

Pointed Words from John L. Webster

There is every consideration why the republican ticket should be elected in Douglas county. There is no good reason why any republican should vote against that ticket or vote against a single man on that ticket.

Independent of the personnel of the ticket, every man who believes in the principles of the republican party as a political organization should vote for it.

All men regard the Declaration of Independence and the emancipation proclamation as marking two epochs in the advancement of liberty and humanity for the human race; the first more particularly for the white man, and the second more particularly for the black man; but the republican party has done more for the upbuilding and the betterment of American citizenship than either of said documents.

Local party unity and party strength are essential to secure Douglas county the recognition that it is entitled to in the state. The republicans of the state at large look to the results in Douglas county. They expect of us success. If we fail they will not stop to figure into the cause, and will not hesitate to vote for the ticket of the opposition.

Unity and success now means a better feeling and a greater success next year, when there will be a complete state and national ticket to be elected. Every man who sincerely and honestly wants us to succeed next year on state and national issues should vote for the republican ticket.

The men who for years past have been the most active and aggressive workers in the republican party, and who by reason of their intense interest have, at times, developed factional differences, have brought themselves to feel the gravity of the situation, and are working together harmoniously for the election of the ticket.

If you ask me what special reason I should give why we should vote the republican ticket this fall, I might respond by the query, "What special reason is there why we are republicans? Why pretend to be republicans and be indifferent about the ticket; why pretend to be a republican and not stand up for the republican organization; why pretend to be a republican and not support the results of republican conventions?"

But there is something more to it, there is a question of principle about it. I am a republican because I believe in the fundamental principles of the republican party as being essential to the welfare and prosperity of the nation. I believe in the republican party because it has accomplished more for this country in fifty years, in advancement, in progress, in power and in wealth, than other nations have attained in centuries. It has done more for this country since the day McKinley became president than had been accomplished during all the democratic administrations from the time of Thomas Jefferson down to Grover Cleveland. I do not think these facts are disputed. They are undeniable truths. Believing as I do, I could not satisfy my conscience as a lover of my country and of my party without voting the republican ticket.

As I said on another occasion, the republican party had its birth in a war of ideas, and it has continued its supremacy by reason of its battles for ideas—ideas that have had their dwelling place in the hearts of a noble people, elevating mankind and strengthening the nation.

Let Republicans Do Their Duty

The republican party in Douglas county, after many years of dissension in its own ranks, has become a united party, thus showing the surrounding country that it is possible for men to bury their differences in a common cause.

The republican ticket this year deserves our support for the state, judicial and county tickets, if for no other reason than that the men nominated are worthy. Never were more clean and reputable citizens put into the field for any office.

It also seems to me, as one who has always labored with the republican party, that the results of its policies, when put into operation, as compared with the results achieved through the victories of the democrats, or any other party, that the one thing essential for all voters (particularly the laboring classes) at this time is to bend all their efforts for the success of the republican ticket this fall.

Let us vote the republican ticket, let us vote the republican ticket next year.

Nebraska a Republican State--It Must Stay Republican

The growth of republicanism in Nebraska after our defeat in 1896 found its first opportunity for expression in 1900, when McKinley and Roosevelt received a plurality of 7,800 votes after a most hotly contested campaign. Since that time there has been a strong, steady growth along the same line.

Our party is well organized in the different counties and the interests of our ticket will be closely looked after. Republicans are getting together for the great contest of next year, when Nebraska will give Roosevelt 25,000 majority. Factional differences in local affairs are being forgotten in the desire "to get ready for 1904."

The foregoing are some of the reasons why Nebraska will be republican again this year.

Why Republican Success Should and Will Be Achieved

Why should the republican candidates be supported this year? I answer: 1. Because our party is largely in the majority in this county and it is the duty of republicans to vote for party nominees. 2. It is conceded that the ticket is the strongest ever presented to the voters of this county. 3. Next year we will be in line for the national campaign and all good republicans realize that the election of the entire ticket this fall will ensure a large republican majority at that time.

I have been brought into close touch with the different elements of the party, personal preferences are lost sight of, in the desire for success. The organization is so good, the enthusiasm among young and old is so great, our ticket is so strong, our normal majority so safe that in my judgment we can confidently expect the election of the entire ticket by a large majority.

Let us show that we are united, and if we do, such demands as Nebraska may make will not be ignored and next fall we will have the pleasure of voting for the strenuous statesman, Roosevelt, and our own magnetic and able Webster.

Remember the Effect Abroad

Generally speaking, the reasons for supporting the republican ticket this year are no more urgent than those which appealed to us in past campaigns.

From the point of view of the republican who believes the political influence of Nebraska ought to be exerted to uphold the policy of the party at large, there are potent reasons why republican candidates should be supported. It is not difficult to foresee the good effect of increased republican pluralities in this state, as viewed by the politico-commercial world at large.

From the viewpoint of the business man, in whatever portion of the state he may reside, it would certainly be detrimental to his interest to reverse governmental policies, thereby disturbing business conditions which have grown better from the day the lamented McKinley was first elected.

From the local viewpoint, there are many reasons why the republican ticket should be supported by republicans. One reason is, a solid, hard effect it would have upon the state if the count of the ballots reveal a victory of the republican party in Douglas county.

There are indications that Douglas county republicans will vote straight tickets this year, and it is hoped and expected that the party will present a solid front, which unquestionably will have a beneficial effect upon the vote next year.

Should Endorse Republican Policies

The state and county ticket nominated by the republican party of Nebraska commends itself to voters of all parties who desire the best men available to fill judicial and administrative places. To a degree this is an "off" political year in the sense that the officials to be elected will not and cannot shape political issues.

I do not believe that the judicial office should be made the foot ball of politics, and when a judge takes the bench no personal, or political, affiliation should exert the slightest influence. Whether the litigant be corporation or individual, a natural or artificial person, the treatment should be without fear or favor.

It is matter for sincere congratulation that the republicans of the state, and particularly of Douglas county, are working together in harmony. The party has lost immeasurably in political campaigns in the past by unseemly squabbles and dissensions, that have arisen from personal ambitions, frequently based upon unfounded claims.

Its unassailable position during the great war of the rebellion, with Lincoln at the helm; its course during the days of reconstruction, with Grant in command; its foreign policy, when Harrison was ruler; its conduct of the Spanish war, its methods of control of the Philippines and Porto Rico, with McKinley, our chief; its position on matters of domestic polity and outside conduct under Roosevelt; its attitude under all these great leaders with reference to finance, protection, the upbuilding of army and navy, and the moving of the great republic to its proper position as the chiefest of the nations of the earth, should prompt to the proud and satisfying declaration—"I am a republican."

The Party of Progress

The ticket is made up of exceptionally good men and each of the candidates is well equipped for the duties of the respective offices to which they aspire. The ticket has unusual merit and never has a ticket been presented to the people with such strength. They are all men of ability and character.

We have a distinguished citizen who is a candidate for the vice-presidency and the success of the ticket means much to his candidacy. With the state in the republican column this year an overwhelming victory is assured for 1904.

The ticket should be successful also because it represents a party of progress.

Young Men Must Rally

The first and main reason why the republican state, county and city ticket should be elected is because it is republican. The word republican means a lot to that citizen who believes in the principles of that party.

The republican party is not a party of expediency, but a party of principle. It is not a party of expediency, but a party of principle. It is not a party of expediency, but a party of principle.

It is not necessary to defend the principles of the republican party; they are right, they have been tried and proven. They have brought the nation to the highest condition of prosperity that any nation in the world's history has ever enjoyed.

It is not necessary to defend the principles of the republican party; they are right, they have been tried and proven. They have brought the nation to the highest condition of prosperity that any nation in the world's history has ever enjoyed.

It is not necessary to defend the principles of the republican party; they are right, they have been tried and proven. They have brought the nation to the highest condition of prosperity that any nation in the world's history has ever enjoyed.

It is not necessary to defend the principles of the republican party; they are right, they have been tried and proven. They have brought the nation to the highest condition of prosperity that any nation in the world's history has ever enjoyed.

Entitled to Confidence

The republican party is justly regarded as the party of progress, because it meets new conditions and emergencies with patriotism, courage and common sense. The republicans of Douglas county have evidenced their right to membership in the great party by the manner in which candidates for county offices have been selected in this campaign.

The republican party is justly regarded as the party of progress, because it meets new conditions and emergencies with patriotism, courage and common sense. The republicans of Douglas county have evidenced their right to membership in the great party by the manner in which candidates for county offices have been selected in this campaign.

The republican party is justly regarded as the party of progress, because it meets new conditions and emergencies with patriotism, courage and common sense. The republicans of Douglas county have evidenced their right to membership in the great party by the manner in which candidates for county offices have been selected in this campaign.

The republican party is justly regarded as the party of progress, because it meets new conditions and emergencies with patriotism, courage and common sense. The republicans of Douglas county have evidenced their right to membership in the great party by the manner in which candidates for county offices have been selected in this campaign.

NO MORE FEAR IN PITTSBURG

Financial Atmosphere Cleared and Further Bank Troubles Not Expected.

PITTSBURG, Oct. 23.—The financial atmosphere of Pittsburgh seems to have been cleared and no further bank troubles are looked for.

The official announcement made yesterday by the Clearing House association that \$2,500,000 had been raised, that the fund would be increased to \$3,000,000 to meet whatever emergency may arise and that so far as the association could ascertain none of the banks in the vicinity of Pittsburgh would require assistance has had the effect of allaying all uneasiness.

Plea for Judicial Candidates

So far as the county ticket is concerned it seems to be especially strong. It was selected by the people under the new system, and republicans as a whole are united and satisfied with it.

The judicial ticket of the republican party, which I have the honor to represent, was selected by a convention composed of delegates elected at primaries, and its great strength lies in the fact that individually the candidates are clean, able men, that it was not only enthusiastically endorsed from the time of the judicial convention by both former factions of the republican party, but that it came from the body of the people, while the democratic so-called nonpartisan judicial ticket was selected by the arbitrary act of the democratic county central committee in naming delegates without giving the members of that party a voice in their selection.

With this feeling extant, there appears to be clear sailing for the republican ship, and she will arrive in port with her colors flying to the breeze and all on board will be safely landed, and a glad acclaim will go up all over the state, for it will have been proven that the republicans of this county are working together for the good of the party, looking to republican success during the next presidential campaign in this state.

Voters are not inclined to support men who rush from one party to another in the vain hope of perpetuating themselves in office, and with the splendid examples of McKinley and Roosevelt and a long line of loyal republicans before them, the republicans of the district will hardly assist in dimming the luster of their party by electing those who, after failing to have their ambitions gratified in a convention of their own party, seek to have them gratified by an opposing political party.

I believe the state, judicial and county ticket will receive the hearty support of the party, and many democrats will be attracted to our ticket through dissatisfaction in having a large majority of republicans placed on their ticket against their wishes, and moreover, they know that nonpartisan elections cannot be accomplished without the consent and united action of all political parties.

There never was a time when the prospects for the election of the entire republican ticket were more promising.

Six Good and Sufficient Reasons

The republican nominees should be supported on November 3 for the following good and sufficient reasons:

1. Because they are American citizens who have the intelligence, judgment and discernment to belong to a political party the chief characteristic of which is "to do" rather than "object." Men are to be measured by the breadth of their ideas, and the best government, local or national, is attained when public officials are men of character and square dealing, who believe in push and progress, rather than in retrogression and decay.

2. The citizenship of Douglas county is sturdy, intelligent and progressive. It has faith and confidence in the development and upbuilding of Omaha and South Omaha as great market and commercial centers, and should therefore have as a complement public officials imbued with the great idea of progress, which is the fundamental principle of the republican party. Push, enterprise, pluck and endurance, combined with natural location and resources, have produced Omaha and South Omaha, and the watchword of every citizen should be "progress," and they should not humiliate themselves or be retarded in their growth by having our county labeled "Democratic." It is a drug on the market.

3. Because the republican candidates have the courage of their convictions, while many of the nominees of the democratic party will not admit that they are nominees of their identity with the clarity party. When persons refuse to tell where they came from or what their real names are, they are ashamed of their identity.

4. Because the candidates of the republican party are representative. In Bingham, Allan, Vinsonhale, Unit, Kennard, Reed, Fink, Braley, Edquist, Bodwell, Murphy and Berka and likewise the republican nominees of the state and judicial ticket flows the blood of true Americans, flavored with the blood of sturdy ancestors who hailed from the lands of the Yankee, from Missouri, and from England, Scotland, Ireland, Sweden and Bohemia. Men of sterling worth, and a much better ticket than that of the democratic party.

5. The republican candidates are men who, by their dealings, character and personalities, have endeared themselves to the hearts of the rank and file of their party. They received their nominations by direct vote of their fellow citizens, and consequently are in a position to be public servants in fact as well as in name. Many of their opponents have in the past been creatures of circumstance—produced by conditions rather than by reason of their qualifications or popularity. Factionalism in the republican party was the incubator that gave their birth, but the new rules of the republican party, whereby all republicans are recognized as equals, has for the time being destroyed republican factionalism, and on November 3, 1903, democrats, realizing their loss, will be wiser because of the knowledge that when they are compelled to travel on their own hook their pathway leads only to defeat.

6. Because the republican ticket, state, county, and judicial, is composed of men who have, by honest toil, worked their way upward in the various channels of employment and industry to the positions they now occupy. It is a strong ticket, of strong men. Labor and business are united in its personnel, all possessing the attributes of true Americanism. These are some of the reasons why men of all vocations of life, and all men of different races and nationality should vote for and elect the republican ticket.

900 has been received by James W. Patterson, president of the Pittsburgh, Carnegie & Western railroad, for immediate deposit in anticipation of the improvements under construction here for the Washaw railroad. It will be some time before the money will be needed by the various contractors, but the bulk of it will eventually be paid out in this district for wages. The government experts at work upon

Cornish Calls on All Good Citizens

In giving us why the republican candidates should be supported this year, no discussion of the fundamental differences between the two great parties is called for. In past ages it was the custom of tyrants to divide the people into two classes, the weak and the strong, and to give the weak the right to elect their rulers. In these latter days those interests who seek to obtain special privileges or special immunities by means of legislation, who look upon government as a commercial agency and are prone to consider the liberty of man and the mental and moral development of the race of less importance than the development of wealth, naturally resort to the same system of encouraging factional disputes, petty enmities, numerous organizations, rival ambitions, in order to weaken the power of the masses and further their own ends.

The great American party organizations in which loyalty to the party makes the individual responsible for the time being a portion of a desire in order to further the greater ends in which all are united, have been the most effective weapon in the hands of the masses to secure true democracy, prevent a scattering of energy and enforce the people's will. Every man who believes in the principles of the republican party should be a part of this movement in fact as he is in theory should join that political organization which on the whole comes nearest to representing his ideas on mooted questions, and thereafter work for the good of the organization to the end that by means of it his own desired ends may be realized in our national life. Individually he is powerless, associated with others, in so far as his purposes and ideas are good, they will sooner or later be adopted by the organization and the sympathy of numbers, the momentum of party, will carry them to success. Parties cannot be created in a year. They grow out of public concern, pruning and care. A season's neglect weakens their vitality. The strength of the national organization is the combined strength of the local organizations. What injures one injures all. Discipline and reform should come from within. Therefore, every man who believes in the principles of the republican party on national or local issues should, both as a matter of self-interest and duty, support the republican candidates. As much as at any time in its history our country needs the practical ability, exalted patriotism, love of freedom and sympathy with the toiler, which thus far characterized the republican party.

Why not support the republican candidates? It would be strange indeed if a majority of the voters of this county could not select from their number candidates as well equipped in point of ability and character as any named from this county. Our system of selecting our judges under rules that made it impossible for a man to secure the nomination who did not obtain the endorsement of more republicans than any of his competitors. Conferred action is impossible without common sense. When our system of selecting our judges is calculated in the long run to secure the endorsement of the most fit men, when the successful nominees have been endorsed by such large numbers of people who knew them well, when no one can say that any of our judges are not important ones, would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who are working for the same end in the same organization as themselves? A vote for an opposition candidate does not assist in the selection of a man who would not fill the position creditably both to himself and the party, why should not republicans vote for their colleagues, who