

THE OMAHA SUNDAY BEE

Published every morning. Terms: Daily (without Sunday) One Year, \$3.00. Daily (with Sunday) One Year, \$3.50. Three Months, \$1.00. Single Copies, 5c.

General Manager: J. B. Rosewater. Editor: J. B. Rosewater. Business Manager: J. B. Rosewater. Advertising Manager: J. B. Rosewater.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

IN A FOLLOW OF THE HILLS: A story dealing in his old-time way with road work and adventures in a forest, mine discoveries and earth-quake.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

Anything else necessary for Majors' vindication? Governor Holcomb seems to have rounded up Mr. Bryan about right.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

Senator Gorman proudly boasts of being still able to control the democrats of his own state and asks inquisitively whether President Cleveland can say as much for himself.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

Holmes, the man of many murders, ought to employ the services of the Omaha coroner's jury that found that Seljan had thrown his own dead body into the river to clear him.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

When it comes to having Omaha matters purely local settled by Majors of Peru and Russell of Schuyler it is not about time to stop talking about local self-government altogether?

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

The Indian war at Jackson's Hole has petered out, but the necessity of continuing in the Department of the Platte the posts that were temporarily annexed to it has not been removed.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

The Burlington will be ordered by the State Board of Transportation to open and maintain its station at La Platte just about the time that it would be being compelled by increasing business to reopen the station of its own accord.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

What Douglas county can do in the way of raising sugar beets many other counties of the state can do approximately, if not equally, as well.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

Turkey has once more been recommended by the other European powers. This is not the first time Turkey has made similar promises. All previous promises, however, have been broken almost before made.

A NEW SERIAL STORY.

The Indian war is over. The Union Pacific hauled a few hundred troops from Fort Robinson to Market Lake, and now it will haul them back again. This is not war. It is simply a matter of business.

THE CHURCHILL-RUSSELL COMMISSION.

"Do we ever grapes from thorns or figs from thistles?" What was true two thousand years ago is true in our own day.

When the late hostile legislature sought to impeach A. S. Churchill and H. C. Russell to name the members of the Omaha Board of Fire and Police Commissioners it was a foregone conclusion that their appointees would be men of their own ilk.

Both Churchill and Russell had enjoyed an unenviable notoriety. Russell had been tangled up with a gang of legislative bootleggers and oil room lobbyists in 1887, the very same year and the very same month that Churchill had been indicted for larceny by an Iowa grand jury.

Of all the political desperadoes who have ever played a role in the political arena of this city or state Broatch has no peer but Vandervoort and Vandervoort no peer but Broatch.

Each has displayed talents in packing conventions, running repeaters and log-rolling jobs and steals through councils and legislatures that would have made Boss Tweed and Charley Mosher turn green with envy.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

Each has done all his fighting with his own jaw. He is an anti-monopolist who makes a living by playing capper and decoy for all the monopolies that are willing to hire him.

of vessels bearing the flag of every nationality, leaving the British vessels entirely out of consideration, the stars and stripes appeared only seventy-eight times. The appearance of our flag in the ports of other European countries is still more rare and it is said that probably the United States is a country not on the Baltic sea. Of 11,000 vessels which passed through the Suez canal during the last three years only six carried the American flag and two of these were war vessels.

The shipping engaged in our lake and coastwise trade is large, amounting to over 4,000,000 tons, and is steadily increasing, but our place on the seas is humiliatingly weak, whereas a generation ago the merchant vessels of the United States were more frequently seen in the ports of the world than those of almost any other nation and 75 per cent of our exports and imports were transported in American bottoms.

To regain our lost position and restore the flag to the seas is a practical and patriotic duty that should command the earnest attention of American statesmen.

A MORE DECIDED STANCE. A widespread interest is being shown in the case of the American ex-consul imprisoned at Marseilles and there is but one expression regarding the duty of the government, which is that there must be a firm insistence upon the demand made upon the French government for full official information regarding the case.

The report of the president of the State Relief commission was originally written and dated May 31, 1895. The date was subsequently changed to June 20 when it was expected that the report would be given to the public by the first of July.

It was again changed just before the report was actually delivered to the governor, to whom it is addressed. In that report the president says: "The commission has exercised its privileges and duties, completed its functions of relief and exhausted its powers."

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

The report of the Home for the Friendless shows that two adults were admitted during the year and that the number of adult inmates during the year was thirteen. When this institution was first made a recipient of state aid it was represented that it was solely a home for destitute children.

AN ENEMY TO THE SCHOOLS. The Professed Defenders of Public Schools Their Worst Foes. Springfield (Mass.) Republican, August 1. The A. P. A. school board of Omaha is finding it difficult to get a first-class man to assume the duty of superintendent of that city, from which Dr. P. Marble, formerly of Worcester, was removed. Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

Dr. Marble was got rid of because he would not dismiss certain teachers who were Roman Catholics because they were Roman Catholics, at the demand of the A. P. A. members of the school board, and because he would not run the schools as an annex to the A. P. A. machine.

BLASPHEMOUS FROM RAMS HORN. The hog of a bear is no sign of affection. The snout that helps others has to begin in the heart. Let a man define life and he tells how much he lives. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five. The man is very poor who can put his right hand in his pocket and draw a crumpled five.

SECULAR SHOTS AT THE PULPIT. Chicago Tribune: The Georgia parson who says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. He is making an ass of himself. He says the devil is in his bloomer and onto bicycles is evidence in favor of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls.

THE BANNOCK COMOTION. Kansas City Star: Much sympathy is being expressed in the east on the Bannock Indian in Wyoming, who, beyond all question, violated the game laws of the state. Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?

Are not those who are pushing the Bannock Indian to a men setting a bad example in thus attempting to uphold law-breakers, and would they show equal consideration for poor whites who are being unjustly prosecuted?