

THE OMAHA BEE. COUNCIL BLUFFS OFFICE, NO. 12 PEARL STREET.

Delivered by carrier in any part of the City at Twenty Cents per copy. H. W. TILTON, MANAGER. TELEPHONE: BUSINESS OFFICE, NO. 12, Pearl Street, N. B.

MINOR MENTION.

N. Y. P. Co. Glenson coal. Council Bluffs Lumber Co., coal. Boston store, the leaders in dry goods. Carbon Coal Co., wholesale and retail. 10 Pearl.

The police made 104 arrests during the month of September. Military opening at Friedman's Monday and Tuesday evenings. Manawa residents have petitioned for a post office at that place. There will be a meeting of the A. S. R. at their cathedral this evening.

The receipts at the office of the city clerk during the month of September were \$1,511. Monday and Tuesday evenings there will be displayed the latest style of millinery at Friedman's. Friedman's store will be closed from 6 p. m. to 6 a. m. until 6 p. m. to-morrow on account of holiday.

J. W. Trede and Annie E. Sucksdorf, both of this county, were married yesterday by Squire Schurz. The train order office of the Milwaukee has been changed from the freight to the passenger depot. The Odells are attending the baseball tournament that was inaugurated at Plattsmouth yesterday.

The remains of O. W. Hamilton, the Rev. house suicide, were taken to South Omaha yesterday for burial. J. B. Cronble, of Luzon, has purchased Priest's barber shop on Broadway. Priest will retire at that place. In the police court yesterday morning Monroe Fitzgerald and George Watson were each fined \$8.10 for intoxication.

There will be a regular meeting of Fidelity Council, No. 156, Royal Arcanum, at the hall, at 7:30 o'clock this evening. The Utility Guild will meet at the residence of Mrs. E. J. Gardner, 207 south First street, at 8 o'clock. J. H. Fey, charged with assaulting John Dunn's daughter, was released yesterday after a hearing before Justice Hendricks.

Marriage licenses have been issued to H. A. Taylor and Mary L. Culbert, and C. H. Steffens and Belle Humbert, all of this city. Mrs. Mitchell has selected the finest and latest styles in pattern hats and bonnets, which will be exhibited Monday and Tuesday at the store at Friedman's. Mrs. Mitchell is the proprietor of the opera house drug store, the retiring partner, Mr. J. W. Camp, having purchased the drug business of M. Keating, No. 503 Broadway.

Mr. C. C. Yancy has retired from the Beechtree house, and in the future Messrs W. J. and Charles Yancy will have charge of the management. The assault and battery case against M. F. Rorabaugh was taken from Justice Hendricks to Justice Schurz's court yesterday on a change of venue. At the St. Paul's church last evening, Mr. E. H. Stephan, of this city, and Miss Heile Humboldt, of Plattsmouth, were united in marriage. They will make their home in this city.

of the contagion. The city apparently was never in a more healthful condition, and the disposition is to charge the spread of this disease to the lax and careless manner in which the health authorities have enforced the quarantine regulations. There have been several cases of undoubted diphtheria in the city, and it is asserted that there were very slight quarantine restrictions placed upon those who were in daily contact with the patients. It is reported that children from infected families were permitted to attend the public schools.

Those whose families are afflicted with diphtheria should take immediate steps to strip-out the disease by enforcing the strictest quarantine regulations. Those who have been afflicted with the disease yesterday were Florence, the eleven-year-old daughter of Mr. and Mrs. A. Schleuter, No. 901 avenue D, and a two-year-old child of Mr. and Mrs. Frank Segall, No. 1012 avenue J. Louis, the two-year-old son of Mr. and Mrs. J. H. Allen, No. 705 North Eighth street, and the infant child of Mr. and Mrs. John Allen, No. 705 North Eighth street.

Winter & Monroe, sign painters, 416 B'way. Let Rasmussen beautify your home in best-letting and cheap. W. H. Sheafe & Co. give special attention to the collection of rents and care of property in the city and vicinity. Charges moderate. Office Broadway and Main streets. Tube paints 75c at Chapman's art store.

Report of the City Auditor. The following is the report of City Auditor Hammel for September of warrants drawn on the treasury: Warrants on bridge fund, \$11,497.03. On general fund, 6,376.88. On police fund, 3,044.37. Total, \$20,918.28. The various items were as follows: Fire department, \$2,201.30. Streets and alleys, 1,210.13. Salaries city officers, 856.35. City engineer, 85.00. Printing and supplies, 347.31. Gas and street lights, 734.45. City marshal's department, 627.58. Miscellaneous, 627.40. Public building and grounds, 1,624.00. Inspector, 301.00. Special assistant, 10.00. Special assistant grading, 67.00. Intersection grading, 553.30. Total, \$20,918.28.

Get Fountains 5c cigar, next Eisenman block. To Whom It May Concern. Notice is hereby given that W. E. Johnson has been discharged from the service of the New York Life Insurance Company. All are warned against paying him anything on account of applications, renewal premium or notes given for him. E. C. SMITH, General Agent. Kelley & Younkerman sell groceries, Chase and Sanborn coffee a specialty.

Always on Time. If you wish to purchase a good and reliable watch at 25 per cent less than retail rates, and on easy terms, they will be glad to show you our special selection at C. B. Jacquemin & Co., 37 Main street. Dwelling for sale on easy payments. Also building lots at lowest market prices. Call and examine our list. E. H. Sheafe & Co., 37 Main street. The Famous Cash Bargain House, 300 B'way.

CHEETAHS. Hunting Deer With Tam: Leopards in Central India. The following account of hunting with tame leopards, of the species called the cheetah, at Dhar, in central India, is contributed to the Illustrated London News, with an illustration, by Colonel Ward Bonnet, who has been staying at the maharajah of Dhar, and on one day he said we should see a cheetah-hunt; so we started on horseback about 4 o'clock in the afternoon. The cheetahs looked lovely and beautiful, and the following day we went to the maharajah's camp, and we went about five miles over bad ground, and it was exceedingly hot. At last, to our delight, we saw a herd of deer about a mile off, and we at once stopped, while the cheetahs were ordered to follow the deer. Presently one of the cheetahs stood still, peered about and lay down, slowly whisking his tail from side to side. The men said 'he has seen the deer, and he is waiting for the order to go on.' The cheetahs were again and went after his companion, and seemed to communicate to him that deer were in sight. Then they both lay down at once. After a little time they got up and began to stalk the deer through the grass. It was very difficult to distinguish the cheetahs, as they were much the same color as the ground. Presently the deer became aware of something and stopped. The cheetahs were about; then suddenly they all got together and began trotting off, followed at once by the cheetahs, who, although far behind, gained ground at every stride, and were in a moment upon the deer. The cheetahs were again and went after his companion, and seemed to communicate to him that deer were in sight. Then they both lay down at once. After a little time they got up and began to stalk the deer through the grass. It was very difficult to distinguish the cheetahs, as they were much the same color as the ground. Presently the deer became aware of something and stopped. The cheetahs were about; then suddenly they all got together and began trotting off, followed at once by the cheetahs, who, although far behind, gained ground at every stride, and were in a moment upon the deer.

A REMARKABLE CASE. Death of a Man Who Lived Nearly a Month Without Food. After living with a broken neck for twenty-five days, Caleb B. Tozier died in Cooper hospital, Camden, yesterday, says the Philadelphia Record. By his bedside when death came were the faithful young woman to whom he was engaged to be married, Miss Miesner, and Tozier's sister. The grief of the former was heart-rending. She had for two weeks expected her lover to recover, so favorable were his symptoms, and they both talked hopefully of their approaching marriage. During the time Tozier was at the hospital Miss Miesner was by his bedside almost constantly, and she was most faithfully caring for the injured man. Tozier's case has excited much interest among medical men, his long existence under the circumstances being considered extremely remarkable. The cause of the strange termination to live prolonged his life considerably. Most of the time he was paralyzed from the shoulders down. Death is thought to have resulted from exhaustion, the body being wasted away to a mere shadow. A post-mortem examination showed that the vertebrae were fractured in front of the neck as well as in the back, where two pieces of the vertebrae, each an inch and a half inches long, were removed.

In City Hall Par. Judge: Friend - Hallon, Billy. Haven't seen you since you got that city appointment. Mr. Switzer - No. Friend - Ain't you going to shake hands? Mr. Switzer - Depends on what it's going to cost. Each hand I've shaken for a month has been exposed to me all the way from one beer to 100.

Spread of Diphtheria. The fact that there were four or five funerals of children in this city yesterday afternoon, who died of diseases that in some cases were admitted to be from that dangerous malady, diphtheria, has caused a great deal of anxiety. It is the basis for what appears to be a well founded fear that there are conditions in the city favorable for an outbreak

all, a grand success and will call for a crowded house on its second presentation in this city. There was just 50 cents more in the house, as learned at the box office, than on the preceding evening. The Coal Question - An Attempted Conciliation. The public is doubtless wondering why A. T. Thatcher, of Chicago, has come into this city and established a coal business, when he has always heretofore been engaged solely as a wholesale coal and coal merchant. It is an unusual and unusual case, like proceeding a wholesale merchant to come into a place where he has been patronized by the retail dealers, and begin to sell to their customers. And so in the case of Mr. Thatcher, there must be a reason for this. Why is it that he has for years had his wholesale business in Chicago, and now comes in as a retail dealer, offering coal at figures - at figures, in fact, 75 cents per ton less than he has been offering coal for to the retail dealers in Council Bluffs? He must have some object in this other than the mere desire to engage in a legitimate business. The facts in the case are these: A. T. Thatcher has been engaged in the wholesale business. The dealers have been patronizing him, in fact, many of them gave him their patronage until they came near being ruined. A large portion of the coal sold to them by Mr. Thatcher was of inferior quality. It would not compete with other coals. The consumers complained of it, indeed, frequently rejected the coal, and some of their patrons were obliged to withdraw their trade from Mr. Thatcher, and now, as a final means of selling his inferior coal, he has ordered to compel the retail dealers to buy their coal from him. He comes into the retail market offering coal at figures, at 75 cents per ton less than he was offering it to dealers. He endeavors in this way to coerce the retail dealers of Council Bluffs into taking his inferior coal, and putting it into their consumers. Now, will the people of Council Bluffs allow themselves to be deceived by the cheap coal which he offers? Will they submit to this? Will they tolerate it? Will they patronize a firm like this, and by so doing force the legitimate retail dealers of the city to sell a poor quality of coal with which to supply them and with which to meet the requirements of the city? Good coal in Chicago is now quoted at wholesale at \$5.50 per ton. The freight from Chicago here is \$3.20 per ton, making the present actual cost of coal at least \$8.70 per ton. So it can be clearly seen that the present prices on hard coal established by Mr. Thatcher are in accordance with legitimate business principles. SAPP & KNOTT, COUNCIL BLUFFS FUEL CO., 212 Broadway, E. E. MAYES, L. M. SHIBERT, COOKS & LUMBER CO., and six other Retail Coal Dealers. Kelley & Younkerman sell groceries, Chase and Sanborn coffee a specialty.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial of Munchraht, and that he was acquainted with Haddock and attended his church; that he had formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and that he would go into the jury-room with that opinion in his mind. The supreme court holds that it was the duty of the trial judge to disqualify the juror, and the several facts disclosed in the juror's answers he relied on as a cause of challenge. The court held that the juror was not qualified to sit on the jury, and that the ruling of the supreme court in regard to them was correct. The other challenges for cause were overruled.

THE MURDERER OF HADDOCK WILL GET NO NEW TRIAL. RULING OF THE SUPREME COURT. A Big Day at the Corn Palace Festival - The W. C. T. U. Convention - Close of the Reunion. Des Moines, Ia., Oct. 3. - [Special Telegram to THE BEE.] - The supreme court today took advance ground on the question of qualifications of jurors in affirming the decision of Judge G. W. Wakefield, of the Woodbury district court, in the case of the murder of George C. Haddock, committed in Sioux City on the third day of August, 1886. Separate trials were held at Marshalltown, Iowa, in the case of the Woodbury district court, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. The trial of Munchraht was commenced on the 27th of August, and resulted in the conviction of the crime of manslaughter. A motion for a new trial was overruled and he was adjudged to be imprisoned in the penitentiary at Fort Madison for four years and to pay costs of trial, taxed at \$895.65. From that judgment Munchraht appealed to the supreme court, and three of the jurors were men who had been challenged for cause. Judge Robinson delivered the opinion of the supreme court. He said that several of the challenges for cause were insufficient for the reason that the necessary facts are not stated. Juror Munchraht was not a citizen, and that he had no knowledge of the facts in the case excepting what he had read and heard; that he read all testimony during the trial