

Republicans of Hardin county realize that a candidate is demanded who is an active and strong campaigner and naturally turn to ex-Speaker J. H. Fink of Iowa Falls...

UPHEAVAL IN STATE POLITICS

Letter of Speaker Henderson Returns Out Many Candidates for the Place. (From a Staff Correspondent.)

DES MOINES, Sept. 17.—(Special.)—The announcement of Speaker Henderson of his retirement from congress has created such an upheaval in politics as has never before been known in Iowa.

It is generally agreed that the nomination will now go to the western end of the district, as it has been in the same county for so many years.

NEBRASKA CAUSES SURPRISE

Action of Governor in Refusing to Allow Militia to Aid Manufacturers. (From a Staff Correspondent.)

WASHINGTON, Sept. 17.—A telegram was received at the War department today from Major General Bates at Fort Riley, Kan., saying that he had been informed that the Nebraska militia would not participate.

POSTMISTRESS FOR SCHUYLER

Annals Russell Among Those Named by the President—Hammond for Iowa.

WASHINGTON, Sept. 17.—The president today appointed the following postmasters: Alaska—Douglas, Robert R. Hubbard.

BLOODHOUNDS LEAD THE WAY

Man Found Accused of Assault Upon Two Little Girls, but Vigorously Denies Guilt.

HAMILTON, O., Sept. 17.—A most brutal assault upon two little girls, aged 5 and 6 years, daughter of Charles H. Mosen, has caused intense excitement here.

Rheumatism

What is the use of telling the rheumatism that he feels as if his joints were being dislocated?

BABCOCK REPUDIATES PLAN

Not Father of Idea of Putting Trust-Made Goods on Free List.

SCHEME FATHERED BY THE DEMOCRATS

Points Out Distinct Difference Between the Iowa Platform and the Democratic Idea of Tariff Revision.

(From a Staff Correspondent.) WASHINGTON, Sept. 17.—(Special Telegram.)—The attention of Chairman Babcock of the republican congressional committee was today called to the published report of the conference at Oyster Bay, N. Y., in which it was stated that the Babcock plan of putting trust-made goods on the free list was not mentioned.

"I have never advocated putting trust-made goods on the free list simply because they were manufactured by a combination of firms, nor do I know of any republican who has. We have always antagonized this proposition, which is of democratic origin, and I want to call attention to an authorized interview on the Iowa platform which fully explains my position on this subject, as published in the New York Tribune August 1, in which I said the tariff plank is in harmony with the republican national platform of 1896, which says 'we are not pledged to any particular schedule. The question of rates is a practical question to be governed by the conditions of time and production.'"

NOT DEMOCRATIC DOCTRINE

The Iowa platform and the democratic idea of tariff reform are as far apart as the heavens are from the earth. Any changes that the republican party would make would be strictly upon protective lines, while the democratic idea is to put a tariff for revenue only, which means free trade.

ORDERS IN DEPARTMENTS

The comptroller of the currency has approved the Iowa National bank of Des Moines as reserve agent for the First National bank of Prescott, Ia.

The postmaster at Lyman, Cass county, Ia., has been ordered discontinued.

Clemmons Graham has been appointed clerk and Mary T. Desmond appointed substitute clerk in the postoffice at Newton, Ia., and Fred J. Sheer at Nebraska City, Neb.

Charles W. Eddy of Milbank and Will T. Hurst of Deadwood, S. D., have been appointed railway mail clerks.

The postmaster general has sent out advertisements for proposals for carrying the United States mail between the postoffice and depot at Lawrence, Kan., from July 1, 1903, to June 30, 1907, in the following Iowa cities: Burlington, Cedar Rapids, Council Bluffs, Des Moines, Dubuque, Fort Dodge, Keokuk, Ottumwa, Oskaloosa; also advertisements for carrying mail throughout the state on state routes.

W. F. Barnish of Cambria, Wyo., has been appointed a blacksmith at Fort Harrison, Mont.

William Baker of Boston, Mass., has been appointed a clerk at the headquarters of the Department of the Missouri at Omaha. M. H. Jones has been appointed postmaster at Ishawhio, Big Horn county, Wyo. vice Martin L. Jones, resigned.

Additional rural delivery service will be established on October 15 at Janesville, Beemer county, Ia.; area covered, twenty-one square miles; population, 475.

R. McConaghy and wife of York and Mrs. Edward Hayden and two daughters of Omaha are at the Raleigh.

REPUTATION AT BOSTON

(Continued from First Page.) increased 41 per cent since 1890 when his wages have not been increased proportionately.

Following are the nominations: Governor, J. H. Lathrop; congressman-at-large, Rev. C. M. Sheldon; superintendent of public instruction, E. E. Rice of Norton; justice of the supreme court, J. M. S. Jones of Ottumwa; John Madden of Emporia; Frank Dozier of Topeka; J. Y. Robbins of Topeka and W. H. Wakefield of Mound City.

PHOENIX, Ariz., Sept. 17.—The republican territorial convention today nominated for delegate to congress Robert E. Morrison of Prescott, formerly United States district attorney.

TACOMA, Wash., Sept. 17.—The democratic state central committee has named Frank B. Cole of Tacoma as candidate for congress, vice Steven Barron, withdrawn.

OFFICIAL ROGUES' GALLERY

National Prison Congress Decides to Ask Congress for Bureau of Criminal Identification.

PHILADELPHIA, Sept. 17.—The business sessions of the National Prison congress were concluded today. An earnest plea for a national bureau of criminal identification was made by Major Richard Sylvester, superintendent of the Washington police force, at the morning session, and Dr. John T. Bird of the Michigan State reformatory and Dr. Theodore Cook, Jr. of the Maryland State penitentiary discussed recreation and sanitation in prisons.

Major Sylvester's argument for the establishment of a national identification bureau so impressed the delegates that the executive committee was directed to petition congress for an institution of this character.

PIUS CASE IS PRESENTED

Arbitration Board at The Hague Takes Up Old Church Claim.

DIAZ MENTIONS IT IN HIS MESSAGE

Promises to Abide by Decision, but Intimates That It Must Be in Favor of Mexico as Against the Church.

THE HAGUE, Sept. 17.—The international arbitration board today ruled, with reference to the archbishop of Mexico, that Mexico's reply to the United States' memorandum shall be included in the documents in the case and that the plaintiffs have the right to introduce a written reply before September 25, that before the American pleadings Mexico shall be heard and that each side is entitled to make a reply through a single counsel representing each side.

Senator Stewart of Nevada then resumed his presentation of the case of the United States and introduced documents from the archives of the archbishopric of San Francisco in support of his contention that the law of 1755, founding the Pius fund, gave the proceeds in perpetuity for the civilization of the natives of California and the propagation of the Catholic religion in those regions, and that the expulsion of the Jesuits by the king of Spain only changed the trustees of the funds, as the Jesuits were not its owners, but merely trustees for the church.

Senator Stewart dealt lengthily with the Mexican contention and was followed by Garrett McEnery, legal adviser of Archbishop Riordan of San Francisco, who asserted that the Pius fund had been recognized as belonging to Californians by all come necessary by the treaty of Guadalupe in 1849.

McEnery contended that the funds were administered in turn by Jesuits of Spain and Mexico and that the funds were never in the hands of the archbishop, but always retained their original character as trust funds. Mexico's contention that the funds were intended solely or principally for the civilization of Spanish or Mexican subjects was untenable.

McEnery's contention that the first of the session and will resume the pleadings September 22.

YANKEES ARE FOR ROOSEVELT

Connecticut Republicans Declare That They Wish Him Retained Through Another Term.

HARTFORD, Conn., Sept. 17.—Abram Chamberlain of Meriden, the present state comptroller, was today nominated by the Connecticut republican convention as a candidate for governor to succeed Governor George P. McLean, who on account of ill health had declined to be a candidate for another term.

Mr. Chamberlain was opposed for the nomination by Livingston W. Cleveland of New Haven, who, however, was defeated on the first ballot, receiving only 158 votes, while Mr. Chamberlain had 343. Resolutions lauding the administration of President Roosevelt and favoring his nomination for the presidency in 1904 were adopted.

Other nominations were as follows: Lieutenant Governor—Henry Roberts, Hartford.

Secretary of State—Charles O. Vinal, Middletown.

Treasurer—Henry H. Gallup, Norwich. Comptroller—W. E. Seely, Bridgeport.

The ticket was completed by the selection of George L. Lilly of Waterbury for congressman-at-large.

The platform adopted says: We heartily approve and applaud President Roosevelt's vigorous care of the country's interests abroad.

We share his pride in the magnificent work of the American soldier and sailor and the American administrator in the country's new dependencies, and his sentiment against their spoliation of the resources of the United States.

We believe with William McKinley and Theodore Roosevelt in the policy of tariff protection and the key with which to unlock the world's resources.

We believe with William McKinley and Theodore Roosevelt in the policy of tariff protection and the key with which to unlock the world's resources.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

PIUS CASE IS PRESENTED

Arbitration Board at The Hague Takes Up Old Church Claim.

DIAZ MENTIONS IT IN HIS MESSAGE

Promises to Abide by Decision, but Intimates That It Must Be in Favor of Mexico as Against the Church.

THE HAGUE, Sept. 17.—The international arbitration board today ruled, with reference to the archbishop of Mexico, that Mexico's reply to the United States' memorandum shall be included in the documents in the case and that the plaintiffs have the right to introduce a written reply before September 25, that before the American pleadings Mexico shall be heard and that each side is entitled to make a reply through a single counsel representing each side.

Senator Stewart of Nevada then resumed his presentation of the case of the United States and introduced documents from the archives of the archbishopric of San Francisco in support of his contention that the law of 1755, founding the Pius fund, gave the proceeds in perpetuity for the civilization of the natives of California and the propagation of the Catholic religion in those regions, and that the expulsion of the Jesuits by the king of Spain only changed the trustees of the funds, as the Jesuits were not its owners, but merely trustees for the church.

Senator Stewart dealt lengthily with the Mexican contention and was followed by Garrett McEnery, legal adviser of Archbishop Riordan of San Francisco, who asserted that the Pius fund had been recognized as belonging to Californians by all come necessary by the treaty of Guadalupe in 1849.

McEnery contended that the funds were administered in turn by Jesuits of Spain and Mexico and that the funds were never in the hands of the archbishop, but always retained their original character as trust funds. Mexico's contention that the funds were intended solely or principally for the civilization of Spanish or Mexican subjects was untenable.

McEnery's contention that the first of the session and will resume the pleadings September 22.

YANKEES ARE FOR ROOSEVELT

Connecticut Republicans Declare That They Wish Him Retained Through Another Term.

HARTFORD, Conn., Sept. 17.—Abram Chamberlain of Meriden, the present state comptroller, was today nominated by the Connecticut republican convention as a candidate for governor to succeed Governor George P. McLean, who on account of ill health had declined to be a candidate for another term.

Mr. Chamberlain was opposed for the nomination by Livingston W. Cleveland of New Haven, who, however, was defeated on the first ballot, receiving only 158 votes, while Mr. Chamberlain had 343. Resolutions lauding the administration of President Roosevelt and favoring his nomination for the presidency in 1904 were adopted.

Other nominations were as follows: Lieutenant Governor—Henry Roberts, Hartford.

Secretary of State—Charles O. Vinal, Middletown.

Treasurer—Henry H. Gallup, Norwich. Comptroller—W. E. Seely, Bridgeport.

The ticket was completed by the selection of George L. Lilly of Waterbury for congressman-at-large.

The platform adopted says: We heartily approve and applaud President Roosevelt's vigorous care of the country's interests abroad.

We share his pride in the magnificent work of the American soldier and sailor and the American administrator in the country's new dependencies, and his sentiment against their spoliation of the resources of the United States.

We believe with William McKinley and Theodore Roosevelt in the policy of tariff protection and the key with which to unlock the world's resources.

We believe with William McKinley and Theodore Roosevelt in the policy of tariff protection and the key with which to unlock the world's resources.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

PIUS CASE IS PRESENTED

Arbitration Board at The Hague Takes Up Old Church Claim.

DIAZ MENTIONS IT IN HIS MESSAGE

Promises to Abide by Decision, but Intimates That It Must Be in Favor of Mexico as Against the Church.

THE HAGUE, Sept. 17.—The international arbitration board today ruled, with reference to the archbishop of Mexico, that Mexico's reply to the United States' memorandum shall be included in the documents in the case and that the plaintiffs have the right to introduce a written reply before September 25, that before the American pleadings Mexico shall be heard and that each side is entitled to make a reply through a single counsel representing each side.

Senator Stewart of Nevada then resumed his presentation of the case of the United States and introduced documents from the archives of the archbishopric of San Francisco in support of his contention that the law of 1755, founding the Pius fund, gave the proceeds in perpetuity for the civilization of the natives of California and the propagation of the Catholic religion in those regions, and that the expulsion of the Jesuits by the king of Spain only changed the trustees of the funds, as the Jesuits were not its owners, but merely trustees for the church.

Senator Stewart dealt lengthily with the Mexican contention and was followed by Garrett McEnery, legal adviser of Archbishop Riordan of San Francisco, who asserted that the Pius fund had been recognized as belonging to Californians by all come necessary by the treaty of Guadalupe in 1849.

McEnery contended that the funds were administered in turn by Jesuits of Spain and Mexico and that the funds were never in the hands of the archbishop, but always retained their original character as trust funds. Mexico's contention that the funds were intended solely or principally for the civilization of Spanish or Mexican subjects was untenable.

McEnery's contention that the first of the session and will resume the pleadings September 22.

YANKEES ARE FOR ROOSEVELT

Connecticut Republicans Declare That They Wish Him Retained Through Another Term.

HARTFORD, Conn., Sept. 17.—Abram Chamberlain of Meriden, the present state comptroller, was today nominated by the Connecticut republican convention as a candidate for governor to succeed Governor George P. McLean, who on account of ill health had declined to be a candidate for another term.

Mr. Chamberlain was opposed for the nomination by Livingston W. Cleveland of New Haven, who, however, was defeated on the first ballot, receiving only 158 votes, while Mr. Chamberlain had 343. Resolutions lauding the administration of President Roosevelt and favoring his nomination for the presidency in 1904 were adopted.

Other nominations were as follows: Lieutenant Governor—Henry Roberts, Hartford.

Secretary of State—Charles O. Vinal, Middletown.

Treasurer—Henry H. Gallup, Norwich. Comptroller—W. E. Seely, Bridgeport.

The ticket was completed by the selection of George L. Lilly of Waterbury for congressman-at-large.

The platform adopted says: We heartily approve and applaud President Roosevelt's vigorous care of the country's interests abroad.

We share his pride in the magnificent work of the American soldier and sailor and the American administrator in the country's new dependencies, and his sentiment against their spoliation of the resources of the United States.

We believe with William McKinley and Theodore Roosevelt in the policy of tariff protection and the key with which to unlock the world's resources.

We believe with William McKinley and Theodore Roosevelt in the policy of tariff protection and the key with which to unlock the world's resources.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

When the Division Was Made. "When in 1848 upon California was segregated from the Mexican federation, the Mexican government, taking its stand principally on articles 11 and 12 of the constitution of the church in California, who, if they believed they had any claim to urge, ought to have urged it against the government to which the sovereignty of upper California, with all its correlative rights and obligations, had been transferred.

PIUS CASE IS PRESENTED

Arbitration Board at The Hague Takes Up Old Church Claim.

DIAZ MENTIONS IT IN HIS MESSAGE

Promises to Abide by Decision, but Intimates That It Must Be in Favor of Mexico as Against the Church.

THE HAGUE, Sept. 17.—The international arbitration board today ruled, with reference to the archbishop of Mexico, that Mexico's reply to the United States' memorandum shall be included in the documents in the case and that the plaintiffs have the right to introduce a written reply before September 25, that before the American pleadings Mexico shall be heard and that each side is entitled to make a reply through a single counsel representing each side.

Senator Stewart of Nevada then resumed his presentation of the case of the United States and introduced documents from the archives of the archbishopric of San Francisco in support of