

WITH DYING SOLDIER

Roosevelt Spends Half Hour at Bedside of Spanish War Veteran.

DAY AT DETROIT OTHERWISE UNEVENTFUL

Church Services, a Short Drive and a Dinner Genuinely His Time.

GENERAL ALGER CHIEF ENTERTAINER

Former Secretary of War Does the Honors with Mayor's Aid.

PRESIDENT WILL BE VERY BUSY TODAY

Makes Two Addresses to Young Veterans and Reviews Their Parade—Will Find Time Also for Ride on Steamboat.

DETROIT, Sept. 21.—For the second time in his history Detroit entertained the chief executive of the nation. President Roosevelt arrived at 8 o'clock this morning over the Michigan Central railroad and found Detroit awaiting his arrival in gala attire.

It was a restful day for the president, although his time was completely occupied by the program mapped out for him by the great apparatus of the city.

When President Roosevelt's special train arrives this morning the great crowd on the platform and in the station cheered lustily.

Naval Reserves Are Out. The Detroit battalion of the Michigan naval reserves, with whom the president made a cruise on the lakes while he was assistant secretary of the navy, stood at present arms on Third street as the president emerged from the depot.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

Colonies Must Pay for War. Mining profits to be taxed ten per cent more than by the Boers.

KING LEOPOLD REACHES SPA

Other Members of Royal Family to Come from Brussels Today for Queen's Mass.

SPA, Belgium, Sept. 21.—A requiem mass for the late queen of the Belgians, at which the bishop of Liege will officiate, will be celebrated here tomorrow.

BRUSSELS, Sept. 21.—A dispatch to the Emperor from Spa says that when King Leopold arrived there he refused to speak to the Princess Stephanie, and compelled her to leave the royal palace.

By Queen Marie Henriette's own desire, her body will not be embalmed and an amulet, a gift of her son, now dead, which she always wore, will not be removed.

There are various versions of the quarrel at Spa between King Leopold and Princess Stephanie, his daughter, the most reliable of which is to the effect that the princess was in the death chamber when his majesty arrived at the palace.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

Princess Stephanie is the second daughter of the late Queen Marie Henriette and King Leopold. She married the only son of the emperor of Austria in 1881 and was left a widow in 1889.

HENDERSON IN THE HOUSE

How the Speaker Has Conducted Affairs of His Exalted Office.

WASHINGTON, Sept. 21.—(Special.)—The recent declaration of David R. Henderson that he would not be a candidate for congress, outside of the great shock it gave the political world irrespective of party, has aroused intense interest in the speakership of the house and the possibilities of the many men who are named as candidates for this high office.

Considering the prominence of the position and the immense power which it is acknowledged is wielded by its occupant, it is a somewhat remarkable fact that so little is known concerning the methods employed by General Henderson in the management of public business as speaker of the house. This is doubtless largely due to the fact that at the very outset of his career as speaker Mr. Henderson substantially severed his relations with the press, represented by correspondents at the national capital. As chairman of the committee on judiciary and as a member of the house, Mr. Henderson had always been very popular with the newspaper correspondents. He told a good story, sang a good song (preferably Scotch) and could hold his own at the table with anyone—distantly quite a number.

But when he was selected by his party to preside over the deliberations of the house Mr. Henderson took the ground that should he speak for publication of matters pending before the house it would appear that he was attempting to influence legislation and his words might be given a greater import than they deserved.

Consequently he withdrew from publicity as much as possible and his influence on legislation since then has been referred to only at intervals, as when he introduced the Cuban reciprocity bill, and his attitude has often been the subject of misrepresentation.

His Own Expression. Three years ago Mr. Henderson returned to Washington from Atlantic City, where he had been laboring with his colleagues on the house committee on judiciary, and he might be the personal recipient of the nomination. He says in positive terms that he believes it would be undignified for the governor of New York to attend the convention for any purpose.

It has been his ambition, he said, "to round out my congressional career by serving in the cabinet, and my remaining office of the house of representatives. I have no desire to resign as a car or to undertake to control and direct legislation in the house. If I am elected I expect to preside over the house in an fair and impartial manner as possible."

And nothing has developed since Mr. Henderson assumed the position which he still occupies to indicate that his intentions have been changed since he became speaker. The accusation has been made many times and will doubtless be repeated until the next congress, yet even now congress since the rules were first promulgated has adopted them for its own guidance and each speaker has been invested with the power he holds by the deliberate and willful action of the house. The rule most complained of is that concerning the speaker the sole right to recognize members who wish to call up bills in the morning hour. Should the speaker desire to recognize him he does so, and if not the member might show himself hoarse and wave his hands frantically in the excitement as far as he was concerned the speaker would be blind and deaf.

Improved on Reed's Method. Fault has been found with Speaker Henderson for changing the methods of his predecessor, Mr. Reed, but it is possible that the comparison of the two methods would show that the present speaker would be better than the old. During Mr. Reed's regime it was customary for the members, as permitted by the rules, which rules are still in force, to rise in their places and demand recognition. They did not, however, confine themselves to the house and when he rushed to the front of the speaker's desk and ranged themselves in a semi-circle, very much after the fashion of a pack of wolves who had backed a man up against a tree, and there shouting for recognition, Mr. Reed would recognize first one and then the other, apportioning his recognition between the republican and democratic side of the chamber, so as to give an almost equal number to each side. As Mr. Henderson conducts the business of the house the members arise in their seats and ask for recognition, which is given them according to a program arranged before the house meets. In Mr. Reed's time he recognized only those who had seen him before the house met and whom he was personally acquainted with. The same is now true of Mr. Henderson, so that the only real difference between the methods employed by these two speakers is that Mr. Reed permitted the members to go through the farce of standing about the speaker's desk and demanding recognition which Mr. Henderson abolished, with the result that there is now no disorder whatever. The theory remains the same, that before obtaining recognition the member must see the speaker and submit his bill for his consideration.

Privileged Bills. As a matter of fact there are really very few bills which require recognition from the speaker. All bills from the committee on ways and means and the committee on appropriations are privileged. Appropriation bills from other committees are privileged. The committee on pensions claims and war claims, which committees handle by far the greater part of the private bills introduced, have their own days under the rules when they are privileged to call up bills the committee desires. The District of Columbia committee has its special day. Other bills are taken up under its day. Reports from the committee on rules, so that there are comparatively few bills that can come up through an other channel than recognition by the speaker.

Mr. Henderson determines whom he shall recognize by requiring that the member shall explain to him the reason why the bill should pass. He also takes the report

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

of the speaker.

SHELDON TO RUN WITH ODELL

New York Republicans Agree on Candidate for Lieutenant Governor, and All in Peace.

SARATOGA, N. Y., Sept. 21.—Not in many years has there been, two nights prior to the assembly of a New York state republican convention, such placidity as exists tonight. Undoubtedly this is because by far the most important selection for the state ticket have been practically agreed upon. Governor Odell will, of course, be renominated. The contest for lieutenant governor practically ended tonight when it was announced that the delegations from New York, Westchester and Erie counties had decided to go into the convention pledged for George R. Sheldon.

There is little or no platform talk tonight. The planks on trusts, the tariff and canals are the only ones that cause any discussion. The question having been generally outlined in the speeches of Governor Odell. There has not as yet been anything decided on the trust plank except that while condemning certain combinations of capital there will be no appeal to congress for drastic legislation. This is the statement of Mr. Platt, who stated the platform was not complete.

On the tariff question the indications are that a very definite stand will be taken against revision on the ground that the benefit to the consumer is not so great as compared with the injury that will be done American industries and American workmen by an opening of the question of revision.

Nearly all the party leaders are here. A great deal of the talk of the convention has been arranged. Lemuel E. Quigg, who is to be temporary chairman, and Senator Ellsworth, who is to be permanent chairman, will not be here before morning, and Edward Lauterbach, who is said to be framing the platform, is not here.

Governor Odell will be in nomination by former Senator Clarence Lexow of Nyack. Mr. Sheldon will be nominated for lieutenant governor by Senator Dewey, and Assistant Secretary of State David J. Hill will nominate Judge Weyer for the court of appeals. N. N. Stranahan, collector of the port of New York, said tonight that the plank in the platform endorsing President Roosevelt's administration and nomination of the Cuban reciprocity bill, and his attitude as friends of the president can wait.

Governor Odell sent word here tonight that he positively would not come to Saratoga during the convention. It had been planned that he should come on Wednesday, the last day of the convention, so that he might be the personal recipient of the nomination. He says in positive terms that he believes it would be undignified for the governor of New York to attend the convention for any purpose.

It is obvious the legislative intent was to give all the tangible property of all corporations other than railroad, telegraph and sleeping and dining cars companies, as well as the value of the remainder or interest in a term or terms of years, the value of its entire property as represented by its capital stock and then, by deducting the value of such property, its intangible, or that which was not subject to the lien of a mortgage, should be assessed as a separate and distinct item. It is contended that this applies also to the value of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

POINTS IN THE TAX CASE

Abstract of the Opinion Written by Judge Heloomb Deaying Writ.

QUESTION AS TO RIGHTS OF THE CITY. Uniformity of Assessment Not Secured Under Present Method of Fixing Value of Local Property and Railroads.

IN DETERMINING the value of property for taxation, it is not sufficient to ascertain the value of the property at the time it is assessed, but it is necessary to ascertain the value of the property at the time it is sold or transferred.

It is contended that the value of the property should be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

CONDITION OF THE WEATHER

Forecast for Nebraska—Fair Monday, Warmer in Western Portion and Cooler in Eastern Portion, Tuesday Fair and Warmer.

Table with columns: Hour, Temperature, Wind, Clouds. Rows for 5 a.m., 9 a.m., 1 p.m., 5 p.m., 9 p.m., 11 p.m.

OMAHA'S RED LETTER DATES.

September 24—Ak-Sar-Ben Street Fair opens. September 27—President Roosevelt Reviews Electrical Parade. October 1—Ak-Sar-Ben Daylight Parade. October 2—Ak-Sar-Ben Electrical Parade. October 3—Ak-Sar-Ben Royal Court Ball. October 4—Ak-Sar-Ben Street Fair closes.

BALLOU SHIFTS THE BLAME

Prisoner Declares that He Was Not Responsible for Birmingham Catastrophe.

BIRMINGHAM, Ala., Sept. 21.—This was a day of funerals in Birmingham, the bodies of thirty-eight victims of the panic in Shiloh church being taken to the various cemeteries for negroes around the city. All the negro churches were crowded.

The death list is now known to total at least 105, and 100 of these have been identified. The police assert that at least five bodies were taken to private houses. This would swell the number to 110. In nearly all of the churches for whites sums were raised today to assist the negroes who are unable to give their dead a proper burial, and a fund aggregating \$200 has been accumulated.

Among the victims of the disaster was Kasongo, an African boy, brought from the Congo Free State two years ago by Rev. S. Phillips Verner of Tusculoo. Mr. Verner was a missionary to the Congo country and fell into a mine pit while there, being severely wounded by the point of a poisoned stake. Kasongo drew the poison from the wound with his mouth, saving Mr. Verner's life. The latter brought the boy here to educate him, and a fund aggregating \$200 has been accumulated.

The order was given to shoot; three rifle shots were fired, one striking effect, entering about three inches above the level and passing entirely through the body. Upon examination it was found that the revolver contained only one shell and that it had been snapped three times. There were papers on his person. The revolver and \$15.55 in money was all that was found. He was loaded into a buggy and brought to town, where a closer examination was held.

The clothes he wore, the scars on his neck and face, the fact that he was wearing a watch, and the fact that he was wearing a watch, were all noted. The police believe that there is no question but that he was the right man.

History of the Crime. The police of Omaha were considerably worried at first when the news of the shooting of the man who had been shot on the description sent out and that a mistake might have been made. They are now satisfied, however, that the dead man is Olsen, as another message from Bancroft later advised. The man who had been shot on the description sent out and that a mistake might have been made. They are now satisfied, however, that the dead man is Olsen, as another message from Bancroft later advised.

Woonsocket, R. I., Sept. 21.—Because he desired to protect his mother from violent treatment at the hands of her husband, and fearing also that his own life was in danger, William C. Bonin, aged 29 years, shot and killed his father, John B. Bonin, aged 42 years, this afternoon. The tragedy occurred at the factory boarding house, of which his father was proprietor, at Slatersville, North Smithfield. There were present at the time also five small children of the family. After the shooting, the mother went to her room, put on her best clothes and waited until the officer who had been called by his mother came for him. He said it was better for his father to be dead than to be guilty of the crime of killing his wife and himself, as he had often threatened to do.

Rule for Valuation. Whether it be for the purpose of fixing reasonable rates for the transportation of passengers and freight or for the purpose of taxation, the rule to be applied should be the same. If the railroads insist that the value of their property should be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act, they should also insist that the value of the property of other corporations should be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act. The legislature has provided another and different method for these corporations. Had it been otherwise, the method should have been followed in the case of the property which cannot be ascertained by the legislature as provided in the act.

OLSEN FATALLY SHOT