

MILLER PARK WINS

Chosen as the Site for the Transmississippi Exposition.

EIGHTEN BALLOTS REQUIRED TO DO IT

Twenty-Eight Votes for Miller Park to Twenty-Two for Hanson.

DIRECTORATE MAKES IT UNANIMOUS

Best of Feeling Prevails After the Obois is Finally Made.

LOBBY MAKES ITSELF SEEN AND HEARD

Factions Shake Hands and Everybody Will Now Work Hard to Make the Big Show a Success.

The Transmississippi and International Exposition will be located in Miller park. This was decided on the first ballot at the meeting of the Board of Directors last night and the Miller park site had two votes more than a majority of the full board.

The president then ordered the roll called on the selection of a site. There were no further motions and the roll called proceeded, with the following result:

For Miller Park—Babecek, Bidwell, Brown, Callahan, Creighton, Hildebrand, Kuntze, Judd, Kipphut, Kuntze, Lee, Lyman, Markel, Metz, Millard, Murray, Paxton, Rosewater, Smith, Thompson, Van Allen, Wells, Wharton, Wilcox, Willmott, York, Young.

When Mr. Creighton announced his vote for Miller park there was a slight ripple of applause and the roll called proceeded. Mr. Kuntze followed suit. This virtually decided the matter, but there was no demurrer. The roll called then the Miller park directors and the entire lobby joined in one grand shout which threatened to raise the roof of the hall.

ABSENT DIRECTORS PAIRED OFF.

When President Wattles called the meeting to order every member of the directory appeared in person or by written ballot.

MRS. BRADLEY-MARTIN'S BALL.

One of the Greatest Society Events of the Season. Mrs. Bradley-Martin tonight, Colman have been written about the affair and pictures have been drawn, but the fancy of the reporter.

THE END.

President Wattles announced that the first business in order would be the calling of the roll on the selection of a site.

Mr. Lindsey explained his motion by saying that there were directors present who were known to be voting contrary to their consciences.

Mr. Webster raised the point of order that the motion was out of order for the reason that the board had already determined the course to be pursued in taking the vote on a site.

The chair sustained the point of order and ruled that the motion was out of order.

Mr. Montgomery at once revived the subject by moving that the ballot on a site be taken in writing.

PRESIDENT IS SUSTAINED.

The chair renewed the former ruling and declared the motion out of order.

Again a roll call was ordered for a vote on the site, but before a name had been called Mr. Price moved that the meeting be allowed to address the meeting briefly on the accessibility of Hanson park by means of the railroad.

The chair ruled the motion out of order, but Mr. Anderson protested against the ruling and the board of directors was not working under the strict order of parliamentary law.

Mr. Hildebrand moved that the Street Railway company be given ten minutes to present the advantages of Miller park from a transportation standpoint.

MCKINLEY IS COUNTED IN

Final Act in the Election of President of the United States.

FORMAL ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE RESULT

Congress Meets in Joint Session in the Hall of the House of Representatives.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 10.—The electoral votes of the forty-five states of the union were formally counted at the joint session held today at the Capitol.

Although the sky was overcast and the weather was inauspicious, yet the galleries of the hall of representatives were thronged.

Turning to Mr. Sherman, who sat across the aisle, Mr. Morgan said: "The senator from Ohio will never be able to execute his duty as a senator unless he is supported by the people."

The incoming president would have to make good his promise to the people.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

TITLE IS FAR FROM PERFECT

Department of Justice Dissatisfied with the South Omaha Deal.

MAY HAVE TO START ALL OVER AGAIN

Treasury Department is Urged to Take the Site Selected, but the Hitches Are Numerous and Perplexing.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 10.—(Special Telegram.)—The Department of Justice, in passing upon the title of the South Omaha building property, is not at all satisfied with the present conditions.

The Postoffice department, although important to issue a stamp commemorating the Transmississippi Exposition, has decided to leave their issuance to the incoming administration.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

DEMOCRATS VOTE FOR A DEMOCRAT

Eight Candidates Receive Votes in Joint Ballot for Senator.

PIERRE, S. D., Feb. 10.—(Special Telegram.)—In the senatorial ballot today the democrats for the first time cast their ten votes for a democrat.

The house devoted most of the session to the Dowdell contest and after a long parliamentary jangle voted to re-elect the incumbent in his seat by a vote of 41 to 29.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 10.—(Special Telegram.)—The Department of Justice, in passing upon the title of the South Omaha building property, is not at all satisfied with the present conditions.

The Postoffice department, although important to issue a stamp commemorating the Transmississippi Exposition, has decided to leave their issuance to the incoming administration.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

Mr. Morgan laid before the senate a letter from William L. McKelick, consul of San Francisco, expressing the confidence that McKinley would execute his duty as a senator.

SIGWART A SAMPLE

His Conduct Viewed in the Light of Recent Petitions.

POLICE REFORM AS EXEMPLIFIED BY HIM

Chief of the Force Proves a Bright and Shining Light.

ROUTINE OF ONE OF HIS OFFICIAL TRIPS

What He Did While "Inspecting" the Bertillon System.

ENJOYS CHICAGO BEER AND BAD WOMEN

Time Spent in Carousing at Saloons and Parading the Streets and a Bill Sent to the City.

It is an old and well established maxim that a stream never rises above its source. Accepting this deduction as logical, it is not to be wondered at that the police force should be in such a lamentable condition, or that this city should be the scene of so much unpunished crime and debauchery.

The Dec has already shown how the present police force, organized by reinstatement and promotion of all the officers who had been dismissed by the old board for misconduct and incompetency.

With the principal posts of honor given to men whose records had brought them into disgrace, it is hardly to be wondered that subordinates have no incentive to efficient performance of duty or fall into disrepute by following the examples of their superiors.

Especially in the man chosen by the "reform" police board to preside over the department as chief of police have the people of this city been afforded a picture of reform from which they must turn their heads away in shame.

At T. Sigwart, the present chief of police, is not wanting for a record both before and after his last appointment. Neither is he content with that record, but would naturally expect to find associated with the name of a "reform" chief of police, there are numerous stories afloat concerning some of Sigwart's secret escapades in Omaha, right where he is charged with the duty and responsibility of repressing crime.

He has been somewhat circumspect in his actions here, but he has not been equally well in Chicago. He went on a recent trip to Chicago to inspect the "reform" police board to preside over the department as chief of police have the people of this city been afforded a picture of reform from which they must turn their heads away in shame.

In June, 1896, Chief Sigwart went to Chicago, ostensibly to inspect the Bertillon system of tracing criminals, but he was not on official business and in an official capacity. Upon reaching Chicago he might have been expected to have gone to the proper place, inspected the system and returned home. Did he do this? Well, hardly. On the contrary he proceeded at once to have a "good time" and it is not to be wondered at that he was equally circumspect when away from Omaha, the following account of his visit to Chicago might read differently:

WHY HE WENT THERE.

In June, 1896, Chief Sigwart went to Chicago, ostensibly to inspect the Bertillon system of tracing criminals, but he was not on official business and in an official capacity. Upon reaching Chicago he might have been expected to have gone to the proper place, inspected the system and returned home. Did he do this? Well, hardly. On the contrary he proceeded at once to have a "good time" and it is not to be wondered at that he was equally circumspect when away from Omaha, the following account of his visit to Chicago might read differently:

WHY HE WENT THERE.

In June, 1896, Chief Sigwart went to Chicago, ostensibly to inspect the Bertillon system of tracing criminals, but he was not on official business and in an official capacity. Upon reaching Chicago he might have been expected to have gone to the proper place, inspected the system and returned home. Did he do this? Well, hardly. On the contrary he proceeded at once to have a "good time" and it is not to be wondered at that he was equally circumspect when away from Omaha, the following account of his visit to Chicago might read differently:

WHY HE WENT THERE.

In June, 1896, Chief Sigwart went to Chicago, ostensibly to inspect the Bertillon system of tracing criminals, but he was not on official business and in an official capacity. Upon reaching Chicago he might have been expected to have gone to the proper place, inspected the system and returned home. Did he do this? Well, hardly. On the contrary he proceeded at once to have a "good time" and it is not to be wondered at that he was equally circumspect when away from Omaha, the following account of his visit to Chicago might read differently:

WHY HE WENT THERE.

In June, 1896, Chief Sigwart went to Chicago, ostensibly to inspect the Bertillon system of tracing criminals, but he was not on official business and in an official capacity. Upon reaching Chicago he might have been expected to have gone to the proper place, inspected the system and returned home. Did he do this? Well, hardly. On the contrary he proceeded at once to have a "good time" and it is not to be wondered at that he was equally circumspect when away from Omaha, the following account of his visit to Chicago might read differently:

WHY HE WENT THERE.

In June, 1896, Chief Sigwart went to Chicago, ostensibly to inspect the Bertillon system of tracing criminals, but he was not on official business and in an official capacity. Upon reaching Chicago he might have been expected to have gone to the proper place, inspected the system and returned home. Did he do this? Well, hardly. On the contrary he proceeded at once to have a "good time" and it is not to be wondered at that he was equally circumspect when away from Omaha, the following account of his visit to Chicago might read differently:

WHY HE WENT THERE.

In June, 1896, Chief Sigwart went to Chicago, ostensibly to inspect the Bertillon system of tracing criminals, but he was not on official business and in an official capacity. Upon reaching Chicago he might have been expected to have gone to the proper place, inspected the system and returned home. Did he do this? Well, hardly. On the contrary he proceeded at once to have a "good time" and it is not to be wondered at that he was equally circumspect when away from Omaha, the following account of his visit to Chicago might read differently:

WHY HE WENT THERE.