

HAS MAID FOR A DOG

Antics of Rich American Woman Create Sensation in a Paris Hotel.

SERVANT HAS ALL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Able to Soothe the Canine Feelings in Three Different Languages.

WOMAN FORMERLY A GLOVE SALESWOMAN

Beauty Attracted Theatrical Manager and He Offers Her Position.

CHARMS ALSO CAPTURE A RICH HUSBAND

Canine Pet, in Addition to Having a Maid, is Given Suite of Rooms in a Well Known Paris Hotel.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) PARIS, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—Mrs. E. Harrison Pomeroy, wife of a rich American, has a dog named Bijou. The dog is now occupying a special apartment in a hotel at Trouville, frequented by millionaires, nobles and other persons of distinction. He is attended by a maid, a servant of qualifications described in the following advertisement:

Wanted: A first-class young woman as chambermaid to a dog of high breeding. Must possess all continental requirements, be of gentle disposition, amiable, fond of animals and respectful. Verbal references required. Address hotel.

Mrs. Pomeroy with her dog has been one of the sensations of Paris recently. When the Pomeroy and Bijou arrived at the Hotel Ritz, a place where princes, ambassadors and the notables of all Europe and America are to be seen, she caused astonishment by requiring, regardless of the cost, a suite specially for the dog and his waiting maid.

There is nothing extraordinary about the dog, as a dog. He is a small terrier, with half of great length and slinkiness, through which peep a pair of feverishly bright little eyes.

The animal has been coddled until he is sickly, and has an appetite as dainty as a spoiled child. His meals were ordered from the restaurant with painfully careful selection. A portion of woodcock, or quail or some ris de veau, would be sent on a silver service to his canine highness' "apartment" with as much attention to daintiness as if he were an old-timer poor of France. After his meals were ordered, he sometimes seen in the restaurant, devotedly watched by his superior attendant. He wears a collar set with jewels.

When Mrs. Pomeroy started for London for a brief visit she found that she couldn't take her pet into England, where a kind of hydrophobia exists in force, so she arranged for quarters for him at the fashionable watering place, Trouville, as sumptuous as those he occupied in Paris. Mrs. Pomeroy expected to return to the Ritz and the dog's suite there will undoubtedly be re-engaged.

Face Her Fortune.

Mrs. Pomeroy was Miss Georgia Davis of Louisville, Ky. She is a woman of unusual beauty. Her red hair, which she wears in a wavy style, is one of her charms. She is a store in Louisville not many years ago. Hundreds of persons visited the store for no other purpose than to admire her dazzling complexion, perfect features and golden hair and blue eyes.

A theatrical manager heard of her and induced her to go on the stage. She took the name of Elaine Ellison and appeared first in "Babes in the Woods," then in one of Charles Frohman's companies and later with Henry E. Dixey in a revival of "Adonis."

The met in New York E. Harrison Pomeroy, a young man from New York, who had made a fortune in the oil boom in Texas. They were out driving in Central park in the fall of 1901. Miss Ellison remarked that it was a perfect day. "It would be perfect if we were married," responded Pomeroy. She then declared the Fifth Avenue Presbyterian church parsonage and Rev. Dr. John Hall married them.

They went to Europe in order that Mrs. Pomeroy might study for grand opera. Pomeroy invested heavily and luckily in Klondike claims. Everything he touched prospered. He and his wife spent a winter in Alaska. He bought a mine at that time and named it "The Elaine Ellison." It is the best of all. In 1902 Mrs. Pomeroy revisited Louisville and charmed all those who had known her as a saleswoman by her unaffected graciousness of manner.

Pomeroy made a sensation a year ago last summer as a plunger. At Trouville he won \$50,000 at baccarat in two sittings. His baccarat winnings there, at Aix les Bains and other resorts that summer are said to have amounted to \$200,000. He won \$100,000 on the races at De Auxville.

In London last November Mr. and Mrs. Pomeroy left jewels which they valued at \$40,000 in a cab. Discovering their loss, they reported it to the police. The cabman was found and he returned the jewels. The English law gives the finder 20 per cent of the value, and Mr. Pomeroy cheerfully drew his check for \$8,000.

A first-class lady's maid, such as the woman engaged for Mrs. Pomeroy's dog, is required to speak English, French and German, so that she may be of use in whatever part of Europe her mistress may visit; she must understand hairdressing, massage, manicuring, plain sewing and something of dressmaking; she must be immune against seasickness and be able to pack luggage scientifically. A cheerful and agreeable manner and a soft voice are insisted upon by employers. The wages are \$20 a month.

Bijou's maid seems to be in all respects a woman of this type.

CROWN OF GOLD FOR VIRGIN

To Be Placed on Figure in St. Peter's on Occasion of Jubilee in December.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) ROME, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—A crown of solid gold, set with diamonds and precious stones, is being made for the image of the Blessed Virgin, which is venerated in St. Peter's, and it is to be placed upon her head on the occasion of the jubilee of the immaculate conception next December.

The other day Pius X. received in private audience, Mrs. Radio-Tedeschi, secretary of the commission appointed by Leo XIII. to arrange for the festival, and handed him a magnificent uncut diamond, saying he wished it set in the crown as a personal gift from himself. The diamond was formerly in a ring recently presented to Pius X. by a devout admirer, and the pope's action in contributing to the commission has greatly encouraged the committee which has charge of the arrangements.

BREAKS BANK AT MONTE CARLO

Pest is that of American, J. G. Grant, Instead of Grand Duke Alexis, as Reported.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) MONTE CARLO, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—The man who broke the bank at Monte Carlo was not the Grand Duke Alexis, the czar's uncle, but an American named J. G. Grant, who is staying at the Metropole Palace hotel. The play was at roulette, of course.

Grant's favorite number was 17. On several evenings in succession considerable sums, and one morning, all the spirit of play upon him, he entered the Casino at 11 o'clock and sat down. He was steadily, and his success soon attracted a fashionable crowd, which watched his every movement. In less than an hour he had won \$7,500. As he folded up the heap of notes passed to him he was the most composed man present. He continued to play and soon accomplished another coup, still on his favorite number. Contrary to custom in the Casino, he was greeted with cheers by the onlookers.

The chief croupier threw up his hands excitedly, signifying that he had not money enough to pay. The bank was technically broken, and the cheers were renewed even more vehemently.

Grant sat back in his chair perfectly nonchalant, awaiting the arrival of further money for the bank, which had been hurriedly sent for. In three minutes Grant was paid in full, arose, bowed to the attendants and left the Casino.

The news of his success spread through the district the next morning, and it was generally reported that the victor was the Grand Duke Alexis, widow also had been playing the maximum. But Alexis only won 218,000 and did not break the bank. Grant did not take the trouble to correct the false impression.

Another heavy player was Sir Christopher Furness, the English shipping king. He played with great recklessness, but won \$6,000.

The Casino has had an exceptionally bad week. Even 16-year-old Hugh Brinsmade, visiting Nice with his parents, won in thirty minutes \$20,000. He is too young to obtain a ticket of admission himself, but borrowed one from a friend and commenced to play with only \$20. As he grew he got more and more excited and plunged. He began to lose, and finally, after much persuasion, was induced to leave the Casino, taking with him \$2,000.

More money has been won at the Casino this season than has been known in years; nevertheless the bank makes an average daily profit of \$50,000.

JURY SUSTAINS THE CRITIC

Author Crowsland Has the Satisfaction of Paying the Costs of the Action.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) LONDON, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—E. W. Crowsland, the author of "The Unspeakable Book" and "The Unspeakable Woman," the St. James' Gazette for 1891 in reviewing the last mentioned book, Mr. Crowsland said in the book he wished all women were widows, because if they were the amount of human suffering on earth would be sensibly reduced. The critic remarked that the amount of human suffering on earth would, to say the least, be sensibly reduced.

This retort Mr. Crowsland regarded as libelous. He was severely cross-examined and admitted that he wrote: "Of all the women in the world I command you to be a plain blunt critic. He is the salt of the earth, the savior of his kind."

He also admitted that he wrote that "A woman should be kept in a hut at the bottom of the garden" and that "The days when a man kept a whip for his wife were the days of civilization."

The defense declined to call any witness, leaving the case to the evidence given by Mr. Crowsland. The jury found a verdict for the defense, nonsuiting Crowsland, with costs.

TEN LIFE SENATORS REMAIN

Remainder of Seventy-Five Elected in 1871 Have Closed Their Careers.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) PARIS, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—The death last week of Emile Dechanel leaves only ten life members of the French Senate. Under the terms of the constitution in force when the Senate was elected seventy-five life senators, the vacancies caused by death to be filled by the election of senators for nine years, lots being drawn to decide what department shall have an additional representative.

The remaining life senators are the Duke D'Audiffert-Pasquier, Henry de Sully, Eugène Guis, Rene Berenger, Joseph Magnin, Jules Casot, Henry Wallon, the "Father of the Constitution," General Billot, G. C. Berthelot and Deshayes de Marcere. The youngest of these men is over 70 and the oldest is 92.

MORGAN HAS BEEN BUNCOED

Original Manuscript of Byron's "Coroner" Not in His Possession.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) LONDON, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—John Murray, whose grandfather was Byron's publisher, says he has the original manuscript of "The Corsair" in his handwriting, and that, therefore, the alleged manuscript of the work bought by J. Pierpont Morgan and taken to the United States last week by his son cannot be the original.

Murray never heard of the existence of any other "Corsair" manuscript than the one he owns. But Byron was accustomed to write several rough drafts of his poems before they finally satisfied him, and Murray thinks it may be one of these that Mr. Morgan bought, together with the manuscript of "The Last Days of Pompeii," for \$10,000.

MANY PEERS WITHOUT HEIRS

Fifty Prospective Vacancies in the Upper House of the British Parliament.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) LONDON, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—A writer in "Chambers' Journal," discussing the present composition of the House of Lords, recalls the fact that there are at present more than fifty peers who have no heir to succeed them. If these members of the House of Lords were to die suddenly the membership would be reduced by one-twentieth forthwith. Most of the heirless peers are conservatives.

URNS DOWN LOUBET

Pope Decidedly Averse to Receiving President of the French Republic.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) LONDON, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—The Scotland Yard authorities are now engaged in investigating a grave police scandal said to involve several well known detectives of the West End district. The full extent of the corruption in this respect, however, but detectives are known to have been receiving money for years from betting men and keepers of red light houses. Sensational disclosures are looked for.

After the conviction of Whitaker Wright, who was prosecuted as the result of the action taken by private persons, the government having refused to move, Arnold White said: "The guilty man is the prime minister. Nothing can exonerate him from the responsibility of refusing to prosecute. Both he and a member of his family were shareholders, a fact he did not divulge in the House of Commons, the action when he had to give a judicial decision in the matter."

It is due to the public that the attorney general and the solicitor general should retire. The scandal in this case strikes more deeply at the roots of the national morality and honesty than did the French Panama scandal or the Black Sea coal scandal in Russia. The decadence of our so-called aristocracy has no parallel. In former times people did not sit down to a feast with their money bags.

Judge Bigham, who sentenced Wright, is now receiving letters threatening personal violence. His house is guarded by detectives. The judge went into the country yesterday, detectives traveling with him. The fact that Wright was found to have fully loaded and cocked revolver has caused more stringent regulations to be issued in reference to searching prisoners. During the trial a year ago Counterteller Barmah shot himself in a cell in the Old Bailey immediately after sentence. It is believed that Barmah intended to shoot Inspector Schmidt, who was the principal witness at the prosecution and who himself was arrested recently. Orders were then issued to the wardens to carefully search every prisoner who was to be tried and to take every precaution to prevent a prisoner from obtaining a weapon or poison. These rules were totally disregarded in Wright's case. An inquiry is now being made to appportion the responsibility. Fear is entertained that unless strict supervision is exercised over prisoners judges may shut in court. At the Old Bailey the distance between the dock and the judge's chair is only seven yards.

ART STUDENT FOOLS EXPERTS

Supposed Old Statuette of Admiral Nelson Once Dies Back Two Years.

(Copyright, 1904, by Press Publishing Co.) LONDON, Jan. 30.—(New York World Cablegram-Special Telegram.)—The Royal United Service Institution, which controls the Naval and Military museum, appealed eighteen months ago for subscriptions to purchase a statuette of Nelson, said to have been presented to Nelson by George III. The statuette was examined by experts and declared to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value. King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased. Now it comes out that it was modeled only two years ago by an art student named Richard Garbe for a patron, who supplied him with old prints and declared that Garbe says he cannot understand how experts imagined that it was a statuette of early nineteenth century work, as he adopted a device recently discovered of cutting a crescent in each eye, to cast a shadow, to add to the expression. The statue, which purported to be a copy of a nineteenth century work of great artistic value, King Edward subscribed £25, the prince of Wales £50, and the statuette was purchased