

THE OMAHA WARREN WARRIOR

Governor Boyd's Attorneys Will Try to Have Evidence Introduced.

THAYER'S LAWYERS WILL OBJECT.

Illiberal Tactics in the House to Prevent the Appointment of More Members on the Sifting Committee.

LINCOLN, Neb., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—The answer in the Thayer Boyd quo warranto case has not yet been filed in the supreme court. Governor Boyd's attorneys, however, are expected to file the answer and the document will undoubtedly go on record tomorrow. It is not at all likely that the answer will contain any second-hand account of any great importance, involving some new legal points, that more time will be asked in which to prepare a proper consideration of them. It is not unlikely that Governor Boyd's attorneys will endeavor to have testimony taken in the case, because the merits of the case are not ascertained in any other manner. Thus far the case against the governor has proceeded on the hypothesis that his father-in-law had had second-hand papers. This point will be disputed. For this reason, therefore, Boyd's attorneys will endeavor to adduce testimony before the supreme court. Thayer's attorneys will endeavor to prevent it.

DISTRICTS DECIDED ON.

The House Scheme for Apportionment Ready to Be Reported.

LINCOLN, Neb., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—The house apportionment committee has decided on the division of the state into congressional districts as follows: First District—Cass, O'Connell, Richmond, Pawnee, Johnson, Lancaster. Second—Sarge, Donahay, Washington. Third—Burt, Thornton, Dakota, Dixon, Cuming, Dodge, Colfax, Stanton, Wayne, Cedar, Knox, Pierce, Madison, Platte, Nance, Boone, Axtell, Morrill. Fourth—Sawyer, Hart, Howard, Saline, Gage, Jefferson, Dwyer, Fillmore, York, Park, Hamilton, Clay, Nicolais. Fifth—Hall, Adams, Webster, Franklin, Kearney, Phelps, Harlan, Gosper, Furnas, Red Willow, Frontier, Hitchcock, Hayes, Perkins, Chase, Dundy.

A Race for School Books.

LINCOLN, Neb., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Senator Shrewsbury has called a meeting of the committee on education to be held in the office of the state superintendent of education tomorrow night at 8 o'clock.

This committee comprises also Senators Taylor of Lincoln, Porter of Brown, Stevens of Lincoln and Shea of Douglas, Messrs. Taylor and Shea, however, are sick and will not be present.

The object of the meeting is to discuss the two text book bills now before the legislature. This will save the committee the trouble of holding a public hearing on the bills.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

CHICAGO'S CITIZENS PARTY.

Franklin MacVaugh Declines the Honor of Leading it to Victory.

A BIG SOCIAL SENSATION AT JOLIET.

A School for the Education of Minors at Bradwell—A Farmer Suffers a Fatal Stroke.

LINCOLN, Neb., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—The answer in the Thayer Boyd quo warranto case has not yet been filed in the supreme court. Governor Boyd's attorneys, however, are expected to file the answer and the document will undoubtedly go on record tomorrow. It is not at all likely that the answer will contain any second-hand account of any great importance, involving some new legal points, that more time will be asked in which to prepare a proper consideration of them. It is not unlikely that Governor Boyd's attorneys will endeavor to have testimony taken in the case, because the merits of the case are not ascertained in any other manner. Thus far the case against the governor has proceeded on the hypothesis that his father-in-law had had second-hand papers. This point will be disputed. For this reason, therefore, Boyd's attorneys will endeavor to adduce testimony before the supreme court. Thayer's attorneys will endeavor to prevent it.

DISTRICTS DECIDED ON.

The House Scheme for Apportionment Ready to Be Reported.

LINCOLN, Neb., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—The house apportionment committee has decided on the division of the state into congressional districts as follows: First District—Cass, O'Connell, Richmond, Pawnee, Johnson, Lancaster. Second—Sarge, Donahay, Washington. Third—Burt, Thornton, Dakota, Dixon, Cuming, Dodge, Colfax, Stanton, Wayne, Cedar, Knox, Pierce, Madison, Platte, Nance, Boone, Axtell, Morrill. Fourth—Sawyer, Hart, Howard, Saline, Gage, Jefferson, Dwyer, Fillmore, York, Park, Hamilton, Clay, Nicolais. Fifth—Hall, Adams, Webster, Franklin, Kearney, Phelps, Harlan, Gosper, Furnas, Red Willow, Frontier, Hitchcock, Hayes, Perkins, Chase, Dundy.

A Race for School Books.

LINCOLN, Neb., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Senator Shrewsbury has called a meeting of the committee on education to be held in the office of the state superintendent of education tomorrow night at 8 o'clock.

This committee comprises also Senators Taylor of Lincoln, Porter of Brown, Stevens of Lincoln and Shea of Douglas, Messrs. Taylor and Shea, however, are sick and will not be present.

The object of the meeting is to discuss the two text book bills now before the legislature. This will save the committee the trouble of holding a public hearing on the bills.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

The bills in question are house bill No. 272 and senate bill No. 71. The former was introduced by Mr. McTeague and is supposed to have been framed by certain teachers; the latter is an anonymous measure.

CAPTAIN CATELEY'S RECORD.

He Was Court-Martialed and Recommended for Dismissal Once Before.

PRESIDENT HAYES SAVED HIS PLACE.

Course of the Captain Since Entering the Service—Charges on Which He Was Tried and Convicted.

The court martial called to meet on March 10 for the purpose of hearing charges preferred against Captain Henry Cateley of the Second Infantry, has suggested the looking up of his record as an army officer. The present court martial difficulty is not the first experience of that kind for Captain Cateley. He was tried by a court martial held at Walla Walla, Wash., in 1880 upon a charge of having treated before a band of Indians, much inferior to his force, while in the vicinity of Camp Creek in this territory. He was discharged on the 29th day of July, 1879, to the disgrace of the service. The specifications in that case set forth that Captain Cateley had ordered his men to fire upon the Indians, but that he did so in a precipitous manner, leaving some wounded soldiers belonging to the United States army, and that he had not properly cared for them, but that he continued his precipitous retreat for two miles, and even then failed to face about and meet and tend to the needs of the wounded. He was punished, but continued the retreat for the distance of 100 miles, to the sea and discharged from the service. There were eight specifications and the court found Captain Cateley guilty in all but two of them, and the verdict rendered was that he be dismissed from the service of the United States.

HAPPENINGS IN IOWA.

Killed in a Coal Mine.

OSKATONIA, Ia., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Fred Garrison, aged 17 years, was killed and his two older brothers injured by a fall of slate in the Foster mine at Eschville, ten miles west of here, Saturday evening. They came from Illinois a few weeks ago, and are perfect strangers here.

Iowa's Commercial Debt Gates.

DES MOINES, Ia., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Governor Boies today appointed the following delegates to the commercial congress at Kansas City, April 15, next: Lieutenant Governor A. N. Foster, Honorable Speaker John T. Hamilton, Charles Taylor, Senator John T. Logan, Harrison County Senator William G. Kohl, Fort Madison, Lee county Senator J. B. Barnett, Boone County Senator B. C. Vale, Boone County, Van Buren County Representatives D. J. Hefelicks, Bloomfield; Ed C. Russell, Corning; L. L. Woods, Fort Dodge; A. J. Chantry, Malvern; Silas Wilson, Atlantic.

Violent Trichinosis.

DES MOINES, Ia., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Samples of the bovine trichinosis, which is a disease of the human race, were received by the state board of health today, together with a piece of flesh from the leg of one of the victims. After careful examination, the case was pronounced by Secretary Kennedy as the worst that ever came under his notice, and everything will be done to stamp out the disease and prevent further outbreak.

Ground to Death.

CHARLES RIVERS, Ia., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Dan Sullivan, foreman of the Burlington, Cedar Rapids & Northern road, who was killed by a freight train yesterday in the yard at Charles Rivers, fell, death resulting instantly.

Watchman Burned to Death.

LYONS, Ia., March 9.—Taylor Brothers' foundry and machine shop burned here early this morning and the watchman, E. L. Page, perished in the flames. The fire broke out in the night, and the watchman was found in the ruins. Full play is suspected. The loss to the shop is \$6,000.

Fatal Fight of Tramps.

OTTUMWA, Ia., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Phil Ryan and John Bessey, tramps, fought in a box car here today. The former was fatally stabbed and the latter is in jail.

THE ALTON EXTENDS THE TIME.

Not Quite Ready to Enforce the Two-Cent Mileage Rate.

CHICAGO, March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—One universal sign of relief went up today from the passenger departments of western roads when it was learned that the Alton's demand for a two-cent mileage rate. President John C. Mackay, of the Illinois & Southern road, telegraphed to chairman Finley that he would like a delay until Wednesday and could give the Alton assurances which would obviate the necessity of the two-cent rate. This reduction has been the most prominent question for some time in western roads and they propose to unite in any proposition which will save the present rate basis. The Alton's position has not changed.

Bought a Railroad.

SIX FLAGS, Cal., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—A. A. Grant of this city, one of the Grant Brothers who built a large portion of the Santa Fe road, J. I. Williams of the Atlantic & Pacific, his son-in-law, D. K. Tripp of Chicago, and others have purchased the California & Nevada railroad and will eventually extend it to connect with the Colorado Midland. Mr. Grant said today: "We have good backing, plenty of Scotch capital, good Chicago parties interested and the officials of the Santa Fe system at our back. It is not at all a matter of decision to go on that the line had fallen under the control of the Atchison. 'We prefer,' he said, 'to proceed with the purchase of the line. The charter of the road is for a line from the bay of San Francisco to Bodie. We have terminal facilities here as is of course the case with the Colorado Midland. The operation may lead to the entry of another transcontinental line directly into San Francisco.'

Cutting Down the Force.

BURNSVILLE, Ia., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—The second shock of an economical earthquake that has been prevailing throughout the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy system this month was felt at West Burlington today, and over 100 shop laborers were laid low. The work which is absolutely necessary, as there is no other work they can do. This makes nearly 200 men laid off at West Burlington and this city within a week.

The Methodist Book Concern.

CINCINNATI, O., March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—In pursuance of the authority granted by the general book committee of the Methodist Episcopal church at its recent meeting here, the western Methodist book concern has bought for \$20,000 a lot at Fourth and Home streets adjoining their present building which gives them a frontage of seventy-one feet on Fourth street by 140 feet on Home street. The new building to be erected additional buildings. The growth of this concern has been astonishing in the past few years. It has a net income of \$11,817, and in 1891 it stands in solid assets at \$1,019,215. Meanwhile it has paid to the general church funds nearly \$100,000. This shows net earnings averaging more than \$103,000 per year.

The Hennessey Murder Trial.

NEW ORLEANS, La., March 9.—In the Hennessey case today Bryan, counsel for Politz, asked for an adjournment to enable him to secure the confidence of his client. The judge replied that after the defense closed Politz would be given sufficient time to prepare his case. A number of witnesses for the defense then testified.

A Porcelain Art Sale.

NEW YORK, March 9.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—The first day of the sale of the collection of art objects owned by Brayton Tves indicated clearly that this is to be the most successful sale of porcelain ever held in this country. The attendance was not large, but the prices were on the whole excellent.

PARKELL AND THE PRELATES.

Excommunication Threatened for the Irish Nationalist.

<