

PROBE BOODLE CASES

Two Grand Juries Investigate Alleged Crooked Legislation in Missouri.

PERJURY INDICTMENTS ARE EXPECTED

Each Body Has Other's Evidence, Thus Allowing Easy Comparison.

IMPORTANT WITNESS BALKS AT INQUIRY

Colonel Phelps Hesitates to Tell Story of Baking Powder Bribe.

SKIPS TO CAROLINA TO ESCAPE SUBPOENA

Caught by Reporter, Refuses to Discuss Story That He Gave Senator \$1,000 for Favors Conferred by Lawmaker.

ST. LOUIS, April 13.—The investigation into the charges of boodling made in connection with baking powder legislation in the state legislature is being carried on today by grand juries in session in St. Louis and Jefferson City.

Circuit Attorney W. F. Folk, under whose direction the St. Louis grand jury has been at work during the last week, has secured important information that it is believed will be made use of before the Jefferson City body, which resumed its sessions this morning.

Mr. Folk and Attorney General Crow, who is taking care of the Jefferson City end of the investigation, are working together and the fact that the evidence given before the two grand juries is available for comparison makes the situation serious for those who may not have told the truth. It is stated that perjury indictments may even be found before the return of actual bribery indictments.

Speaker Whitecotton of the lower house held a long conference with Circuit Attorney Folk yesterday, and it is understood he gave much valuable information to that official. A number of important witnesses have been called by both sides for examination today.

Colonel W. H. Phelps, railroad representative in the recent legislature, who was expected to be the principal witness before the St. Louis grand jury today, did not appear when that body convened and a subpoena was issued for the sheriff to serve. He was in St. Louis on Sunday and said he came here for business. The witnesses examined were State Treasurer Williams.

MONEY PLACED IN LEGISLATURE

Information reached Circuit Court Attorney Folk tonight that Senator John P. Collins had displayed seven \$1,000 bills publicly here soon after the adjournment of the legislature.

Attorney Folk at once ordered subpoenas issued for the informant and for others who saw the bills displayed. Senator Collins will be summoned to account for the bills to the grand jury here. He could not be found tonight.

Colonel R. P. Williams, treasurer of Missouri, informed the grand jury today that no \$1,000 bills had been changed or issued to any of the state senators or representatives by him.

Two new features of the alleged boodling in the legislature were brought to light tonight by the grand jury. The slot machine bill and two bills introduced in the interest of St. Louis county are now under investigation. In one instance, it is alleged, \$500 was placed in the hands of a "disinterested" to secure the passage of one of the St. Louis county bills. Another instance is said to have attempted to distribute \$5,000 among the legislators to secure the second county bill.

The bill regulating slot machines was backed by a local promoter and it is alleged \$5,000 was handed around to make the bill a law.

PHELPS BALKS AT STAND.

JEFFERSON CITY, Mo., April 13.—Colonel William H. Phelps was called to the Missouri Pacific train on Sunday night en route to Kansas City.

Asked if he intended to testify in the pending inquiry, he replied: "I do not see why I should."

"Will you return to St. Louis this week?" "I think not. I shall probably go to Asheville, N. C., in a day or two."

"How about the statement credited to Senator Frank Harris that he received \$1,000 from you in consideration of legislative favors conferred?"

"I know nothing at all about what Senator Harris said or is reported to have said. I do not wish to discuss this matter at all."

The Cole county grand jury resumed its investigation today.

Just prior to the adjournment of the grand jury Circuit Judge Hazzell said:

"I am determined there shall be no leak in this grand jury. This investigation shall be just as rigid as the law provides and if any indictment is returned, it will be returned to me and not one word shall leak out as to who it is indicted until after the arrests have been made."

If during the investigation there is any leakage I will at once cause an investigation as to the source of information and discovery will be followed by prosecution.

The grand jury, for the conduct of which Senator David Nelson of St. Louis, who voted against the repeal of the "baking powder law," Whitney Layton, a baking powder manufacturer, who was fined for violation of the law and who desired to have the law repealed, and Patterson Bain, a St. Louis baking powder manufacturer.

KANSAS CITY, Mo., April 13.—Up to 2 this afternoon Colonel Phelps had not arrived in Kansas City, as far as known. It was stated that the hotel where he usually stopped that he "might be here this evening."

Lieutenant Governor John A. Lee, who was expected to have testified before the grand jury at St. Louis today, passed through Kansas City on his way, he stated, to Jefferson City.

Mr. Lee, who was accompanied by his wife, when recognized, requested that his presence in the city be kept quiet.

YOUTSEY'S CONFESSION MYTH

Suspected Murderer of Goebel Asks for Documents State's Attorney Says Do Not Exist.

FRANKFORT, Ky., April 13.—Counsel for James Howard, on trial for the third time for the murder of William Goebel, filed today a written motion demanding a copy of the confession and evidence given before the grand jury by Henry Yousey, who is serving a life sentence as accessory.

Attorney Franklin, for the commonwealth, denied that Yousey had ever made a confession to him and refused to give him a copy of the grand jury proceedings. The court overruled the motion.

LOUBET IS GIVEN OVATION

Demonstration for French President All Along the Route Home from Algiers.

MARSEILLES, April 13.—President Loubet arrived here today from Paris, on his way to Algeria, after receiving continuous ovations along the route. The presidential party was met by the civil and military authorities and was escorted to the prefecture, where an official reception was held.

A crowd, estimated to have numbered 500,000 persons, gathered at the prefecture and gave the president an enthusiastic greeting. A grand banquet was given at the prefecture, and the president followed the reception.

Only one minor disturbance was reported. This was between a group of socialist agitators and a number of adherents of the former. Brawls were exchanged and the police interfered. Six persons were injured, three of them rather seriously. This was chiefly due to the denseness of the crowds and interrupted the popular demonstration to the president.

A disorderly demonstration occurred on the route of the procession, when a party of anti-government radicals cried "Down with free-masonry." Three arrests were made.

During the ceremonies at the prefecture the bishop of Marseilles saluted M. Loubet and spoke of the irreproachable conduct of the clergy of this city. He said they regarded religion as being necessary to the greatness and security of the country.

The president, in responding, said the church had mission of peace, fraternity and reconciliation. When it fulfilled its mission it gained the respect of all good citizens.

MONTEJO'S FLAGSHIP FLOATED

Skeletons of Eighty Members of the Vessel's Crew Found in the Hulk.

MANILA, April 13.—The warship Reina Christina, flagship of Admiral Montejó, which was sunk by Admiral Dewey, was floated and beached yesterday. The skeletons of about eighty of the crew were found in the hulk.

One skeleton evidently was that of an officer, the others of sailors. There were fifteen shells in the hull of the Reina Christina, one made by an eight-inch and the others small.

The main injection valve is missing, showing the ship was scuttled when abandoned. The hull is in fair condition. Captain Albert R. Couden, commanding the naval station at Cavite took charge of the remains of the sailors expressing a desire to give them an American naval funeral.

The Spanish residents, however, are anxious to ship the skeletons to Spain and it is suggested that the United States transport Summer convey them to Spain. A wrecking company is endeavoring to raise all the sunken Spanish warships.

MAY NAME BISHOP O'CONNOR

Talk that New Jersey Prelate May Be Sent to the Philippine Islands.

ROME, April 13.—Rev. John J. O'Connor, bishop of Newark, N. J., is favorably spoken of at the Vatican for appointment as archbishop of Manila, but should none among the bishops wish to accept the position, a prelate of lower rank may be appointed, in which case Rev. J. J. Hart of St. Leo's church, St. Louis, Mo., is considered likely to be the new archbishop of Manila.

Monsignor F. Z. Rooker of Washington, D. C., recently appointed bishop of Nueva Caceres in the Philippines, has just been made bishop of Nueva Segovia, will be called to Rome for consecration and also to augment their prestige in starting for the Philippines from the center of Catholicism.

STAMP TAXATION SUSPENDED

People Are Too Poor to Pay, Says the Chinese Embassy.

PEKIN, April 13.—The dowager empress has issued an edict repealing the comprehensive stamp taxation scheme which Yuan Shi Kai, governor of the province of Chi Li, was about to inaugurate throughout the province.

The edict assigns the poverty of the people as the reason for the repeal of the scheme, but it is believed Yuan Shi Kai's enemies procured it for the purpose of crippling his proposed reforms.

One of the contemplated effects of the plan would have been to secure honest returns for taxes collected and to deprive minor officials of large perquisites. The empress' solicitude for the poor is evinced by the costly junket she is taking.

MORLEY LANDS LAND BILL

Declares Government Has Opened New Irish Chapter by Recent Vote Face.

LONDON, April 13.—The Right Hon. John Morley, speaking at Montrose tonight, referred to the Irish land bill as a new, great chapter in the Irish revolution, and a confession by the government that its vaunted policy of a resolute government in Ireland, pursued for seventeen years, was an abject failure.

As to being converted to home rule, he did not suppose the government had been so stupid as to have done so, but that six months ago it had of the land bill.

COLONEL CODY IS INJURED

Horse Falls Upon Him at the First Performance of Show in Manchester.

MANCHESTER, Eng., April 13.—W. P. Cody (Buffalo Bill) met with an accident at the first performance of his show here today.

His horse reared and fell on him. He was removed to a hotel.

His ankle was badly sprained but he appeared in the arena in a carriage this evening.

Edward Goes to Malta.

GIBRALTAR, April 13.—The British royal yacht Victoria and Albert, with King Edward on board, escorted by six cruisers, sailed for Malta today.

PRINCE CHING IS HONORED

PEKIN, April 13.—Prince Ching, president of the foreign office, has been appointed grand secretary in succession to the late Yung Lu.

MERCER DECISION NOTHING

Hill Declares Railroad Control Will Not Be Changed by Court.

SCHIFF FEARS BOLD BLACKMAILERS

Harriman's Financial Supporters See Men Harassing Innocent Corporations Which May Control Policy of Other Companies.

NEW YORK, April 13.—James J. Hill, president of the Northern Securities company, said today:

"We will have our appeal papers ready in a day or two. We expect to win. But even though we lose, the Northern Securities company should control the stock of the Great Northern and the Northern Pacific companies the control would still be the same."

J. P. Morgan was in conference today at his office with President J. J. Hill of the Northern Securities company, and E. H. Harriman of the Union Pacific and Southern Pacific roads. The conference was reported to have some bearing on the decision on the Northern Securities case.

Other prominent financiers and railroad men who visited Mr. Morgan in the course of the day included A. A. Widener, Thomas P. Ryan, George F. Baker, H. B. Hollins, President Spencer of the Southern Railway and President Thomas of the Lehigh Valley. Mr. Hill made several visits to Mr. Morgan's office.

A representative of the Morgan firm denied that there had been anything like a formal discussion of the financial situation or that the Northern Securities decision had been talked about, save in a casual way.

Opens Door to Blackmailers.

Jacob H. Schiff of the banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., members of the Harriman syndicate, and a director of the Northern Securities company, the Baltimore & Ohio and Union Pacific railroads, in an interview in the Evening Post on the Northern Securities case, said:

"The result of the decision, if it be confirmed upon appeal, must necessarily be far-reaching. It practically establishes this: That anything done which has the effect of restraining the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the power of the government to regulate interstate commerce and trade is illegal, even if the very reverse of such restraint is undoubtedly has to be maintained for the purpose of the corporations of the Northern Securities company. Thus, as a logical consequence, the