

THE OMAHA DAILY BEE.

E. ROSEWATER, Editor.

PUBLISHED EVERY MORNING.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION. Daily Bee (without Sunday), One Year \$3.00

Office: The Bee Building, South Omaha, City Hall Building, Twenty-fifth and N. Street.

Communications relating to news and editorial matter should be addressed to Omaha Bee, Editorial Department.

BUSINESS LETTERS. Business letters and remittances should be addressed to The Bee Publishing Company, Omaha.

REMITTANCES. Remit by draft, express or postal order, payable to The Bee Publishing Company.

Table with 2 columns: Issue number and Price. Includes entries for 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th issues.

Net total sales \$825,084. Net daily average \$27,502.

STOCKTON HETH, Notary Public.

Omaha: The Bee Building, South Omaha, City Hall Building, Twenty-fifth and N. Street.

And now the bakers propose to start a co-operative bakery if they can only make a raise.

Just now the weather man is the only public official actively engaged on the side of the people in their fight against the ice trust.

The work of defacing the High school grounds has begun. The architect does not propose to let anything get away from him.

Canadian detectives are again discovering Fenian plots with a rendezvous at Buffalo. That Fenian plot was discovered in Omaha at the very outbreak of the South African war.

Nebraska dentists have just reorganized for another year's campaign upon the teeth of Nebraska people. In these days of prosperity the dental crop ought to be as prolific as any other.

The government of Nicaragua has presented the American minister with a handsome walking stick. As long as he is not presented with his walking papers the minister will be all right.

Attorney General Smyth seems to be too busy with politics and contempt cases to pay any attention to the Omaha ice trust.

Payments sometimes get to a point where renewal is more economical than repair. That is the condition of a number of Omaha streets.

The Nebraska delegation to the Methodist general conference will earn a brass band reception on their return if they can bring back with them the restoration of Omaha as the seat of the bishop for this district.

The secretary of the treasury has issued a call for the redemption of \$25,000,000 of government bonds. More evidence that the country is not now living under the burden of democratic hard times.

The article which the fusion judges of the supreme court object to as calculated to impugn their honor was printed in The Bee, April 19. In a word, it has taken these judges nearly a month to discover that their honor was endangered.

The Jacksonians and the County Democracy are preparing again to appoint themselves delegates to their state and congressional conventions. Where the other democrats come in will require the aid of a telescope to discover.

The high court of public opinion, which is higher than any other court in the land, is always in session, it never gets insulted and does not have to protect its honor or maintain its dignity by throttling free speech or abridging the freedom of the press.

The line of communication is down between the governor's office at Lincoln and the Asylum for the Feeble Minded at Beatrice. Latest reports from the scene indicate that Dr. Lang was entrenched behind a judicial kope and had ample provisions to stand a siege.

Ex-Queen Lil of Hawaii announces that she is going back to the islands never to return to this country. It is really too bad that Washington is to be deprived of the only bit of royalty which gave life in the capital city an aristocratic flavor.

Former Democratic State Chairman Jim Dahlman gives it out publicly that he is for falling the Bryan ticket up with Towne. Dahlman, however, is not a member of the Nebraska delegation to Kansas City and will have no vote there to cast for Mr. Towne.

A page of Lincoln society girls posed in costumes appropriate for the different athletic sports which they pursue with the aid of their fathers.

Former Democratic State Chairman Jim Dahlman gives it out publicly that he is for falling the Bryan ticket up with Towne.

A page of Lincoln society girls posed in costumes appropriate for the different athletic sports which they pursue with the aid of their fathers.

RELIEF OF MAKELING

The siege of Makelung has occupied a prominent place among the incidents of the South African war since the beginning of the conflict and the relief of that place has naturally caused great joy in England.

For sometime the garrison and the people of the besieged town have been subsisting on short rations, a portion of which consisted of horse and mule meat, but the hardships and privations were patiently borne.

The strategic importance of Makelung was evidenced by the prolonged Boer investment of the place and its relief must therefore be regarded as a decided gain for the British.

WHAT THE ENVOYS WANT.

There is reason to think that the Boer envoys are not well informed as to the obligations imposed upon nations by international law and that they came here with still less knowledge of the traditional policy of the United States respecting affairs in the Old World.

In the first place, the mortgage on The Bee building, which is quoted at \$410,000, is only \$210,000, and the gross income, quoted at \$64,000, was only \$54,000 for the year 1899.

Quite apart from any personal matter, the farmers of Nebraska, who care nothing about the mortgage on The Bee building, have a right to ask, why have Governor Poynter, Treasurer Meserve and Auditor Cornell, who were elected on pledges to equalize the burdens

The government of the United States transmitted the proposals of the Boer envoys to the British government and tendered its good offices in the interest of peace in South Africa.

The government of the United States transmitted the proposals of the Boer envoys to the British government and tendered its good offices in the interest of peace in South Africa.

The government of the United States transmitted the proposals of the Boer envoys to the British government and tendered its good offices in the interest of peace in South Africa.

The government of the United States transmitted the proposals of the Boer envoys to the British government and tendered its good offices in the interest of peace in South Africa.

The government of the United States transmitted the proposals of the Boer envoys to the British government and tendered its good offices in the interest of peace in South Africa.

The government of the United States transmitted the proposals of the Boer envoys to the British government and tendered its good offices in the interest of peace in South Africa.

The government of the United States transmitted the proposals of the Boer envoys to the British government and tendered its good offices in the interest of peace in South Africa.

OTHER LANDS THAN OURS.

The British naval journals are commenting with much enthusiasm upon a scheme for the establishment of an extensive armor plating and gun plant on the banks of the Tees, near Durham.

Omaha business men can well afford to encourage Yankeetown in its desire to secure direct railroad connection with this city. A railroad which would open up the central portion of South Dakota to Omaha trade would be of incalculable benefit.

Neither of the national conventions of the big parties of 1900 will be a cataclysmic event for the purposes of national defense. The gathering in Philadelphia will not only name the next president and vice president, but it will formulate the policy which will prevail for many years in the future.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

THE SPOILS OF PIRACY.

Expropriation of free government in South Africa now seems imminent. The Boers are hopelessly outnumbered and all that is left for them seems to be dogged retreat and the destruction of everything in their country that the invaders covet.

Neither of the national conventions of the big parties of 1900 will be a cataclysmic event for the purposes of national defense. The gathering in Philadelphia will not only name the next president and vice president, but it will formulate the policy which will prevail for many years in the future.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

Chicago and Cleveland have declared war against the billboard nuisance and propose to make a vigorous effort to have it abated. The practice of permitting bills and advertisements to be put up in any and all sorts of places has become a nuisance.

IS THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT?

The Articles of Which Complaint is Made that the Honor of the Supreme Court is Impugned—Sentences in Brackets are Innuendoes Inserted by Attorney General.

WORTHY OF SERIOUS CONSIDERATION.

Have you formed or expressed an opinion in this case? If he answers in the affirmative, the question is asked whether he still retains the opinion expressed. If he says he does, the juror is promptly excused from serving by reason of being disqualified.

The test of impartiality applied to jurors is equally applicable to judges. It is an established principle that it is not proper for a judge to try an indictment signed by him as prosecuting attorney.

The point raised by City Attorney Connell in the Omaha Police Commission case (meaning thereby to refer to the objection which had been filed by said Connell as counsel for the said mayor and city council of the city of Omaha to Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, participating as a judge of said court, in the determination of the cause of the State of Nebraska on relation of Constantine J. Smyth, attorney general, against Frank A. Kennedy, et al, aforesaid, then pending in said court, that Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of said court) is disqualified from taking part in its meaning the said case of the State of Nebraska against Frank A. Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid hearing is worthy of serious consideration. While acting as governor Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid) was a party to the proceeding (meaning thereby the proceeding and cause of the said State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid), which the supreme court (meaning the supreme court of Nebraska), of which he (meaning the said Silas A. Holcomb) is a member, is now asked to reopen and review. Governor Holcomb (meaning the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid) was not merely a party to the appointment of the disqualified board, but he was a juror in its behalf. His views (meaning the views of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), on the validity of the Police Commission law (meaning the law the validity of which was involved in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Frank A. Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), that was declared unconstitutional by the supreme court, were published while that case was pending, giving in an exhaustive form the reasons why he (meaning the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid) believed the said court (meaning the said supreme court) to be wrong.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, in said last mentioned cause and whose unbiased interpretation of the constitution is the bulwark of our free institutions.

Having prejudged the case (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, one of the judges of the supreme court of Nebraska, had prejudged or decided in advance of the determination thereof by the court of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), Judge Holcomb (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), must certainly realize that it would be in conflict with the spirit, if not the letter, of the constitution and the laws for him (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to use his judicial position (meaning thereby the judicial position of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), to sustain himself (meaning thereby the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), in his former declarations (meaning thereby the declarations of the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), and meaning also thereby to charge that the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, would, by participating in the decision of the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, pending as aforesaid, violate the constitution of the state of Nebraska, as said state and the oath of office of the said Silas A. Holcomb, as judge of said court, and would decide the cause aforesaid not according to law, but in violation thereof). To set the precedent by participating in this case (meaning the case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, aforesaid), after having formed and expressed an opinion (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), had expressed an opinion in the said case of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy, et al, would lower the standard of the tribunal (meaning the supreme court of the state of Nebraska, in which impartial and equal justice is expected to be administered) (meaning thereby that the said Silas A. Holcomb, judge aforesaid), did participate as a judge in the determination of the said cause of the State of Nebraska against Kennedy,