

## COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL

**Letter Unloads an Enormous Quantity of July Wheat.**

## THIS CAUSES A BREAK IN THAT MARKET

**May Wheat Touches the Highest Point Since 1882, but Covers a Range of Seven Cents During the Day.**

**CHICAGO, April 15.—The unloading of**

something like \$600,000 bushels of July wheat today by Joseph Leiter resulted in a break of 2 cents in that option. May also declined sharply, closing 10c lower than it did yesterday. The wildness and activity of the market is shown by the fact that there was no difference between the high and low points of May and July in July. The high point for May—\$1.15—is the highest since 1882. Corn and oats declined about 10c each in sympathy. Provisions were steady and showed slight close advances.

Grains and beans started with the usual

jumps in May and new high prices were

made for that delivery. May closed yesterday at \$1.11, but opened today all the way

from \$1.12 to \$1.14, and in two minutes time

it had been up to \$1.15. Not since 1882 has

wheat reached such a high level. A sharp

break to \$1.10 followed, followed by a quick

recovery to \$1.11. After that all interest

near the market was centered in the

availability of long July wheat which was

thrown on the market by the big longs.

July opened at \$1.0850, compared with

the previous day's price of \$1.0750, but

had it off at \$1.0850 and the decline

which started did not halt until \$1.0650

was recorded. Then the market rested, but

the gains were held, and when it was

reduced and it was estimated that at

least \$600,000 bushel was dumped on the market

in the hour and a half which followed the

opening, the market was again

creased, with \$600,000 bushel being

added to the market.

The opening of the market was

conflicting in nature, but generally favorable.

Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the

market had gone down to \$1.0850, it

also went down to a certain extent and up

to \$1.10 the decline was very gradual, but

in the last half hour's trading, liquidation

suffered, though more from lack of support

than from extensive offerings. It was evi-

dent then that the early budge in May was

due to the fact that the market had

been credited with disposing of a large proportion of this. Others credited it

to Armour, Leiter and others. The open-

ing position of the market was

the heavy they disposed of, coupled with

the enormous cash sales the last week must

have materially reduced the line of holdings,

so that when the market opened for this

market opened, but apart from

that no real reason for the weakness could

be gathered. Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the

market had gone down to \$1.0850, it

also went down to a certain extent and up

to \$1.10 the decline was very gradual, but

in the last half hour's trading, liquidation

suffered, though more from lack of support

than from extensive offerings. It was evi-

dent then that the early budge in May was

due to the fact that the market had

been credited with disposing of a large proportion of this. Others credited it

to Armour, Leiter and others. The open-

ing position of the market was

the heavy they disposed of, coupled with

the enormous cash sales the last week must

have materially reduced the line of holdings,

so that when the market opened for this

market opened, but apart from

that no real reason for the weakness could

be gathered. Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the

market had gone down to \$1.0850, it

also went down to a certain extent and up

to \$1.10 the decline was very gradual, but

in the last half hour's trading, liquidation

suffered, though more from lack of support

than from extensive offerings. It was evi-

dent then that the early budge in May was

due to the fact that the market had

been credited with disposing of a large proportion of this. Others credited it

to Armour, Leiter and others. The open-

ing position of the market was

the heavy they disposed of, coupled with

the enormous cash sales the last week must

have materially reduced the line of holdings,

so that when the market opened for this

market opened, but apart from

that no real reason for the weakness could

be gathered. Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the

market had gone down to \$1.0850, it

also went down to a certain extent and up

to \$1.10 the decline was very gradual, but

in the last half hour's trading, liquidation

suffered, though more from lack of support

than from extensive offerings. It was evi-

dent then that the early budge in May was

due to the fact that the market had

been credited with disposing of a large proportion of this. Others credited it

to Armour, Leiter and others. The open-

ing position of the market was

the heavy they disposed of, coupled with

the enormous cash sales the last week must

have materially reduced the line of holdings,

so that when the market opened for this

market opened, but apart from

that no real reason for the weakness could

be gathered. Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the

market had gone down to \$1.0850, it

also went down to a certain extent and up

to \$1.10 the decline was very gradual, but

in the last half hour's trading, liquidation

suffered, though more from lack of support

than from extensive offerings. It was evi-

dent then that the early budge in May was

due to the fact that the market had

been credited with disposing of a large proportion of this. Others credited it

to Armour, Leiter and others. The open-

ing position of the market was

the heavy they disposed of, coupled with

the enormous cash sales the last week must

have materially reduced the line of holdings,

so that when the market opened for this

market opened, but apart from

that no real reason for the weakness could

be gathered. Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the

market had gone down to \$1.0850, it

also went down to a certain extent and up

to \$1.10 the decline was very gradual, but

in the last half hour's trading, liquidation

suffered, though more from lack of support

than from extensive offerings. It was evi-

dent then that the early budge in May was

due to the fact that the market had

been credited with disposing of a large proportion of this. Others credited it

to Armour, Leiter and others. The open-

ing position of the market was

the heavy they disposed of, coupled with

the enormous cash sales the last week must

have materially reduced the line of holdings,

so that when the market opened for this

market opened, but apart from

that no real reason for the weakness could

be gathered. Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the

market had gone down to \$1.0850, it

also went down to a certain extent and up

to \$1.10 the decline was very gradual, but

in the last half hour's trading, liquidation

suffered, though more from lack of support

than from extensive offerings. It was evi-

dent then that the early budge in May was

due to the fact that the market had

been credited with disposing of a large proportion of this. Others credited it

to Armour, Leiter and others. The open-

ing position of the market was

the heavy they disposed of, coupled with

the enormous cash sales the last week must

have materially reduced the line of holdings,

so that when the market opened for this

market opened, but apart from

that no real reason for the weakness could

be gathered. Other buyers were evidently

brought nearly all the small "tellers" in

with their lots of long wheat. After the