

SCHOOL YEAR SHORTENED

Plan Adopted by the Board of Education to Meet the Deficit.

ONE MONTH OF THE TERM CUT OFF

This Course Taken in Preference to Calling Salaries of High School Teachers and Janitors as Finance Committee Suggested—Other Business.

At the meeting of the Board of Education held last night an attempt was made to plunge the knife into the salaries of high school teachers and all janitors receiving more than \$50 per month, but this was defeated and in the end the school year was shortened one month.

Along the line of retrenchment Mr. Rhodes presented the following report: A committee to which was referred the question of finance would respectfully recommend that the school year be closed on May 25, 1895, and that the salaries of teachers, principals and janitors be adjusted on that basis.

Mr. Pierson submitted a report, which was in line with that of Mr. Rhodes, with the exception that it spared the teachers in the high school and the janitors from the cut.

Mr. Rhodes maintained that in Omaha high school teachers and janitors were paid more than in other cities. Mr. Burgess insisted that Mr. Rhodes was in error, and in support of his position read from a list showing that Omaha salaries were much lower than in other cities.

Mr. Elgutter thought that it was true that high school teachers and janitors were paid good salaries, but he did not think that they should be made the victims to help make up a shortage in revenues.

The adoption of Mr. Rhodes' report was defeated by a vote of eight to four, while the report submitted by Mr. Pierson was adopted by a unanimous vote.

James B. Meikle, the attorney for the Board of Education, reported that he had consulted with the city authorities upon the matter of special taxes paid by the school district of Omaha on account of the Ten-cent street viaduct.

Some forty of the citizens of Central park and vicinity petitioned for a night school in that vicinity. In their petition they promised to pay the salary of a teacher, providing the Board of Education would supply room, heat, light and books.

Superintendent Marble reported that there were 579 children attending schools outside of their respective districts, not including those transferred on account of lack of room in the schools in the districts in which they resided.

There were six bids on the proposition to sell the board a site for a school building in the vicinity of Fortieth and Davenport streets. The bids ranged from \$8,000 down to \$5,500. All bids were referred.

By resolution the superintendent of buildings was instructed to report the kind and quantity of coal used each month during the coming winter, making his report to the board each month.

The judiciary committee issued an instruction that nonresident pupils report their attendance to the superintendent of schools, that the cases might be presented for consideration.

Just before adjournment the second attempt to cut salaries was made. Mr. Lowe offered a resolution, providing for a cut of 12 1/2 per cent on salaries of high school teachers, taking effect November 1. When the vote was taken, the resolution was defeated, Messrs. Lowe and Rhodes alone voting for its adoption.

Beyond all rivals in leavening power is Dr. Price's Cream Baking Powder. Government analysis shows it 146 cubic inches available carbonic acid per ounce, which is higher by far than any other baking powder known.

CHARTER AMENDMENT WORK

Number of Subcommittees Appointed—Daily Sessions Will Be Held.

The reorganized charter amendment committee held its first meeting in the mayor's office yesterday afternoon. No business was transacted beyond the appointment of subcommittees. A general discussion was had as to the methods to be employed.

The committee organized by electing E. Howell chairman, and D. H. Wheeler secretary. A suggestion that the sessions of the committee be held in the evening in the council chamber was adopted, and the mayor suggested that the committee meet every night for at least a week, in order that the contemplated amendments might be formulated, and made an issue in the legislative campaign.

The resolution offered by Mayor Remis to the old committee, providing that the board of public works be taken up and briefly discussed at the next meeting of the Board of Public Works, was not taken up, and the board of public works was not called to order.

On Assessments—A. F. Tukey, D. H. Wheeler, John Lemly.

Day Labor—W. S. Poppleton, L. D. Fowler, H. F. Wyman.

Paving, Repairing and Sewerage—Andrew Rosewater, W. F. Bechel, J. H. Winspore.

City Council Meeting: The adjourned session of the city council last night only lasted long enough to allow the passage of a resolution authorizing a

change of grade on Woolworth avenue from Thirty-third to Thirty-sixth streets. An adjournment was taken until tonight to allow the democratic members to attend the justification.

Beyond Comparison. Are the good qualities possessed by Hood's Sarsaparilla. Above all it purifies the blood, thus strengthening the nerves, it regulates the digestive organs, invigorates the kidneys and liver, tones and builds up the entire system, cures scurvy, dyspepsia, catarrh and rheumatism. Get Hood's and only Hood's.

Hood's Pills cure all liver ills, biliousness, jaundice, indigestion, sick headache. 25c.

"THE CREDIT OF NEBRASKA."

Mr. E. Rosewater Will Address Business Men at Boyd's Opera House.

Mr. E. Rosewater will address the business men of this community Wednesday evening, October 17, at 8 o'clock.

Boyd's opera house has been secured for the occasion. A certain portion of the house will be reserved for gentlemen with their ladies.

Mr. Rosewater will discuss "The Credit of Nebraska—The Moral and Mercenary View of the State Campaign."

Every business man who feels an interest in the result of the state election is invited. Ushers will be in attendance and admission will be by ticket. Parties holding reserved seats are requested to claim their tickets before 8:15.

Admission tickets are being distributed among the business and professional men of the city. Ladies are especially invited.

HAYDEN BROS.

21 pounds granulated sugar, \$1.00; California plums, 10c; gage, 10c; golden drop, 12c; apricots, can, 12c; jelly, can, 12c; sugar corn, 12c; cornstarch, 12c; flour, 12c; instant rising pancake flour, 12c; 3 pound can golden pumpkin, 8c; 3 pound can Golden Syrup, 8c; chicken, etc., 15c; popular brands of soap, bar, 10c; toilet soaps, can, 25c.

TEA AND COFFEE SALE. There are over 1,000,000 sacks of coffee in our hands this year than there was this time last year. It is the reason why coffee is so low in price.

After being introduced as the ex-governor of this state and the next congressman from this district, Mr. Boyd stated that on the advice of his physician he would not be very brief. He held that it was the duty of every candidate for office to declare his position on the various issues before the people.

Mr. Boyd took up first the recent financial panic, and argued at some length against the assertion that it had been brought about by fear of the tariff. The panic, he declared, was brought about by the bankers and money lenders.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1. Personally, he was directly interested in the estimate of the silver mine producing 3,000 ounces per week.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

RALLY OF OMAHA DEMOCRATS

First Big Meeting of the Campaign at Washington Hall Last Night.

BOYD AND OTHER CANDIDATES SPEAK

Enthusiastic Support of Holcomb and Other Nominees Not a Matter to Be Questioned—Propose to Shut the Railroads Out.

The democrats inaugurated their local campaign last evening with a procession headed by the First Regiment band, and a rally at Washington hall. The principal speaker of the evening was ex-Governor James E. Boyd, democratic candidate for congress.

Mr. Boyd was in bad form, having arisen from a sick bed to be present at the meeting, and he spoke with evident difficulty. The larger part of his address was devoted to a discussion of the tariff and the silver question, and upon the latter he took decided issue with the doctrine of free coinage.

Harry Miller called the meeting to order. Before introducing the speakers, he said a few things on his own account. Like his distinguished friend in New York, he was a democrat, and with all the ardor and enthusiasm of his makeup he proposed to work for the election of every man on the democratic ticket, from Silas A. Holcomb down to

Crechell Parker. The reporter said, had nominated a man who had taken the republican authority in Nebraska was notoriously unfit for the position of governor. Tom Majors, asserted the speaker, was the representative of a corrupt ring, and the democrats had decided to assist in defeating him.

He said that he would find no fault with John McShane, W. A. Paxton, W. S. McHugh and Euclid Martin, but he wanted to tell them that there were enough populists and enough recent, honest democrats in Nebraska to elect Holcomb.

C. J. Smyth, chairman of the meeting, was the next speaker. He said that in the present congressional campaign there were three candidates, and that beyond question the contest was between the republican and democratic nominees. There was no hope for the election of the populist candidates.

Mr. Smyth also referred to the calamity which he said that the cry raised that Nebraska credit would be ruined by the election of the democratic candidate for governor was false. The state of Nebraska, he said, did not need to borrow money. It had money in its treasury, and it also had a great deal of money not in its treasury.

Referring to the assertion that the election of Holcomb would drive eastern investors away, he said that the constitution of Nebraska prevented any legislation impairing the obligation of contracts, and neither democrats nor populists could legislate against the eastern investor, even if they could.

BOYD DECLARES HIS POSITION. After being introduced as the ex-governor of this state and the next congressman from this district, Mr. Boyd stated that on the advice of his physician he would not be very brief. He held that it was the duty of every candidate for office to declare his position on the various issues before the people.

Mr. Boyd took up first the recent financial panic, and argued at some length against the assertion that it had been brought about by fear of the tariff. The panic, he declared, was brought about by the bankers and money lenders.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1. Personally, he was directly interested in the estimate of the silver mine producing 3,000 ounces per week.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

Mr. Boyd expressed his views on the silver question at some length. As president he was in favor of the free coinage of silver at the rate of 16 to 1.

Mr. Boyd discussed the tariff question at length, declaring his belief in a tariff for revenue only. He was in favor of the reduction of freight rates, and in favor of the McKinley bill.

Mr. Boyd declared himself in favor of the election of United States senators by the people and in favor of the national currency to such an extent as to endanger the credit of the nation.

DO NOT WANT AN ELECTION.

Plan of the Democrats to Retain the City Clerkship.

CLAIMS THE ATTORNEY RECEIVED HIM AND GIVES HIM NO CHANCE TO EXPLAIN—DAUGHTER CONTESTING JOSEPH CREIGHTON'S WILL—OTHER COURT MATTERS.

The Lintons and their English bankers have entangled themselves in another batch of law suits which have just found their way to the court records of this county.

Last week Phoebe R. E. Linton, the wife of Colonel Adolphus F. Linton, brought a \$300,000 damage suit against the London banking house of Whitaker, Cooper & Co., making her London attorney, William Isaac Shird, a co-defendant. This suit has been withdrawn and another for a like amount commenced against the same parties, the allegations being almost identical.

It was stated yesterday by a democrat who is supposed to be in the scheme that the courts would be applied to for an injunction to enjoin the mayor from signing the ordinance on the ground that it is illegal. The democratic councilmen and others have been doing a good deal of canvassing since the ordinance passed, and unless a plan to nullify its approval until it is too late to include the mention of the clerkship in the election proclamation.

It is understood that their petition for an injunction will be based on section 129 of the charter which says that "the city clerk shall be elected at the same time as the mayor, and shall hold office for two years from and after the first Tuesday of January succeeding his election and until his successor is elected and qualified."

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

Section 16 of the charter says that the mayor and council shall have the right to call an election at any time under the provisions of the charter, which was passed last week.

CHOPPED HIS HEAD OFF.

Judge Scott Gets After Attorney Ritchie and Shuts Him Out of Court.

DO YOU KNOW SARAH--

That Raymond has all of his Diamond setting, etc., done right in his shop in the same building, by skilled workmen. He repaired my watch and set that RUBY for me the other day. You see the work is the best and cost no more than unskilled mechanic's. You better go there.

Something like ten days ago Mr. Ritchie appeared before Judge Scott asking for a continuance of the trial on the ground that the witness, Peter Eck, was absent from the state. At that time an affidavit was presented by Eck with a bearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Yesterday Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in court again to ask for another continuance, still arguing that Eck had been unable to secure the attendance of his witness. He said that Eck was in Bloomington, Ill., and to prove the statement he asked Wallace to produce a letter written by Eck to his hearing date of October 11. This was the affidavit that Eck was in the northwest portion of Iowa.

Mr. Ritchie was in