

THE DAILY BEE.

E. ROSEWATER, Editor. PUBLISHED EVERY MORNING. TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION...

OFFICES. Omaha, The Bee Building, South Omaha, corner 24th and Twenty-sixth streets...

THE BEE PUBLISHING COMPANY. The Bee in Chicago. The Daily and Sunday Bee is on sale in Chicago at the following places...

SWORN STATEMENT OF CIRCULATION. State of Nebraska. County of Douglas. George B. Deane, Secretary of The Bee Publishing Company...

World's fair souvenirs will soon be purchasable at bedrock prices. JIM KYNELER's boomlet has collapsed while it was being nursed by Joe Redman...

AMERICA defends her title to the international yachting cup in a way of which no American need feel ashamed. For a man who had been counted on as belonging to the dead and buried past, George P. Bemis exhibits surprising vitality...

THE victors race of the Vigilant against the Valkyrie is affording the alliterative headline writer one of the opportunities of his life. INTEREST in the yachting contest has for the time supplanted interest in the pugilistic world...

LAND office consolidation under the present democratic administration is purely a question of politics without consideration of the wishes of the people, or the necessities of an efficient land office service. CHICAGO is making big preparations for the celebration of Chicago day tomorrow...

REPORTS from the mercantile agencies of decreased business activity and less confidence in the future ought to be given their true weight by our dilatory senate. THE commercial interests of the country are lagging behind on account of the continued uncertainty regarding the prospects of the repeal bill...

THERE is nothing to prevent a state legislature from surrounding state banking institutions with every desirable protection to depositors and note holders. But the people cannot rely upon the legislature to do so. This, in a word, is the chief argument against the repeal of the 10 per cent tax on state bank issues...

MR. CALL, the senator from Florida, protests against anything that resembles rushing the repeal bill through the senate. If wasting weeks in dilatory discussion is to be called rushing legislation, deliberate action on any measure would probably require years, in Senator Call's opinion, to reach the final action of that body...

MANY of the railroads are being compelled to agree that cheap rates to the World's fair are a paying resource. Increased traffic has resulted from decreased fares to such an extent as to more than counterbalance the cut in rates. A few more experiments of this kind will no doubt convince the railroad managers that they can make a better financial showing by adopting a schedule of low passenger rates and trusting to a greatly increased patronage to swell the total receipts...

COLLEGE presidents make no concessions to the stringency of the times. Their annual addresses on the opening of their institutions always wind up with the stereotyped plea for generous gifts and an increased endowment. It will be a sad day when the average American college finds itself bereft of its opportunities to stow away the income from a few additional millions. If we are to credit the sincerity of all these appeals now is the proper time to endow your favorite university...

THE apostrophe to the strength of public opinion in the United States which James Bryce inserted in his work on the American commonwealth has been rudely shaken by the events of the last two months. A popular demand for the repeal of the purchasing clause of the Sherman silver law was indeed strong enough to secure from the president the summoning of congress in extra session for that very purpose, but despite the fact that it has become if anything more intense since then, it has not been able to remove the obstacles to the passage of the bill in the senate. The government of the United States evidently affords the means by which the demands of public opinion may be resisted by a small minority...

INDISCRIMINATE CHARITY.

The approach of winter and the probability that the number of people who will have to subsist by charity will be considerably larger than usual suggests that in order to secure the best results from charitable giving...

It is an unfortunate fact that there are apologists for this form of crime in the south, some of whom are intelligent men, but the evidence that the men who are in power are beginning to realize the gravity of this reign of lynch law is reassuring. It may be no easy task to bring public opinion up to a certain standard in this matter, but it is one that should be undertaken and persistently prosecuted...

THE renomination of Hon. George P. Bemis for a second term for the office of mayor is a merited compliment to a faithful, vigilant and incorruptible executive. When Mr. Bemis was placed at the head of the intricate municipal machine two years ago he was comparatively a novice in the management and supervision of city affairs...

Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving. The aesthetic plea that good roads make a better appearance than bad ones has been relegated to the rear...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

paper, the mere taking of the life of their victim has ceased to satisfy the men who go with a multitude to commit murder. That has become a tame proceeding. The man, or the boy, in their hands must writhe and bleed and choke in agony now before the avengers will consent to his death and begin the work of mutilation...

It is an unfortunate fact that there are apologists for this form of crime in the south, some of whom are intelligent men, but the evidence that the men who are in power are beginning to realize the gravity of this reign of lynch law is reassuring. It may be no easy task to bring public opinion up to a certain standard in this matter, but it is one that should be undertaken and persistently prosecuted...

THE renomination of Hon. George P. Bemis for a second term for the office of mayor is a merited compliment to a faithful, vigilant and incorruptible executive. When Mr. Bemis was placed at the head of the intricate municipal machine two years ago he was comparatively a novice in the management and supervision of city affairs...

Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving. The aesthetic plea that good roads make a better appearance than bad ones has been relegated to the rear...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

THE plea for good roads. Advocates of good roads seem to have advanced the field of sentiment and to have brought the project to rest on the more solid foundation of individual public utility. They no longer ask people to invest money in improved roadways because they afford a more agreeable thoroughfare for driving...

United States, as it is now understood, is a creation of less than a quarter of a century. It is a joint creation of the privately endowed universities of the east and the state endowed universities of the west, and it is probably due to a sense of rivalry between these two classes of institutions and between the individuals of each class that the progress has been so marked. Cornell represents an institution begun by private enterprise, but with intimate relations to the state government of New York. It is a type by itself. Its success in the brief quarter century of its existence points to some of the advantages of that system of management...

THE old question of the extent of state sovereignty under our federal system is arising once more in the eastern courts, this time in connection with the administration of an inheritance tax law. General Cullum, whose will was probated in the state of New York, left, among his other bequests, the sum of \$250,000 to the government of the United States for a memorial hall to be built at West Point. The bequest, after having been reduced to \$175,000 by the discovery that the estate was not as large as originally anticipated, was assessed for \$8,750 under the New York state law imposing a tax upon transfers of property by will. The United States, of course, resisted the payment of this tax on the ground that the assessors had exceeded their authority in attempting to tax property of the federal government. But the surrogate's court did not appreciate the contention of the counsel for the United States and saw no objection to the collection of the tax. In so deciding it holds the sovereignty of the state of New York higher than that of the federal government and it makes the latter subject to the former in matters of taxation...

It is doubtful that the decision will be sustained upon appeal. It was long ago held by Chief Justice Marshall in the famous case of McCulloch against Maryland that the instrumentalities of the federal government are not subject to state taxation. The power to tax is the power to destroy, and if the state can tax \$8,750 of the legacy left to the United States it may legally take it all. The absurdity of giving a state authority to levy a tax upon the property of the federal government becomes more apparent when we try to imagine the proceedings that would ensue should the United States refuse to liquidate the claim. The state of New York would be absolutely powerless to collect the tax. The United States is nonsuable without its own consent and it would be foolish to consent to a diminution of its own legacy. Should a state court persist in giving judgment to the state there would still be no way of enforcing the decree. If an exception is to be made to the rule of exemption from taxation of United States property in the case of the inheritance tax, there is no reason for the further maintenance of the rule in respect to other modes of taxation. It is simply a revival of the old state sovereignty controversy and all the precedents and practice are opposed to the assumption by a state of any such power of taxation over the federal government...

PATRONIZE HOME INDUSTRIES. THE BEE was the pioneer in counseling Nebraska to patronize home industries and it heartily welcomes every evidence of the value of this advice to the manufacturing interests of the state. Perhaps the most important result from the agitation of this policy is the better opportunity which our home manufacturers will hereafter be given to furnish the supplies for the public institutions of the state. Until now these have been almost wholly furnished by outside manufacturers, and it goes without saying that the state has not always received the value of its money. It is undoubtedly a fact that the manufacturers elsewhere who have secured contracts have rarely carried them out in good faith. Devices well known to the trade have enabled them in many cases to foist on the state articles of an inferior quality, for which they of course received the price of better goods. The home manufacturer has hitherto had little chance in the competition for this business. This will not be the case hereafter. The manufacturers of Nebraska now have an organization that is vigilantly guarding their interests and is sedulously laboring to promote the principle of patronizing home industries. The Manufacturers and Consumers association has done no better work than in bringing to the attention of the State Board of Purchase and Supplies the fact that the supplies for the state institutions could be obtained from Nebraska manufacturers at as low prices and of as good quality as those to be had from outside manufacturers. No difficulty was found in satisfying the board that such is the case, and as the members of the board recognize the wisdom of patronizing home industries, all things being equal, there is assurance that in future the bids of Nebraska manufacturers will receive more consideration than heretofore. The result of this will be that the large amount of money which has annually been sent out of the state will be paid to our own people and will play a considerable part in building up home industries. It is results of this kind that vindicate the wisdom of organization...

THE general prevalence of smooth hard roads, it will soon become apparent that good roadways, like steam railways, are to be a necessity of the age which cannot be dispensed with. THE celebration of the twenty-fifth anniversary of the opening of Cornell university is significant of the comparative youth of many of our leading educational institutions. While there are numerous colleges that have a longer past behind them than Cornell those that have made as rapid strides toward popular favor and enlarged fields of work are few indeed, and those which have a better prospect for the future still fewer. Higher education in the

that all Nebraskans recognize this principle in their trading and there will be no difficulty in inducing capital to come here and embark in manufacturing. SCHOOL EXAMINATIONS. One of the prospective changes in public school methods throughout the country relates to stated examinations, the value of which in school work has become a debatable question in educational circles. Examinations were dropped in the Utah schools last year and the system has also been abolished in Cleveland, where the pupils' title to promotion will rest upon the quality of the daily recitations throughout the term. A movement has been inaugurated in Philadelphia looking to the abolishment of stated examinations, and has the support of the press of that city, as well as of many parents. It is urged against the system that the mechanical drudgery of the examinations, in many instances conducted under conditions that favor much of cruelty, never did and never can give an accurate knowledge of the worth of the pupil. The peculiar constitution of the child, says one advocate of abandoning the system, has much to do with success on examination day. A nervous, timid, shrinking child cannot be expected to make a good display of learning on such a crucial occasion. No allowance is made for this personal factor. Moreover, the burden of the old-fashioned system is great for teachers to carry. It is the most irksome function they perform. The advocates of doing away with stated examinations insist that the daily recitation work and the term average are the only proper tests of the pupil's acquirements, because he is then working under more normal conditions than on examination day, whose importance has been dimmed in his ears and whose terrors have become magnified by weeks of trembling preparation. "The pernicious system of crowding study for examination," says one of the opponents of that plan, "rendered all the more obnoxious when the child has lost many school sessions by reason of illness, or absence enforced by other causes, is the dubious road to advancement forced upon the little victim. Memorized lessons for the occasion are forgotten almost as soon as learned. The mind as well as the body is dwarfed and stunted by the old-fogy method." This is putting the case strongly, but probably few who are familiar with the system of stated examinations will question its general accuracy. Of course, there is something to be said on the other side, but the weight of argument seems to be decidedly with the advocates of abolishing the old-fashioned method. At any rate there can be no doubt that if the question were submitted for decision to the parents of the pupils they would be found everywhere practically unanimous in favor of doing away with stated examinations...

INTRODUCING a fifteenth pending amendment to the repeal bill is a novel way of hastening a vote on the original proposition. Because Life is Worth Living. St. Louis Republic. And why cannot a strong current of democratic air be forced through the murkiness and miasma of Washington? The Kernel of Humor. Kansas City Times. While just as good as gold, and much more valuable than some other securities, it is quite proper to surmise that "The Wizard" was merely interviewing his hat when he proposed the wheat dollar. Free Silver's Measure. Minneapolis Tribune. The great silver monometallic convention at St. Louis is playing to a beggary array of empty benches. The attendance accurately represents the free silver sentiment in this country for the "demonstration" has been most liberally advertised in every possible way. A Change of Tone. Minneapolis Tribune. The democrats of Ohio and Iowa are not "singing the old songs" this campaign. They are not rhyming "Greater" with "chever," nor are they carrying transparencies promising \$1.25 a bushel for wheat. It is a condition, not a theory, that now confronts them. Whither Are We Drifting? Minneapolis Journal. If the majority can't rule, what is the use of having a majority? The mystery is how the senate in the past has ever been able to pass anything. It certainly never will be able to again. Against a vicious minority, like the silverites, the senate couldn't even pass a contribution box. Essentials Out of Date. Washington Star. If memory serves aright it was a certain Greek orator by name Demosthenes, who was once asked the three essentials of successful oratory. "First, action," said the Athenian; "second, action; third, action." All of which goes to prove that Demosthenes' style is out of date according to the ideas of silver orators in the senate. A Kansas Precedent. Springfield (Mass.) Republican. They have an eight-hour law for employes of cities and towns in Kansas, and Judge Reed of one of the higher courts has pronounced it a violation of both the state and federal constitutions. It restrains the individual's liberty of action, he holds. This objection would make as strongly against a ten-hour law. If some Massachusetts is in woful condition of the constitution. Announcer and New States. Globe-Democrat. The compromise that is being proposed on the territorial admission question is entitled to some consideration. This proposition is to annex Utah to Nevada and to unite Arizona to New Mexico, and to admit them as one state. Under this arrangement Nevada would have about 250,000 instead of 40,000 as now, and the New Mexico-Arizona combination would have 250,000. If these territories are to be admitted to statehood in the next ten years they will have to come in under some such conditions as these. Blasts from Ham's Horn. Don't think a cause is not good because somebody ridicules it. The wicked man hates vice in everybody but himself. Good looks, to be permanent, must begin on the inside. The older we become the more the wheels of time seem to have been oiled. The man who sets out to be a reformer will never get to rest a minute. The man who expects to outrun a lie had better not start with lame feet. The man who lives to help other people will soon have other people living to help him. An archangel would break down under what some people expect of a pastor's wife. There is often as much reason in the purchase of a pea as there is in the big end of a club. Drive the devil's friends all out of the church and some preachers would have a slim support.

SENATOR MANDERSON'S PLAN. Senator Charles F. Manderston recently addressed the following letter to the editor of the New York Press: Washington, Oct. 7. You desire my views of the chances for breaking the deadlock in the senate, and of the chances for and nature of a compromise? Briefly the facts are these: Fact 1—There is no chance for the passage of a cloture rule in the senate. The condition that has obtained since 1880 is permanent, and the senate of 1893 will continue to be a deliberative body, where the rights of a minority will be guarded, and the senators against hasty legislation maintained. Fact 2—With the virile, vigorous opposition to the unconditional repeal of the purchasing clause of the act of 1890 by senators who are in favor of the free coinage of silver, a vote upon house bill No. 1 cannot be had by consent, or forced by any process known to the rules or precedents of the senate. Personally I will not vote for any dilatory motion, or do anything to prevent a vote that should show the wish of a majority of the senate upon this important question. There are many others in the senate who would not lend themselves to a vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there is an active minority, earnest and honest, who believe that dreadful disaster would result from unconditional repeal—a disaster not only deeply affecting their own section, but ruinous to the country at large. These gentlemen are ready to vote that should show the wish of a majority, but there