

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION. Daily and Sunday, One Year, \$10.00

OFFICES: Omaha, The Bee Building, Corner N. and 26th Streets

CORRESPONDENCE: All communications relating to news and editorial matter should be addressed to the Editor

BUSINESS LETTERS: All business letters and remittances should be addressed to The Bee Publishing Company

The Bee Publishing Company, Proprietors, The Bee Bldg., Farnam and Seventeenth Sts.

SWORN STATEMENT OF CIRCULATION: State of Nebraska, County of Douglas, ss

George B. Tschuck, secretary of The Bee Publishing Company, does solemnly swear that the actual circulation of THE DAILY BEE for the week ending Nov. 8, 1900, was as follows:

Table with 2 columns: Day and Circulation. Sunday, Nov. 2, 3,105; Monday, Nov. 3, 3,040; Tuesday, Nov. 4, 3,048; Wednesday, Nov. 5, 3,173; Thursday, Nov. 6, 3,241; Friday, Nov. 7, 3,279; Saturday, Nov. 8, 3,550.

Average, 3,25,059

GEORGE B. TSCHUCK, Notary Public.

MR. BLAINE is still a man of considerable popularity.

THERE are several loose joints in the management of the county jail.

THE twenty-eighters are again actively scheming to tighten their grip on the city treasury.

THIS is an off year for Church Howe. This fall he is said next winter he will be an anti-monopolist.

BOULANGER has left the Isle of Jersey for some unknown destination, but it is not believed that it is the throne of France.

RAINBOW BRICE is again projected into the presidential arena as a possibility of 1902. His fool friends forget that the senate is the graveyard of presidential aspirants.

WE shall presently have to pay some attention to city affairs again. One half of the city council will vacate next December, or rather most of them ought to be made to vacate.

WHATEVER changes may be necessary in our charter should be discussed before the legislature convenes, so the delegation may know just what the citizens and taxpayers want and do not want.

THE Douglas county independents are opposed to a contest. But the Douglas county contingent does not balk in the friendship of the Burrows, the Cradocks and other beneficiaries of the star chamber party.

THERE is said to be a man in Lincoln who is about to insist that the votes on prohibition be recounted. If he demands only the recount of votes cast for prohibition it can be done at little expense of time and money.

THE gentlemen who are insisting that Robert E. Pattison can easily be elected president in 1902 should remember that he will probably not be pitted against either M. S. Quay or G. W. Delamater on that occasion.

OMAHA modestly refrains from boasting of her advantages as a health resort, but as a matter of current interest her vital statistics place her conspicuously in the lead of the so-called "sanitariums" of the Rocky mountains.

THE park commissioners should formulate such amendments to our present law as will enable them to give us something more than a few grass plots and posy gardens. We want parks and boulevards that are commensurate with a metropolitan city.

ROBERT LINCOLN has laid the ashes of his son, Abraham, by the side of the boy's grandfather in the tomb at Springfield. The American people will very generally share with the bereaved father the sadness with which he comes home upon this errand.

THE declaration of the sod-house statesman, "I am not a democrat," necessitates a revision of congressional tables, and raises the alliance strength in the next congress from seven to eight members. The record must be straight, though the heavens fall.

"WHY not Springer for speaker?" hysterically shrieks the down town double-ender. Any one of twenty reasons will do. The "Bouncing Springer," as he is familiarly dubbed, lacks two important qualifications of a speaker—brains and balance. He talks too much and thinks too little. He is too much on his feet and too little in his study. Either McMillen or Hynum is more forceful. Mr. Springer has one qualification which Mr. Bryan considers supreme and which was doubtless the inspiration of this feeble call for his elevation. He has been for years Mr. Bryan's Illinois congressman. But even this is not a conclusive reason for his selection to succeed Tom Reed. In times of excitement, when his associates, like Breckinridge and Crisp and Mills, are nervy, Springer is only nervous. In a hard fight, where gallantry is wanted, he is only persistently garrulous. Unlike Carlisle he is not great in council. He lacks the calm balance of Blount and the equipoise of Crisp.

SLOPING OVER.

Our esteemed double-barreled contemporary expresses the hope that W. J. Bryan may be so fortunate as to be named a member of the ways and means committee of the next house, in which it predicts that "he will win national fame and a statesman's standing." Young Mr. Bryan is about as likely to be chosen to succeed Tom Reed as to be selected to occupy a chair around the table of the greatest and most important committee of the house. The house of representatives will contain nearly a hundred and fifty democrats with prior claims to such recognition—claims based on long congressional service, legislative schooling, political prominence in party councils or home celebrity due to energetic labor for democracy in the past. Even if it had not been the custom to select for such vacancies as might occur on the ways and means committee the most distinguished congressmen best fitted for its arduous duties, young Mr. Bryan's total lack of general knowledge of legislative procedure and his special ignorance of the details of framing the most intricate piece of legislation which comes before congress would preclude the possibility of his appointment.

THE FACT ABOUT NEW ENGLAND.

No phase of the recent election has excited more comment and surprise than the fact that the four New England states voting on November 4 went democratic. In September Vermont and Maine alone held the republican banner at the old altitude. No one familiar with the changing character of New England's social and political institutions will be surprised, however, at these results. The fact is that for the past ten years two forces have been at work which were sure to accomplish this effect sooner or later. These two forces operate on opposite classes of society, but drive them along in the same direction. First, there is a constant outflowing and incoming of old and new population in the six historic little states. The population that flows out is very largely republican—scions of the old stock pushing on to new and broader fields in the west and south. The incoming tide brings a class of laborers and homeseekers, largely Irish and Canadian, who naturally affiliate with the democratic party. This force has been slowly sapping the strength of republicanism and building up that of democracy for years. The other element in the result acts upon what New England loves to call her "better people." It dates back no further than 1884, when the mugwump was evolved from a republicanism that opposed Blaine because he was the idol of the common people. It is from this class that most of the new and successful leaders of New England's swelling democracy have come.

THE MONETARY SITUATION.

The financial stress in New York has been somewhat relieved and it is believed that the danger of a serious monetary crisis has been averted. The trouble, which for several days has caused great anxiety in eastern financial circles, had its origin in London, where an extraordinary demand for money compelled the Bank of England to make an exceptional advance of its discount rate. It is very rare that this rate goes to six per cent, as it has just done, and the fact is evidence of a most unusual pressure for money. The drain, it appears, has been largely on South American account, and it cannot be certainly predicted when this will end, so that there is reasonable ground for apprehension that the stringency may be prolonged. There is a very unfortunate state of financial affairs in the South American countries, where large amounts of British capital are invested, and before a readjustment can be reached the strain upon the London market is likely to continue severe. But the resources available to that market are already being called in, the dispatches reporting heavy remittances from the continent. Still the London money market is in a very feverish and unsettled condition, and it is quite possible that the situation may be worse before it is better.

A Consumption to be Hoped For.

Philadelphia Press. Emilie Zola has almost lost his eyesight. Perhaps he will not be able to see so many nasty things to write about in future.

Two More Men of Destiny.

St. Joseph Herald. The election will be named to the roll of "men of destiny." They are those of Robert E. Pattison of Pennsylvania, and William E. Russell of Massachusetts.

Surrounded by Discretion.

Chicago Tribune. Stanley told his story as soon as he reached this country. His intimate acquaintance with American newspaper reporters satisfied him the boys would worm it out of him somehow.

The Alliance and the Democracy.

St. Joseph Herald. There are no independents or alliance people now; democracy claims the whole mass. The farmers and laborers have been swallowed whole and not even the name of their party will survive if democracy can obliterate it.

Vain Bourbon Hoasts.

Kansas City Globe. "We sincerely believe," says the Louisville Courier-Journal, "that it would be of vast benefit to all parts of this country if the blow given the republican party on Tuesday should prove fatal." Why? asks the New York World, "is the republican party permitted to live? When you fellows run your head against a solid republican wall in 1892, read your answer in the stars."

This Can Not Go On Forever.

Lincoln Journal. The Omaha World-Herald has more trouble in hearing of the returns of the election on the general state ticket than you can shake a stick at. It still repeats the weary refrain, "sixty-nine counties gives Smith, and Jones, and Robinson so and so." This can not go on forever, Mr. Hitchcock. The real returns, undoubtedly, were pretty well known to the raffishes of last night and threw more or less wisdom on the proceedings. The democracy which was glorifying had been reduced to the humiliating position of the "third party" in Nebraska.

What Pelled Tammany Through.

Tammany hall's victory in New York City is attributed to all sorts of causes, chief among which was the cunning use made by Tammany there as by republicans elsewhere of national issues. New York is a pivotal state, and by representing that a local defeat of democrats now would result in a republican victory in 1902 when were secured for Grant that would have been cast against him if the partisan feeling had been absent. The dragging of the tariff and other national issues into municipal elections is responsible for a great deal of corruption and bad government, not only in New York, but elsewhere.

IN THE ROTUNDA.

Hon. E. P. Roggen, secretary of the Business Men's and Bankers' association, came up from Lincoln yesterday. A Bismarck man met him at the Paxton and asked him to talk, which he seldom does. "Yes," said he, "Lincoln is full of independents all clamoring for a contest as between Powers and Boyd. The air is full of it. I could not learn whether Burrows favors the move, but heard that he did not. Luce has expressed himself as opposed to a contest in the legislature as between Boyd and Powers and thinks the man who receives the largest number of votes on the face of the official returns should be seated and not afterwards interfered with. But notwithstanding all this, there is a move on foot to make a contest and I was told last night that attorneys are now at work on the specifications and on the plea of campaign. The real issue vote of Omaha will be the chief object of attack. Emigrants of the independent party are now thought to be at work in Omaha planning an attack upon the validity of the Omaha vote. "No, so far as my observations have gone, the democrats are doing nothing in the premises. They seem to feel secure and that Boyd will be seated without opposition. "The boys in the office of the secretary of state say that the proposition to increase the number of supreme justices and salaries thereof and of district judges failed to get the requisite number of votes. "Yes, the prohibitionists are deep down in the slough of despond. Considering the silent vote they lacked from 20,000 to 25,000 votes of a majority. The prohibitionists cast only about one-fourth the total vote of the state. I gather also that the high license amendment is in the economy. "Official returns of over sixty counties have been received by the secretary of state. The law requires that the canvass of county votes by the county boards of canvassers shall be completed by November 12 and an abstract of the vote must be filed with the secretary of state by November 17. Church Howe of Omaha was in the city yesterday. He positively asserted that several causes were responsible for the political cyclone, but that first and foremost in the train of untoward circumstances was the McKinley bill. Said he: "I am also dissatisfied with the present financial policy of the government, and that, with the new tariff bill, are responsible for the republican defeat all over the country. "The people won't stand this additional taxation, and this general uprising shows it to be a fact. A party cannot expect success, with the country in its present condition, by advocating an increased tariff and additional taxation. What they demand is a reduction, and the republican party can only retrieve its reverses by a radical modification of the law passed at the last session of congress. "This can be done, but it will require speedy action, as the republican congressmen must do before their terms of office expire. They made a mistake, and they ought to admit it. Now that they understand the wishes of the people they ought to pass a law in accordance with the wishes. As far as I am personally concerned, I am opposed to the McKinley bill, or any other measure which favors the east and injures the west."

WHAT STRUCK PROHIBITION.

Paxton Republican: Here is long life and prosperity to Hon. Edward Rosewater and Mr. W. H. Cramer, who have proved that they are a power in the land. Hastings Nebraska: The defeat of prohibition in this state is due principally to a large conservative element that believed the peace and prosperity of the state would be best subserved by retaining the present law on our statute books. The coffin business in the procession last night was a feature of the affair that seemed to be carrying matters a little too far. Prohibition is defeated and it will follow the rest of the world. Men Democrat: Prohibition has been defeated by an overwhelming majority. It has usually been claimed by its advocates in Nebraska that if left to the country and small town voters the question would carry for prohibition. It was charged that the larger cities were so corrupt that the country districts stood no show in bringing about a reform of this kind. In looking over the vote of the counties this question we find, however, that prohibition was defeated without counting the votes of Omaha, Lincoln, Plattsmouth, Hastings, Grand Island and Kearney. Verily, the cities are not the only places that vote against prohibition. Callaway Courier: One cause of the overwhelming defeat of prohibition at the late election can be traced directly to the circulation of the crazy prohibition organs, of which the New York Vote is a shining example. The scurrilous defamations of Nebraska and her cities scattered broadcast by this vile sheet, made more anti-prohibition votes than the combined efforts of Rosewater, Roggen and Webster. The low-down contemptible trick played upon the local papers of Nebraska by this prohibition-for-revenue-only organ turned nearly every one of them against a cause which they might otherwise have advocated. For downright jackassery and chronic prohibitionist takes the cake. Crawford Clipper: The question of prohibition as a national measure is virtually ended. Within the past three years ten of our sister states in which the question has been submitted have cast majorities against it aggregating half a million votes. These states are Texas, Tennessee, Oregon, New Hampshire, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Michigan, West Virginia, Pennsylvania and Rhode Island, and each has been subjected to a thorough and systematic campaign, notwithstanding which, the license law has won by handsome majorities in every instance. Nebraska was recognized by these mistaken mortals as the last hope, the last stronghold, situated as she was between four weak sisters who have put on the cloak and are struggling with a law that is congealing the masses and Americans. They contracted their forces, imported dozens of world renowned speakers, flooded the state with prohibition literature, bought newspapers and spent hundreds of thousands of dollars to capture this, the last forlorn hope, only to be snuffed under 40,000 deep. This is the death knell to prohibition.

STATE ELECTION ECHOES.

Kearney Election-Enterprise: It was not the McKinley bill as much as it was the desire to "yea," which caused the defeat of the republican party. Creighton Courier: Bunium, Bossism and Boodie must go out of business in the republican party in Nebraska, or the party must go out of business. Nebraska City News: When Church Howe and John Watson met in a law house of representatives they will probably shake hands "across the bloody chasm" and remark that there are only a few of us left. Crawford Clipper: Kem's advice to the farmers to sow a little politics along with their other crops returned some ten, some fifty and some an hundred fold to his vote in the Big Trip. But Kem's success cannot be attributed alone to the advice he gave so freely. In a great measure it was due to the work of dissatisfied republicans who allowed their personal feeling against Mr. Dorsey to outweigh their desire for the success of republican principles and a continuance of republican politics. That was what elected O. M. Kom.

FROM THE STATE CAPITAL.

Some Interesting Decisions from the Highest State Tribunal. HOW THE GAMBLERS ELUDED CAPTURE. In the Alliance Responsible for the Possible Failure of the Amendment to Increase the Supreme Judiciary?—Lincoln Notes. LINCOLN, Neb., Nov. 13.—[Special to THE BEE.]—The following opinions were handed down today by the judges of the supreme court: Mr. Rogers vs. Meeking. Error from Gage county. Reversed and remanded. Opinion by Mr. Justice Maxwell. Judgment was rendered by a justice of the peace on the 7th day of August, 1899, from which the plaintiff on the same day appealed to the district court and filed a transcript therein. On the 19th day of that month the plaintiff filed a petition. No pleadings were filed by the defendant and on the 17th day of October, 1899, the cause was continued. Afterward on the same day the defendant moved to set aside and the action dismissed on the motion of the defendant, the defendant at the time being in default of an answer. Held that the appeal was properly taken and the court error in dismissing it. Bohm manufacturing company vs. Knoutte, appeal from Douglas county. Reversed in part, affirmed in part. Opinion by Mr. Justice Maxwell. In a contract for the sale of land, it was stipulated that the purchaser should erect a dwelling upon the premises within a stated time. The building was erected, but the labor performed and the material furnished were not fully paid for. Held in an action to foreclose the mechanic's lien, that the liens of the mechanic and material man have a lien on the value of the vendor for unpaid purchase money. Union Pacific railroad company vs. Broderick, error from Douglas county. Affirmed. Opinion by Mr. Justice Maxwell. Where an employer negligently provides his workmen with improper and unsafe apparatus with which to perform the work, and the workman, without any fault on his part, is injured owing to the employer's neglect to provide suitable, safe and proper appliances, the employer is liable for the injury. Held that the evidence sustained the verdict and judgment. The instructions examined and held to have been properly given. Hilton vs. Crooker. Appeal from Lancaster county. Decree for plaintiffs. Opinion by Justice Maxwell. On a motion for judgment before the court held that a deed set forth in the record would be reformed so as to exclude forty acres of land described in the opinion. A contract for professional services, as that of an attorney, is personal and confidential, and cannot be assigned to another without the assent of the client; and in case of such assignment without such assent, the client may declare the contract at an end and recover certain lands conveyed as a condition of the contract. M. sold two acres of land to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R. sold the same to S. for \$100. S. sold the same to T. for \$100. T. sold the same to U. for \$100. U. sold the same to V. for \$100. V. sold the same to W. for \$100. W. sold the same to X. for \$100. X. sold the same to Y. for \$100. Y. sold the same to Z. for \$100. Z. sold the same to A. for \$100. A. sold the same to B. for \$100. B. sold the same to C. for \$100. C. sold the same to D. for \$100. D. sold the same to E. for \$100. E. sold the same to F. for \$100. F. sold the same to G. for \$100. G. sold the same to H. for \$100. H. sold the same to I. for \$100. I. sold the same to J. for \$100. J. sold the same to K. for \$100. K. sold the same to L. for \$100. L. sold the same to M. for \$100. M. sold the same to N. for \$100. N. sold the same to O. for \$100. O. sold the same to P. for \$100. P. sold the same to Q. for \$100. Q. sold the same to R. for \$100. R.