

SPECIAL NOTICES.

Advertisements for these columns will be taken only on the morning and evening editions. Advertisements for the morning edition will be taken only on the morning edition.

SITUATIONS WANTED.

Wanted, position by a stenographer. Wanted, position by a stenographer and typewriter.

WANTED—MALE HELP.

Wanted, male help for a store. Wanted, male help for a store.

WANTED—FEMALE HELP.

Wanted, female help for a store. Wanted, female help for a store.

FOR RENT—HOUSES.

For rent, a house on 1st and F. For rent, a house on 1st and F.

FOR RENT—FURNISHED ROOMS.

For rent, furnished rooms at 1st and F. For rent, furnished rooms at 1st and F.

FOR RENT—UNFURNISHED ROOMS.

For rent, unfurnished rooms at 1st and F. For rent, unfurnished rooms at 1st and F.

FOR RENT—STORES AND OFFICES.

For rent, a store on 1st and F. For rent, a store on 1st and F.

AGENTS WANTED.

Wanted, agents for a new product. Wanted, agents for a new product.

WANTED—TO RENT.

Wanted, to rent a house. Wanted, to rent a house.

STORAGE.

Storage space available for rent. Storage space available for rent.

WANTED TO BUY.

Wanted to buy a house. Wanted to buy a house.

FOR SALE—FURNITURE.

For sale, furniture for a house. For sale, furniture for a house.

FORSAL—HORSES, WAGONS, ETC.


For sale, horses and wagons. For sale, horses and wagons.

FOR SALE—MISCELLANEOUS.

For sale, miscellaneous items. For sale, miscellaneous items.

PERSONAL.

Personal notices and announcements. Personal notices and announcements.



in doing it, and it's a great deal more thoroughly done. Dairies and dealers use Pearlina extensively.

Send Peddlers and some unscrupulous grocers will tell you "this is as good as" or "the same as Pearlina."

it Back Peddlers and some unscrupulous grocers will tell you "this is as good as" or "the same as Pearlina."

Milk Pans, and pans, and cans, and bottles (even baby's) or anything that you want particularly clean, ought to be washed with Pearlina.

and pans, and cans, and bottles (even baby's) or anything that you want particularly clean, ought to be washed with Pearlina.

and pans, and cans, and bottles (even baby's) or anything that you want particularly clean, ought to be washed with Pearlina.

and pans, and cans, and bottles (even baby's) or anything that you want particularly clean, ought to be washed with Pearlina.

SHE TOLD ABOUT HER CHILD

Another Pathetic Scene in the Breckinridge Trial Occurred Yesterday.

MISS POLLARD ON THE STAND AGAIN For Two Hours Only She Held Her Second Infant in Her Arms and Never Saw It Again Except in Its Coffin.

WASHINGTON, April 5.—Judge Bradley's admissions of the night before had the effect of reducing the attendance at the circuit court today to the thinnest audience yet gathered.

The defense moved to call Miss Pollard to the stand and questioning her about the birth of her second child, which she said was born at noon on February 3, 1888.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

She was employed in the census bureau. A middle-aged lady with spectacles who had been sitting in court as called. She announced her name as Mrs. Liddle.

that cannot be denied that of them." The records, however, would show, the witness said.

When Mr. Shelby offered to place the record in the hands of the jury, the witness said.

The defense attempted to put Dr. Mary Parsons upon the stand, but upon Judge Bradley insisting that counsel for Colonel Breckinridge must produce a witness of their own witness called for cross-examination, the plan was abandoned and the witness dismissed.

William B. Moore of the Cincinnati health office testified that no return of a birth by Dr. Mary Parsons in February of 1888 had been made. He testified that the child was examined. Here the defense abruptly rested its case.

TESTIMONY IN REBUTTAL. The first witness called by the plaintiff's attorneys in rebuttal was Dr. William A. Cowan, a young man who is superintendent of the Western asylum in Pittsburg. He announced himself as the first cousin of Miss Pollard.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Mr. Carlisle asked whether the plaintiff had been a member of his family from 1876 to 1880. He testified that he was not. He was reminded by Mr. Carlisle of the deposition of Mrs. Miller, now Shinglebower, a woman who testified that she had been in the company of Miss Pollard in Kentucky in the years 1877 and 1878, and had committed certain improprieties in her sight.

Advertisements for various services and businesses, including legal notices, real estate, and general notices.

Advertisements for various services and businesses, including legal notices, real estate, and general notices.

Advertisements for various services and businesses, including legal notices, real estate, and general notices.

Advertisements for various services and businesses, including legal notices, real estate, and general notices.

Advertisements for various services and businesses, including legal notices, real estate, and general notices.

Advertisements for various services and businesses, including legal notices, real estate, and general notices.

Advertisements for various services and businesses, including legal notices, real estate, and general notices.