

DISGRACE TO THE BENCH

How Many Citizens Express Themselves with Respect to Judge Scott.

ALL SPITE WORK IN THE CONTEMPT CASE

Precedent that Would Be Dangerous Were It Not Outraged—Brings the Court into Disrepute—What the People Think.

"The people," whose liberties Judge Scott is so fond of talking about, are not at all backward in expressing their opinion of the way in which the irascible judge pretends to be defending the "dignity" of free impartial courts.

A. H. Comstock of the Omaha Printing Company—the proceedings in the Scott-Rosewater case were disgraced. It is the judicial history of this country. There can be only one thought in connection with the incarceration of Mr. Rosewater in the county jail.

Senator A. H. Briggs—Scott has got four kinds of wheels in his head and all going in opposite directions. W. J. Carroll—Judge Scott, had he pursued a proper course and cited Mr. Rosewater to appear before the court, it is probable that the fraud alleged to have been committed in the Jardine matter, would have probably come off victorious.

John A. Wakefield—A man who has such a violent temper as that, and has such bitter animosities which he can not keep from showing and venting is not a fit man to be on the district bench. It is true that he cannot be impeached until the legislature meets.

W. H. Alexander—I am not familiar with all the facts, but I have been away, but it seems to me that a fine would have been sufficient, even if it was found proper and just to punish. The jail sentence looks very much like spite and not like justice.

John Grant—When I read about the case yesterday I wondered about Scott's thought of himself and of what his dreams must be after he went to sleep. It looks to me as though he thought that this might be his last and only chance to gratify his spite against Rosewater and he determined to take advantage of it at whatever sacrifice of law and justice.

Charles Beindorf—I have not looked into the case very carefully, but it seems to me that the action of Judge Scott was a disgrace and that he should be removed from the bench. How are we to know whether judges and other public officials do this or that? It is not in the newspapers? Only a small proportion of the people have any opportunity of judging for themselves of the fitness of any public official.

George J. Paul—I am pretty tough when a newspaper cannot criticize the conduct of a public official without being imprisoned by the omnipotent mandate of the very man whose conduct is being criticized.

W. G. Shriver—What's the matter with this man? He is getting worse all the time. I don't sympathize with Rosewater as much as I would if he wasn't responsible for Scott's being on the bench. If he had any sufficient reason for boomed him anybody else would have thought of him. I guess Scott is a good judge when he keeps his head, but when he gets mad he goes all to pieces, and he is getting mad altogether too often.

A. J. Hancock—Even if Rosewater was guilty of contempt the punishment was out of all reason. Scott ought to have had sense enough to know that such a thing would go down in a community of free-thinking people. I don't wonder that the bar is set down on him and I would like to know why they don't go ahead with that Burt county matter.

"Uncle Dick" McCormick—It is getting so that citizens have no rights that a court is bound to respect, and I have concluded that the best thing for me to do is to stay right here on my own sidewalk. To tell the truth, I am guilty of a whole lot of contempt myself.

E. L. Bierbower—I don't know much about law, but common sense impels the belief that the court went only too far. If there was contempt it probably ought to be punished, but such a dose as this was unquestionably too much, even if Mr. Rosewater was responsible for the publication.

H. Rehfeld, wholesale grocer—I think Scott's decision was very unfair, and feel that the judge has greatly lowered the dignity of the court.

James A. Clark, wholesale commission merchant—I have not made myself familiar with the merits of the case, but even if Mr. Rosewater was guilty of contempt, the sentence was far too harsh and savored of personal malice on the part of Scott.

W. A. Nelson, manager for E. H. Branch & Co., commission merchants—Have not studied the case in detail, but I am deeply impressed that Scott has been carrying things too far, and in a disgraceful and unbecoming manner. It is such things as this that makes our courts and laws a farce.

William Glass, manager for Lee, Clarke, Anderson, wholesale hardware dealers—The general opinion is that the sentence imposed upon Mr. Rosewater by Scott was most unjust. There do not seem to me to have been any grounds for Scott's calling Mr. Rosewater into court. It is not at all creditable to our liberties and to our proceedings are contumacious.

W. A. Gibson, wholesale hat manufacturer—I know nothing about the legal aspect of the case, but it would appear more equitable and just to have tried Mr. Rosewater in another court, where the judge was not personally interested. The freedom of the press is sometimes abused, but the methods resorted to in this case are certainly questionable, if not dangerous.

Samuel Rice, president of the Rees Printing Company—The time for holding an editor responsible for all that goes into his paper has passed. The paper is possible for real damage to the reputations of persons whose characters have been assailed. About the most farcical thing in this country are our courts and the law as practiced before and in most of them.

what harsh in its expressions made in mentioning the Jardine case, yet, in my judgment, no action of Mr. Rosewater of any thing which has appeared in The Bee could possibly justify the action of the judge.

M. H. Redfield—To say the least, the whole proceeding showed an extraordinary amount of spite on the part of Judge Scott. I am not prepared to say whether or not there was a case of contempt against Mr. Rosewater. If there was, the sentence was far too harsh and savored of personal malice on the part of Scott.

E. M. Stenberg, chairman of the Board of County Commissioners—We know nothing about the merits of the case, aside from the stenographic reports of the pretended trial. These reports are full of errors and contradictions.

Allen T. Rector—I regard the action of Judge Scott as an imposition. It looks as though he was producing a bad office and the interests of justice to gratify his personal spite against Mr. Rosewater. Such conduct as this will soon bring courts into disrepute.

Herman Drishaus, vice president of the Gate City Hat Company—It seems very apparent that Judge Scott has a grudge against Mr. Rosewater. I don't know much about law, but the action of the court appears very inconsistent. How he could fine a reporter for writing an article and then turn around and punish another man for the same article I cannot understand.

Computer Olson—I am not a lawyer, but it seems strange to me that Mr. Rosewater could be convicted for contempt, when, as far as I have learned, he had neither written nor read the article in question.

John B. Puray—As to the merits of the case I have no opinion beyond that which is being expressed by almost every other citizen. I have lived in Omaha for more than twenty-eight years. I have seen Judge Lake, Judge Savage, Judge Doane, Judge Wadley and the many other men who are the associates of Judge Scott on the bench.

Dr. Towne—I am not afraid of Judge Scott, but I guess if I let him alone he will let me alone. Gas Inspector Gilbert—Wasn't it a hades of a proceeding though! The sentence was unreasonably severe and there was apparently no legal ground for it.

John Grant—When I read about the case yesterday I wondered about Scott's thought of himself and of what his dreams must be after he went to sleep. It looks to me as though he thought that this might be his last and only chance to gratify his spite against Rosewater and he determined to take advantage of it at whatever sacrifice of law and justice.

George J. Paul—I am pretty tough when a newspaper cannot criticize the conduct of a public official without being imprisoned by the omnipotent mandate of the very man whose conduct is being criticized.

W. G. Shriver—What's the matter with this man? He is getting worse all the time. I don't sympathize with Rosewater as much as I would if he wasn't responsible for Scott's being on the bench. If he had any sufficient reason for boomed him anybody else would have thought of him. I guess Scott is a good judge when he keeps his head, but when he gets mad he goes all to pieces, and he is getting mad altogether too often.

A. J. Hancock—Even if Rosewater was guilty of contempt the punishment was out of all reason. Scott ought to have had sense enough to know that such a thing would go down in a community of free-thinking people. I don't wonder that the bar is set down on him and I would like to know why they don't go ahead with that Burt county matter.

"Uncle Dick" McCormick—It is getting so that citizens have no rights that a court is bound to respect, and I have concluded that the best thing for me to do is to stay right here on my own sidewalk. To tell the truth, I am guilty of a whole lot of contempt myself.

E. L. Bierbower—I don't know much about law, but common sense impels the belief that the court went only too far. If there was contempt it probably ought to be punished, but such a dose as this was unquestionably too much, even if Mr. Rosewater was responsible for the publication.

H. Rehfeld, wholesale grocer—I think Scott's decision was very unfair, and feel that the judge has greatly lowered the dignity of the court.

James A. Clark, wholesale commission merchant—I have not made myself familiar with the merits of the case, but even if Mr. Rosewater was guilty of contempt, the sentence was far too harsh and savored of personal malice on the part of Scott.

W. A. Nelson, manager for E. H. Branch & Co., commission merchants—Have not studied the case in detail, but I am deeply impressed that Scott has been carrying things too far, and in a disgraceful and unbecoming manner. It is such things as this that makes our courts and laws a farce.

William Glass, manager for Lee, Clarke, Anderson, wholesale hardware dealers—The general opinion is that the sentence imposed upon Mr. Rosewater by Scott was most unjust. There do not seem to me to have been any grounds for Scott's calling Mr. Rosewater into court. It is not at all creditable to our liberties and to our proceedings are contumacious.

W. A. Gibson, wholesale hat manufacturer—I know nothing about the legal aspect of the case, but it would appear more equitable and just to have tried Mr. Rosewater in another court, where the judge was not personally interested. The freedom of the press is sometimes abused, but the methods resorted to in this case are certainly questionable, if not dangerous.

Samuel Rice, president of the Rees Printing Company—The time for holding an editor responsible for all that goes into his paper has passed. The paper is possible for real damage to the reputations of persons whose characters have been assailed. About the most farcical thing in this country are our courts and the law as practiced before and in most of them.

Thomas Kilpatrick, of Kilpatrick, Koch, wholesale dry goods—I consider it a great disgrace to our liberties and a disgrace to our city and state, and proper steps should be taken as soon as possible to remove the judge from the bench by impeachment or otherwise. I have no doubt that the judge is, as a private citizen, a good man, and means to do what is right.

Robert Cowell, manager of Kilpatrick, Koch Dry Goods Company—I know Judge Scott, and once had an important case before him. He then acted so sensibly that I can hardly believe that he was sober when he was hearing Mr. Rosewater's case. Personally, I feel that the whole proceeding is a disgrace to the bench. It is possible that the Bee may have been some-

HAYDEN BROS.

Silks, Dress Goods and Clothing. SILKS, SILKS. A decided cut in prices in silks. We sell silks cheaper than any other house in the west, and always have what we advertise.

THE LOWEST PRICES. Striped pongee silks, fast colors, only 25c a yard. JAPANESE KAI KAI WASH SILKS, 39c.

SWIVEL SILKS, 49c. We have just received a new assortment of this handsome wash silk in new effects in cream, light blue, navy, navy and tans, full 28 inches wide, absolutely fast colors, and sold everywhere else for 65c and 70c.

JAPANESE FINISHED SUMMER SILKS. In beautiful, small, neat designs. These are light, airy, and come in a variety of colors, in dark grounds, full 22 inches wide, always sold at \$1.00 a yard, our price 59c a yard.

RICH BLACK BROADCATED INHA SILKS. These are rich, broad, and exquisite designs, with small, pretty needed effects. We have them in three qualities, viz.: 48c, 58c and \$1.00.

DRESS GOODS. Three specials for Thursday in the dress goods department. No. 1. Consisting of three choice chevrons, the illuminated beige, the dainty pin check (all wool), stripes and plaids, and in fact every sort of new and nobby goods at 39c.

FRANK WILCOX CO. Will Sell Thursday All Day. The finest patent tip ladies' button shoe in opera and square toe for \$1.50 that we have ever offered for this price.

GREAT CLOTHING SALE. The selection is complete and complete. MEN'S SUITS. 150 men's cassimere suits at \$3.75. \$7.50 men's all wool suits in ten or twelve different shades.

BOYS' KNEE-PANT SUITS. A knee-pant suit for 75c. A double breasted knee-pant suit for \$1.25.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

Associates Mission Union Services. On Sunday evening, the 29th inst., a union service of the congregations of the Associate Mission churches in Omaha, namely, St. Paul's, St. Augustine's, St. Andrew's and St. John's.

his assistants have appeared in police court to file a complaint against "Colonel" Brannon for filing a false affidavit against Kelly's army under false pretenses. City Prosecutor Shoemaker decided to make Brannon file a complete and true affidavit immediately and the court sentenced Brannon to sixty days in the county jail.

RIVERTON, Ia., April 25.—To the Editor of The Bee: I often meet with people who call themselves Christians. Some of them are church members, others, while not belonging to any particular denomination, still claim to believe in the teachings of the bible, and they have an attack of a sort of righteous indignation when they hear a man expressing sympathy for the poor—for those asking for work or for bread.

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

Christ does not say that, but a little further on in the same sermon he says: "That you may be the children of your Father which is in heaven, he maketh the sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust." Does not this clearly mean that the Christian must be benevolent to the evil as well as to the just? Will some one explain how a person can be a Christian and not follow the teachings of the New Testament?

A CHARMING WOMAN.

She has studied American and European Ladies Very Carefully, and Tells Just What She Thinks About Them.

"I have observed women very carefully. It was a member of a leading ladies' club who spoke. Continuing, she said: 'I have seen English women and women from the continent under all circumstances, and I think I understand them. I have observed American women under every condition and I am able to compare the two. I am sorry to say that American women are not so strong and healthy as the women of other countries. They are weak, delicate and run down, especially at this time of the year. And that is not the worst of it. American women need not be so. It is nonsense to suppose that a woman can go through this season without assistance. She needs a tonic, a stimulant, something that will make her complexion good, her eyes bright, and her health perfect. I know what will do this because I was once in a greatly run down state myself and I found that a carefully and continuously used of Duffy's Pure Malt Whisky did wonders for me. I am a firm advocate of temperance, but I believe nearly every woman could be made healthier, happier and more attractive if she followed the same course that I have.'

These are good common-sense ideas which every lady can adopt to advantage. It should be remembered, however, that Duffy's Pure Malt Whisky is the only whisky which can produce these results, as ordinary whiskies do not contain the qualities necessary for this purpose. It is a pure malt whisky, and under no circumstances take any other.

Try SANTA CLAUS SOAP yourself, and you will see why it is so popular. MADE ONLY BY N. K. FAIRBANK & CO., Chicago.

FINELY MADE AND ONLY \$24.

Do not confound our Cabinet work with the bargain goods sold by bargain stores. We do not sell furniture warranted to last a lifetime with a reasonable service.

In the above sideboard notice especially the high carved gallery above the top shelf; it is a very effective detail. The half shelves always help out the "dressing" of the board; they are rarely found on low-priced patterns.

The mirror is very artistic. The arrangement of the base is according to the same design which is found on the most expensive models. Altogether this is a very exceptional offering at \$24.00.

Chas. Shiverick & Co. FURNITURE OF EVERY DESCRIPTION. Temporary Location, 1206-1208 DOUGLAS ST., MILLARD HOTEL BLOCK.

An Imported Diagonal Clay Worsted Coat & Vest \$15

Cut to Order. E. B. French of North Bend came to Omaha Tuesday on a little business, and after he had attended to this he went out and got too full of whisky to drive home, and in this condition he determined to go home, and got on a motor to take him to the depot. Mr. French got as far as Tenth street, when the load he had inside overbalanced him and he fell from the car, striking on his head, and as he fell he was struck by another car, which added to the injuries already received.

A Favorite for Coughs and Colds. Chamberlain's Cough Remedy is the best medicine for coughs and colds we have ever used," says Dr. Keen of San Francisco, Ky. "It is a favorite because it can always be depended upon. It loosens a cold, relieves the lungs and effects a permanent cure. Whooping cough is so dangerous when this remedy is freely given, as it fluidifies the tough mucus and makes it easier to expectorate. 25 and 50-cent bottles for sale by druggists.

Class Connections. For New York, Philadelphia and Boston are made by the Burlington's "Vegetable Flyer," which leaves Omaha daily at 4:45 p. m. for New York, Philadelphia and Boston. City Ticket Office, 1324 Farnam street.

Frank J. Range wishes to announce that the difficulties with his tailors have been settled and invites his customers and all good dressers to examine his spring and summer wardrobe which he has altered. All orders more promptly than heretofore.

Chamberlain's Cough Remedy is the best medicine for coughs and colds we have ever used," says Dr. Keen of San Francisco, Ky. "It is a favorite because it can always be depended upon. It loosens a cold, relieves the lungs and effects a permanent cure. Whooping cough is so dangerous when this remedy is freely given, as it fluidifies the tough mucus and makes it easier to expectorate. 25 and 50-cent bottles for sale by druggists.

Class Connections. For New York, Philadelphia and Boston are made by the Burlington's "Vegetable Flyer," which leaves Omaha daily at 4:45 p. m. for New York, Philadelphia and Boston. City Ticket Office, 1324 Farnam street.

Frank J. Range wishes to announce that the difficulties with his tailors have been settled and invites his customers and all good dressers to examine his spring and summer wardrobe which he has altered. All orders more promptly than heretofore.

Chamberlain's Cough Remedy is the best medicine for coughs and colds we have ever used," says Dr. Keen of San Francisco, Ky. "It is a favorite because it can always be depended upon. It loosens a cold, relieves the lungs and effects a permanent cure. Whooping cough is so dangerous when this remedy is freely given, as it fluidifies the tough mucus and makes it easier to expectorate. 25 and 50-cent bottles for sale by druggists.

Class Connections. For New York, Philadelphia and Boston are made by the Burlington's "Vegetable Flyer," which leaves Omaha daily at 4:45 p. m. for New York, Philadelphia and Boston. City Ticket Office, 1324 Farnam street.

Frank J. Range wishes to announce that the difficulties with his tailors have been settled and invites his customers and all good dressers to examine his spring and summer wardrobe which he has altered. All orders more promptly than heretofore.

Chamberlain's Cough Remedy is the best medicine for coughs and colds we have ever used," says Dr. Keen of San Francisco, Ky. "It is a favorite because it can always be depended upon. It loosens a cold, relieves the lungs and effects a permanent cure. Whooping cough is so dangerous when this remedy is freely given, as it fluidifies the tough mucus and makes it easier to expectorate. 25 and 50-cent bottles for sale by druggists.