday night to attend the funeral. Miss Burke is attending the University of Nebraska. Other relatives in the city are: Mrs. P. J. Dale, a sister of Mrs. Burke; Mrs. W. S. Beecher, a sister of Mrs. Burke, and her children, and Mr. Charles Burke, a brother of Herbert Burke's father. Mr. Burke was in the commission business with his brother at South Omaha and continues the business after the death of his brother, which occurred about six weeks ago. Other relatives attending the funeral will be: E. S. Nichols of Columbus, Mrs. Louise Archer of Columbus, W. J. Rickly and daughter of Dundee, W. J. Rickly and wife of South Omaha and Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Baldwin of this city.

SUGAR CASE INDICTMENTS

New York Grand Jury Returns Eight Bills to Recent Rebate Affair.

NEW YORK, Oct. 9.—Eight indictments in the so-called sugar rebate case were handed down by the October federal grand jury, which completed its labors today. The indictments were found earlier in the year, but were never officially reported until today.

The indictments were as follows: Against the American Sugar Refining company, the American Sugar Refining company of New York and C. Goodlow Edgar and Earl Egan for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; and against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York.

Against the American Sugar Refining company, the American Sugar Refining company of New York and C. Goodlow Edgar and Earl Egan for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; and against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York.

Against the American Sugar Refining company, the American Sugar Refining company of New York and C. Goodlow Edgar and Earl Egan for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; and against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York.

Against the American Sugar Refining company, the American Sugar Refining company of New York and C. Goodlow Edgar and Earl Egan for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; and against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York.

Against the American Sugar Refining company, the American Sugar Refining company of New York and C. Goodlow Edgar and Earl Egan for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; and against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York.

Against the American Sugar Refining company, the American Sugar Refining company of New York and C. Goodlow Edgar and Earl Egan for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; and against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York.

Against the American Sugar Refining company, the American Sugar Refining company of New York and C. Goodlow Edgar and Earl Egan for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the New York Central Railroad company; and against the Western Transit company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company and the American Sugar Refining company of New York.

Turn Your Face Into Dollars

Many a Man Has Failed Because His Face Was a Picture of Calamity.

It takes sunshine to produce a rose, a perfect rose. And so man, to be successful, must have sunshine inside. The life which has it not, which has no health and no happiness, is sour, surly, pessimistic, and a failure. The world already has too many vinegar faces that breathe ill-will and strife. The world wants joy, comfort, sunshine and will cling to the man who who radiates gladness and triumph wherever he is and under all circumstances.

Some people have a genius for seeking out the disagreeable, the crooked, the bad and the ugly. These are the destroyers; they travel in schools, they herd together, they love their kind, and the cheerful part of the world will have nothing to do with them.

And why is it that so many people disaster, knowing at the same time that if they do, their lives will be ruined? Some people cannot help it, for pessimism usually comes from bodily disorders, and this cannot always be prevented. The stomach, for instance, is the most common cause of discontent, sour face, rockiness, disgust and lack of ambition. A bad stomach—there is the secret of many a failure. Anyone can have a good stomach, a strong stomach, a stomach that can take care of anything and everything that is put into it, no matter whether it is a very bad stomach now or not. Then why not have it?

Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets do this very thing. No ingredient of these little tablets digests 1,000 grains of food, and no matter how bad is your dyspepsia or indigestion, these tablets will digest everything in your stomach thoroughly and completely, and better and quicker than a healthy stomach can do the same thing. Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets will cure quickly loss of appetite, brash, irritation, burning sensation, nausea, heartburn, eructations, loss of vim and spirit, bad memory, and dyspepsia and indigestion in their very worst forms.

No other little tablet in the world can do so much. You should carry Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets around with you wherever you go and take them after meals. Then only will you realize what it is to enjoy a meal, and what perfect digestion means. Your whole body and your mind will feel the effects; your vim will increase, you will be more satisfied with what the world does, you will think happier and be happier and your face will be one of supreme contentment. That will bring you success and then more success. The young women burrowing out of the place, the Scandinavian Young Women's Christian association, one block distant. These wonderful Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets, at any drug store on earth.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

against the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad company for giving rebates to the American Sugar Refining company, and the American Sugar Refining company of New York; against the American Sugar Refining company of New York for receiving rebates from the Northern Steamship company; against the New York Central & Hudson River railroad for giving rebates to the Brooklyn Cooperage company; against the Brooklyn Cooperage company for receiving rebates from the New York Central & Hudson River railroad.

FAX CASES ARE POSTPONED

Railroads Gain Point So Far as the Larger Counties Are Concerned.

LOSE OUT ON ONE IMPORTANT POINT

Court Has No Jurisdiction Where Amount Is Less Than \$2,000, and in These Counties Railroads Must Pay Up.

(From a Staff Correspondent.)

WASHINGTON, Oct. 9.—(Special Telegram.)—Notwithstanding the protest of Attorney General Brown against postponement of the argument in the tax cases of the Union Pacific and Burlington railroads against certain county treasurers in Nebraska, the cases have gone over until a full supreme court bench is present to hear oral arguments. The court, however, overruled the railroads' appeal on the question of jurisdiction. This means that those counties in which the taxes sought to be collected by the railroads were less than \$2,000 will receive the tax in full with 10 per cent interest for two years. When the railroads secured their first temporary injunction against the collection of their taxes the state filed an answer objecting to the jurisdiction of the federal court in those cases where the taxes enjoined were less than \$2,000. The state was sustained in the lower court and an appeal was taken to the United States supreme court. These appeals have now been dismissed and it is claimed by lawyers there is no other recourse for the railroads in these cases and they will have to pay the taxes where the amount enjoined is less than \$2,000.

The following table shows the amount of assessment in these several counties, the amount of taxes tendered and the amount of taxes sought to be enjoined:

Amount Assessed, Tendered, Enjoined.

County. Assessed. Tendered. Enjoined.

Adair 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,331.91 1,331.91

Adams 1,331.91 1,