

## THE CITY.

The Missouri Pacific pay car was in the city yesterday.

The will of Chaumer D. Close, who resided in Iowa City, Ia., was admitted to probate in the Douglas county court.

Charles J. Grunke of Newport was arrested by Deputy United States Marshal Hephner for selling liquor without a license. He gave bonds for his appearance at the next term of court.

John Flanagan and Mike Dreeus used to be bosom companions. Flanagan suddenly departed with a gold watch belonging to Dreeus and has been keeping himself in seclusion for a week or more. Detective Ellis found him at South Omaha yesterday and lodged him in jail. The watch was found in Flanagan's person.

The members of the Omaha T. K. quartette have about completed arrangements for making an extended tour through the state and Iowa. They will give concerts at Plattsmouth, Blair, Fremont, Wooster, Water, Lincoln, York, David City, Seneca and Tekamah, Neb., and Glenwood, Vicksburg, Creston and Atlantic, Ia.

**The Republican Franchise.**  
It was rumored yesterday that the Democrat publishing company had purchased the Republican telegraph franchise for \$1,000, and would now publish the paper under the name of "The Standard." W. V. Vaughan denied that the deal had been closed, but stated that he had option on the franchise until September 1.

**The three outlets of disease are the bowels, the skin and the kidneys. Regulate their action with the best purifying tonic, Bartow's Blood Bitters.**

**The Republican Club.**  
The Douglas County republican club will meet this evening at the Exposition building and have a grand rally.

Hon. John L. Webster will address the meeting on the live issues of the day, which is ample assurance that they will be most ably discussed.

It is desired to have a large attendance from every ward in the city.

**The Teachers Institute.**  
The attendance at the county teachers' institute has been increased since last week by the enrollment of the following names:

Amanda Loftord, Sophie Cleveland, Nettie Donohoe, A. H. Head, Alice Craig, Mary Cally, H. D. Overholser, Bertha Booth, Henry Eyer, Carrie A. Brigham, Alice A. Link, A. L. Rice, Anna Conway, George Torry, A. J. Cummings, W. B. Wood and T. R. McDonald.

Excellent work is being done and the teachers and their instructors seem to be both enthusiastic and in harmony. The teachers will visit Hanscom park this afternoon and recreate in various ways.

**German Marksmen.**

The Omaha Shuetz Verein will hold its third shooting festival at Ruser's park Saturday and Sunday, August 30 and 31.

On the first day the festival will begin at 8 a.m. and close at 7 p. m. but on Sunday it will continue only until noon, after which the winners will be crowned and the prizes awarded.

In the evening a ball will take place in the park, and at the same time there will be prize boating for ladies and gentlemen. There will be a grand prize boat race between the principal one being a grand model value at \$25 to be awarded to the marksman making the most points in ten shots.

**The Ladies Delighted.**

The pleasant effect and the perfect safety with which ladies may use the liquid fruit laxative Dr. Price's Balsam of Tartar, makes it their favorite remedy. It is pleasant to the eye and to the taste, gentle, yet effective in acting on the kidneys, liver and bowels.

**Ed Larkin's Big Deal.**

Mr. Edward Larkin, formerly the well known dry goods man of North Sixteenth street, has just effected the sale of his ranch, live stock and outfit in Saunders county for the elegant little sum of \$14,000. This is the outcome of a purchase of a lot in this city four years ago for which Mr. Larkin paid \$8,000. He subsequently erected a row of flats upon the land, and then exchanged both buildings and grounds for this property, which he has just disposed of. The buyers have incorporated under the name of the Astoria land and live stock company, and will make the place one of the finest feeding farms in the country, spending \$30,000 on it in improvements.

Mr. Larkin's success will be heartily appreciated by his many friends.

**Dr. Sussdorf makes a specialty of diseases peculiar to women.**

**Brother Engineers.**

A few nights ago several engineers and their wives called at the residence of Mr. James Anderson, Twenty-second and Miami streets, and took that gentleman by surprise.

The object of their visit was not apparent for some time, but finally Mr. J. W. Matthews was called on for a speech.

The great hotel engineer said he was a poor talker, but a great listener. However, he held the floor for half an hour, and finished by presenting Mr. Anderson with a gold-headed cane, in the name of Omaha No. 1 of National Association of stationary engineers.

Mr. Anderson was so taken by surprise that all he could say was to thank the donors for their useful and valuable present.

After a few short speeches by other members of the association, the committee adjourned to the residence of Mr. Wilson, the engineer of the Pasteur bridge. There were other surprises in the shape of a table loaded with the good things of the season. In just thirty seconds the engineers forgot that the corn crop was short, and that hay was \$9 per ton.

There were present Mr. and Mrs. Matthews, Mr. and Mrs. Lafferty, Mr. and Mrs. Wilson, Mr. and Mrs. Austin, Mr. and Mrs. Palmer, Mr. and Mrs. Norgues, Messrs. Headwell, Butterfield and Johnson.

Ask for Van Houten's Cocoa. Take no other.

**Will Walk Later.**  
The city council had met Monday night, there would have been music in the air and some of the town officials would have danced a metaphorical bacchanal.

Major Tracy was on the sick list, one councilman was out of town and a quorum of the city fathers could not be obtained.

There were two important matters which would have been brought up for consideration. The case of City Attorney Eller, who was asked to resign, would have been discussed and that gentleman retired to private life. Judge Hartman would have been called up and invited to travel in the same course.

The people said they did not propose to tolerate a justice who will openly take up the side of the defendants and render decisions that are contrary to law and evidence.

Work on the five new school houses situated on Fifteenth, Thirteenth and Twentieth Streets, the building will be ready for occupancy at the beginning of the school year, which is the second Monday in September. The school is a two-story, eight room structure. It will have all modern conveniences and will be heated by steam.

The board of education has hired the following teachers, who will look after the 100 pupils of the city: Prof. Collins, principal; Miss Jessie King, assistant and Miss Mamie Weems, intermediate and primary.

New schools are being laid out along some of the principal streets and the moving of some of the forests of weeds the town presents quite a metropolitan appearance.

**At Auction.**  
Grocery stock, fixtures, horse, wagon and harness, Friday, August 22, 10 a.m. 1625 North Twentieth.

**Sixteenth and Farnam streets is the new Rock Island ticket office. Tickets to all points east at lowest rates.**

## CLEANING THE CITY.

## How the Work is Managed Under the New Regime.

"Although the sanitary condition of the city is better now than it was four months ago, it is not yet what we intend to have it," said Mr. Frank Morrissey, sanitary commissioner.

This gentleman attributes the slowness of sanitary improvement to the citizens, who in many cases have refused to confine their garbage to receptacles and have it removed by the proper officials.

The city is divided into garbage districts as follows: The First, Second and Seventh wards comprise one district and have two teams; the Third and Fifth another, with three teams; the Fourth, with two teams; the Ninth, Eleventh and Sixth, two teams, or in other words, one team to each ward. Each team is expected to remove the garbage twice a week from every house in its division. Persons are not allowed to hire other than the appointed garbage men to remove their garbage and 20 cents per barrel is charged for removing.

Messrs. Rees and Gibbs were not present at the meeting, the former being out of the city.

Dr. Sussdorf treats successfully all diseases of the kidneys, bladder and ureum. 1614 Farnam St.

## ANOTHER ASPHALT SURFACE

## Is About to Replace That on Douglas Street.

A very important improvement was decided upon yesterday by the board of public works.

It was to resurface Douglas street between Twelfth and Fourteenth streets, as also half a block on Twelfth between Douglas and Farnam.

This "re-surfacing" comprehends taking up all the asphalt oil of the pavement except the concreted bed, and putting in a new covering.

The cost of this improvement will be 8 cents per square yard, which is the same price as is paid for patching.

This decision on the part of the board is the result of a discovery that it will be better to give the street a general coat of asphalt oil than to continually applying tar to work on these lively thoroughfares. It is also claimed that the pavement is too badly worn to warrant any more patching.

Work will be commenced upon this improvement the present week.

Renamed R. C. Joiner of Allen P. O., Hillsdale Co., Mich., "Nothing gives my rheumatism such quick relief as Dr. Thomas' Electric Oil—believe it infallible for rheumatism."

## A YEAR BEHIND.

## Why Was Not Twelfth Street Paved Last Year?

Today Judge Wailey will file an argument in chambers in the case of William W. Marsh against the city. On his application made August 15, the plaintiff secured an order from the court temporarily restraining the defendant from proceeding with the paving of South Twelfth street on the ground that the property owners interested knew nothing about the fact that paving was to be done until after thirty days following the passage of the ordinance; also that the specifications for the work were of the poorest kind, and that the material designated would be almost worthless. It is also alleged that the price named in the contract is too high.

Marsh claims that the paving was to be done in sections, and that for the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E. Riley & Co., the work was to have been completed by December 1, but it was not until January 1 that the paving was started, and that during the year 1890 the contractor for that kind of pavement was let for \$1.50. By the terms of the contract which is held by J. E