

START WORK FOR ROAD STAND

Ultimatum of the Board of Public Works to Contractor Equires.

PAVED STREETS TO BE SWEEPED AT ONCE

Unless the Contractor Puts a Force of Men on at Once the City Will Do the Work and Charge it to the Bondsmen.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS

held a special session yesterday afternoon and declared itself upon the subject of street sweeping.

After discussing the filthy condition of the streets the following resolution was adopted:

Resolved, That the contractor for street sweeping in this city be required to proceed at once with the work of sweeping and cleaning the pavements of the city of Omaha as required by the provisions of his contract with the city, and that upon his failure to do so, the city will cause said work to be done and for the expense thereof will hold the contractor liable for the same.

Resolved, That the chairman of this board immediately inform said contractor to proceed with said work of sweeping and cleaning the pavements of this city by this date and leave all controversy regarding the amount of compensation to be paid to the contractor until after the completion of said work or to be settled by the courts, and to file further.

Resolved, That said chairman forward a copy of this resolution to G. E. Squires, and to his bondsmen, George E. Squires and Max Meyer, with notification that unless the work of sweeping and cleaning the pavements of this city is done by the contractor as required by said contract, that the city of Omaha will cause said work to be done and for the expense thereof will hold the contractor liable for the same.

The chairman at once sent a copy of the resolution to Mr. Squires, by this letter notifying him that the resolution had been adopted.

City Attorney Connel in speaking of the matter stated that the Board of Public Works had taken the right course in the premises. If Squires would not do the work, the board would have to sue him and charge the expense to Squires and his bondsmen. Then, if he felt so disposed, Squires could go into court, where, if the difference was not adjusted, an early date. In the meantime, if Squires still insisted upon throwing up his contract, the city would be obliged to advertise for bids for street cleaning.

Mr. Connel did not think there was any reason why the streets should remain in their filthy condition any longer than necessary. The work could proceed, by the expense being charged against Squires and his bondsmen until a new contract would be made, and that the new contractor would take care of matters.

C. E. Squires sent in bills amounting to \$700 for extra work done by his men in sweeping matters. In his communication he stated that the city owed him \$1,300 on the 1890 and \$1,000 on the 1911 contract. The bills, he stated, were for extra work done and rejected and also for work performed under the 10 per cent clause of his contract.

The whole matter was referred to the chairman, who will call Mr. Connel to the main and report to the board of the city's liability.

That Fined Feeling

is often the forerunner of serious illness, which may be broken up if a good tonic like Hood's Sarsaparilla is taken in season. This medicine invigorates the kidneys and liver to remove the waste from the system, purifies the blood and builds up the strength.

HEWASA RISING FINANCIAL

Toronto People Victimized and Swindled by a Young Napoleon of Finance.

Toronto, Ont., April 14.—The properties of A. G. Brown, the young broker who has just fled, are announced to be, as far as yet discovered, as follows: Canada Permanent, \$5,000; Western Canada, \$4,312; Union Loan and Savings company, \$1,500; Freehold Loan and Savings company, \$1,000; British Canadian, \$2,000; London and Canada Loan and Savings company, \$1,000; a total of \$20,812.

The forgeries in every case were that of a power of attorney, by which Brown was enabled to transfer the stock to his own name. The names forged included those of his father and brother.

A meeting was held at the Canadian Permanent company of the heads of various loan companies victimized, who decided to take once legal steps to bring Brown back. The forgeries commenced as far back as February, 1920, but they were concealed by various manipulations. The biggest fraud of all was that of the mortgage properties of A. G. Brown, the young broker who has just fled, are announced to be, as far as yet discovered, as follows: Canada Permanent, \$5,000; Western Canada, \$4,312; Union Loan and Savings company, \$1,500; Freehold Loan and Savings company, \$1,000; British Canadian, \$2,000; London and Canada Loan and Savings company, \$1,000; a total of \$20,812.

QUIET AT HONOLULU

Election Difficulties Settled Without Trouble—News from the Islands.

HONOLULU, April 7.—Honolulu has recovered from the excitement which prevailed at the time the steamer Australia left here owing to the finding of a barricade of sand bags around the royal palace. Early on March 30 an American flag was seen floating over Punch Bowl hill in the rear of the city, and gave rise to much talk until it was ascertained that it had been placed there by youths who had taken it from their home. The flag was seized by the police.

A decision in the election of election of nobles elected on the Island Oahu, which was expected to be the cause of trouble if adverse to the politicians, who represented the opposition, was decided to be decided March 30, and it was decided that the election was valid. No opposition was shown earlier than the legal one of an appeal to the supreme court.

CRIPPLE CREEK'S FIRST FIRE

Nine Buildings Burned and the Whole Town Narrowly Escaped.

DENVER, Colo., April 14.—A dispatch to the News from Fronton, Colo., (Cripple Creek) says: This camp experienced its first fire yesterday and it looked for a time as though the whole town would be destroyed. On account of all the buildings being constructed of wood, it was almost impossible to extinguish the flames. Nine stores, saloons and restaurants were burned with their contents. The buildings were not expected to be saved, but the fire was checked by a heavy rain which fell on the Bohemian village of Molliken, where seven houses were burned and four persons perished. The other was in the Moravia village of Mercuria. Forty-five houses were consumed and three persons were cremated.

Whisky Trust Officers

PEORIA, Ill., April 14.—The Distillers and Cattle Feeders company, the whisky trust, today re-elected the old officers as follows: J. H. Green, president; L. H. Green, treasurer; J. E. Hennessey, secretary; W. N. Hobart, general manager.

Building and Loan Associations

CHICAGO, Ill., April 14.—About seventy-five representatives of state leagues of building and loan associations in fifteen states as

sembled at the Grand Pacific today for the purpose of organizing a national association.

These associations have several thousand loan and building associations and about \$300,000,000 of capital. The meeting was for the purpose of organizing a national association. The president of the New York State League of Building and Loan associations, Judge Dexter was chosen as chairman and Henry Rosenthal of Cincinnati, secretary. The object is not only to bring the state leagues together to secure greater benefit for the local members, but to add to their strength in coping with national building and loan associations.

STOCK WINTERED WELL

South Dakota Interests Flourishing on the Frontier.

RAPID CITY, S. D., April 14.—[Special to THE BEE.]—The stock interests, including horses, cattle and sheep of the Black Hills and reservation ranges, never were in better condition, and the outlook is decidedly flattering. Up to the time of the last big storm all range stock was in prime condition, and as it is now known positively that the storm did very little damage it can be said that the loss during the past winter has been almost nothing. Regarding cattle it may be said that large numbers are being shipped in from Texas. They are 2-year-olds, and after being kept on the South Dakota ranges two years will be shipped out to the other eastern markets. Among the purchases made by stockmen of Rapid City and surrounding country during the past two weeks the following were named: Sheldahl Cattle company, 5,000; Fred Holcomb, 1,500; Peter Duhamel, 1,500; Stenger & Humphrey, 2,500; Maurice Keltner, 3,000; Driscoll Bros., 7,000. All except the last will be shipped by rail. Other purchases and shipments within the next thirty days will bring the total to 20,000. The fact that the only steers that can be bought in Texas, shipped 1,500 miles by rail, kept on the range for two years and then re-shipped to eastern points and sold at a good profit—and this has been an important branch of the cattle business here for several years—is a sufficient recommendation of the South Dakota ranges.

The horse interest is also in good condition, a number of recent shipments of range horses to eastern and southern points—such as far away as Birmingham, Ala.—having been made. The profit on these horses is very large. The standard of range horses has for years past been constantly raised, until now the horse that will sell for \$100, which really brings good prices in eastern markets.

The sheep men, as far as could be learned, are in a better position than ever. They have shipped in as now few sheep ranges have been started recently. Though, as stated, those who are in it are doing well and those who are out are doing better than in any other country, the business does not grow very rapidly and is not as popular as the cattle and horse interest. The horse and sheep men were here first, they are numerous and have large interests and naturally desire to keep the sheep industry as limited and within as small an area as possible.

Got Her Children Too No Money

YANKEES, S. D., April 14.—[Special Telegram to THE BEE.]—Judge E. G. Smith today handed down a decree of absolute divorce in the somewhat celebrated case of Charlotte Nicoll Minton against J. McKim Minton of New York City. By the decree Mrs. Minton secures the custody of the children, but no alimony. Mrs. Minton has a residence in Sioux Falls and will remain in South Dakota.

THEIR LIVES LAD THE PENALTY

Two Colorado Miners Handle Giant Powder Together Too Carelessly.

DENVER, Colo., April 14.—A special to the Republican from Fremont says: A terrible explosion of giant powder occurred at the Tam O'Shanter house in Poverty Gulch, Benjamin Hayden and Joseph Kernes were handling the powder preparatory to putting in a shot in the mine. A man named Anderson was in the stable house, and noticing the careless manner in which the powder was being handled, he expostulated with the men. The explosion occurred, and Hayden and Kernes were killed. Anderson was wounded but he cannot live.

A Lucky Man

OMAHA, April 14.—To the Editor of THE BEE: Will you kindly permit me to say that I do not lose, personally, one dollar in the Sidney Smith deal, your reporter to the contrary notwithstanding. I was only the broker in the transaction, and my only loss was the cost of the recovery of the property after Smith's departure was to order to help the holder of the notes, whose name was not on the notes, and the name of the man who was in charge of THE BEE where my name was mentioned.

PERSONAL PARAGRAPHS

H. J. Walsh of Lincoln is at the Millard. E. J. Hagg of Kearney is at the Paxton. F. J. Fitzgerald of Lincoln is at the Murray. C. Hollenbeck of Fremont is at the Arcade. M. Woodward of Des Moines is at the Millard. John Hackett of Creton, Ia. is at the Arcade. J. R. Cain of Falls City, Neb. is at the Paxton. W. T. Bartlett of Jackson, Neb. is at the Paxton. A. H. Baker of Grand Island is at the Paxton. W. J. Biles of Fremont is registered at the Millard. T. J. Powers of Chadron, Neb. is at the Millard. L. C. Sharp of West Point, Neb. is at the Millard. E. H. Clavson of York, Neb. is at the Millard. E. G. Hall of David City is stopping at the Murray. J. O. Baker of Phillips is quartered at the Murray. E. J. Hansen of Hastings is stopping at the Millard. William Hall of Pawnee City, Neb. is at the Millard. J. Stabler of Fairfield is registered at the Murray. John P. Henderson of Plattsmouth is at the Delone. Frederick Weitzer of Norfolk is stopping at the Millard. E. H. Peliers, G. Church of Lincoln is registered at the Millard. Henry C. Smith of Falls City is stopping at the Paxton. T. H. Egbert and C. A. Most of Norfolk are at the Delone. C. H. Cornell of Valentine, Neb. is stopping at the Delone. J. P. Horn of Hay Springs, Neb. is stopping at the Paxton. F. V. Brown of Dakota City, Neb. is registered at the Paxton. B. L. Willmore of Hebron, Neb. is at the Murray yesterday. G. A. J. Moss of Pawnee City, Neb. is registered at the Paxton. George W. Uplike of Holdrege, Neb. is quartered at the Millard. Mrs. E. L. Eulick and daughter of Fremont are domiciled at the Arcade. E. H. P. Parsons and A. B. Spearman of Springfield are at the Paxton. A. H. Bowman and John S. Brown of Lawrence, Neb. are at the Delone. James and Mark Murray of Fremont and Peter W. Neale are at the Arcade. Frank J. Morgan and Charles D. Dawes of Plattsmouth are at the Paxton. John H. Jones and J. E. West of Rushville, Neb. are domiciled at the Millard. E. H. Peliers, G. B. Williams and W. M. Johnson of Humboldt, Neb. are domiciled at the Paxton. Michael Hughes and Felix Given of West Point are on THE BEE last night. They were delegates to the democratic convention. Mr. P. Mortenson, president of the Big National bank of Oid, visited THE BEE yesterday. He left last night for Galveston. Mr. Mortenson is a candidate for nomination for state treasurer.

WILL SETTLE LITIGATION

Friends of Alderman Boehmer Not Satisfied with His Defeat.

MAJORITY OF THE COUNCIL NECESSARY

He Was Not Re-Elected President of the Lincoln City Council and He Desires to Have the Matter Thoroughly Aired—Local News.

LINCOLN, Neb., April 14.—[Special to THE BEE.]—The friends of Alderman Boehmer, who was defeated for re-election as president of the Lincoln city council by a majority of only one vote, are seriously considering the matter of a recall election. Alderman Boehmer to that position. Tuesday evening, at the meeting at which Brown was elected, there were but thirteen councilmen present. Of these seven voted for Brown and six for Boehmer. The absent member was Smitin of the Seventh ward. Boehmer's friends base their grounds for a contest upon the assertion that it requires a majority of all the members of the council elected to choose a president. Instead of a majority of those present when the election takes place. The city attorney has been requested by Mayor Weir to look up the law upon the matter. The next meeting of the city council next Tuesday evening. The importance of the matter lies in the fact that in the absence of the mayor from the city, the city council would be the acting mayor, with no little responsibility upon his shoulders.

Rock Island Extension

There are indications that the long contemplated extension of the line of Alderman Boehmer from this city will be commenced this spring. A surveying party will arrive in Lincoln this week and will commence the survey of the proposed extension. The party will be in charge of Engineer Wickham of the Rock Island surveying corps. Mr. Wickham is already in the city making arrangements for the survey. The survey commencing at O street will be made with the idea of connecting with the Union Pacific at Jamaica, thence crossing the Big Blue river and continuing to the north to Jansen, seven miles northeast of Fairbury, where connection will be made with the main line of the Rock Island to the north. The distance from Lincoln to Jansen by the proposed route is about sixty miles.

Omaha Bank Case in Court

An interesting case was filed with the clerk of the supreme court this afternoon, growing out of the case of the National bank in Hastings two years ago. The United States National bank is the defendant in the case. When the City National bank failed, the United States National bank to the amount of about \$18,000. The bank was soon after reorganized and President Noreman and Cashier Jones gave a note to the United States National bank for the amount of the indebtedness. The attempt to put the bank on its feet again was not successful, and the United States National bank presented its note to the receiver. When the United States National bank offered to pay it upon the grounds that certain of the creditors had not properly endorsed upon the note. The case went to the district court and the United States National got a judgment for \$14,900. The case now comes to the supreme court on an appeal.

Supreme Court Decisions

Court met pursuant to adjournment. The following gentlemen were admitted to practice: M. J. Thompson, Esq., of Douglas county; B. F. Thompson, Esq., of Harlan county.

The following decisions were rendered: State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehearing overruled, but judgment of district court modified so as to restrict it to reforming the deed and to set aside the deed of Crawford vs. Norris, leave given defendant to answer in twenty days; Lovo vs. Putnam, dismissed unless plaintiff files and serves brief in ten days; State ex rel. Gwyer vs. Spaulding, motion for rehe