

FATAL TAIL-END COLLISION.

A Freight Train Crashes into a Passenger Near Chicago.

FOUR PEOPLE KILLED OUTRIGHT.

The Private Car of Director Hart, of the California Central, Destroyed and its Inmates are the Sufferers.

A Train Dispatcher's Hinderer.

Chicago, April 10.—Partial details of an accident which occurred on the Chicago, Santa Fe & California railroad near Chicago this morning have been received here. It resulted from a collision between a passenger and freight train. Three persons were killed outright and several were more or less injured.

The killed are: Mr. and Miss Hart of Brookline, Mass., and the engineer of the freight train. J. L. Hart, father of the two passengers who were killed, was fatally hurt. The bodies have been brought to this city.

The following is another account of the accident: The regular east-bound train was just leaving Lorenzo station, which is fifty miles from Chicago at 4:30 when the accident occurred. Attached to the rear of the train was the private car of J. E. Hart, mayor of Brookline, Mass., and director of the California Central. The party in the car consisted of Mr. Hart and his wife, his son Henry, his niece Miss Winslow, the porter, known only as "Tom," the cook, and Thomas Smith. Just as the train was pulling out of the station, an extra fast stock train following, ran into the rear of the passenger train, causing the private car to be crushed and the engine to be derailed.

As soon as the wreck could be cleared to allow the removal of the dead and wounded, the work was done. The bodies of the dead were taken to the morgue. Miss Winslow, Henry Hart, the porter and cook.

Scalded—J. E. Hart and his wife. The freight train jumped and escaped injury. None of the cars except that of Hart's was seriously damaged, and none of the passengers were hurt. The other coaches hurt. It is impossible as yet to locate the blame for the accident. The dead and wounded were taken to the morgue.

The passenger train was running on time, and the extra freight, through some inexcusable error on the part of the dispatcher, was allowed to follow. At Lorenzo the grade is very steep, and the engine of the extra just as it perceived the tail lamp of the passenger. He whistled for brakes, but it was too late. His engine crashed into the private car, forcing it upon the steps of the Pullman car ahead.

In addition to being scalded, J. L. Hart had both legs broken. Henry W. Lamb, also in the private car, was scalded about the face and body. Palmer, a freight brakeman, was hurled over the freight engine into a mass of debris and escaped with a scalded head and a broken hand.

The fireman of the passenger train said: "We are not to be blamed for the accident. It was pitch dark when the crash came. We were running on time, but the freight train ran right through the last coach and then the boiler burst. The cries of the dying and injured were steadily heard. The boiling water had been thrown over them and they were terribly scalded. The cook and fireman of the freight train were injured, because they were not fatally injured."

Another account says the engineer of the freight train was crushed to a pulp against the boiler head. It is very difficult to get accurate and reliable information about the accident, as the railroad people are very reticent.

The wrecked coach was the private car of General Manager McCool, of the California Central road, the western branch of the Santa Fe. The coach was owned by McCool's friends from Massachusetts who had been spending a few weeks with his family at Lorenzo. The coach was taken home. It was stated by one of the trainmen that a number of wounded persons were left at Lorenzo.

FISHER-BLOOM.

Marriage of a Well-Known Omahan at Iowa City.

IOWA CITY, Ia., April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—One of the most brilliant social affairs in this city took place in the marriage of Mr. Simon Fisher of Omaha, to Miss Addie Bloom, daughter of Hon. Moses Bloom, this afternoon. Rev. Dr. Benson, of Omaha, officiating. The wedding took place at the residence of the bride's father before a large and brilliant assembly. A special car brought a number of relatives and intimate friends from Omaha, while relatives and guests were present from Chicago, Cincinnati, Chicago, Des Moines and other cities. The presents were numerous and costly. The newly married couple will spend a month traveling. The bride is the second daughter of State Senator Bloom, and is well known in Omaha. The groom is associated with Max Meyer & Bro. of Omaha, having charge of large interests of the firm.

A Brutal Farmer's Deed.

FRESDAY, O., April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Thomas Bergel, a farmer in Union township, was awakened yesterday morning by the noise of a struggle on the porch in front of his house. Going out he found his man-servant attacking a tramp who had been sleeping on the porch, and was sending the unfortunate man's throat. Instead of pulling the dog away the inhuman farmer encouraged him and the tramp was soon dead. The groomer hurried the body in a field, but his children told the story to the neighbors and the authorities are investigating.

Called Greely a Murderer.

COLUMBUS, O., April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—A general court martial is in session at the United States garrison in this city. It is considering the case of Lieutenant Walsh, in charge of the signal station at Cincinnati, against whom charges were preferred by Sergeant Jenkins, of the same station. The charges are that Walsh stated to several persons that the chief signal officer, General Greely, is a murderer and ought to be behind the bars.

Cleveland Was Not Shot.

CHICAGO, April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—A report circulated that ex-President Cleveland had been shot is a canard. The rumor had been extensively circulated, but how or where it started cannot be ascertained.

Dixon Chosen Senator.

PROVIDENCE, R. I., April 10.—In joint assembly at noon today the legislature took four ballots for United States senator. The fourth resulted in the choice of Nathan F. Dixon, of Westbury, he receiving 51 out of the 92 votes cast.

Paper Mill Falls.

ROCKFORD, Ill., April 10.—The proprietors of the A. W. Koeney paper mill assigned yesterday. Liabilities, \$403,000; assets, \$60,000. The mill was established a year ago.

Harrison Abandons His Trip.

WASHINGTON, April 10.—The president has abandoned his Chassagnac bay cruise for the present, as Mrs. Harrison's health has greatly improved, and he is as vigorous now as he has been for many years.

Starch grows sticky—common powders have a vulgar glare. Pozzoni's is the only Complexion Powder fit for use.

LORD LONSDALE SAFE.

But His Arctic Trip Nearly Ended in Disaster.

FOUR PEOPLE KILLED OUTRIGHT.

The Private Car of Director Hart, of the California Central, Destroyed and its Inmates are the Sufferers.

A Train Dispatcher's Hinderer.

Chicago, April 10.—Partial details of an accident which occurred on the Chicago, Santa Fe & California railroad near Chicago this morning have been received here. It resulted from a collision between a passenger and freight train. Three persons were killed outright and several were more or less injured.

The killed are: Mr. and Miss Hart of Brookline, Mass., and the engineer of the freight train. J. L. Hart, father of the two passengers who were killed, was fatally hurt. The bodies have been brought to this city.

The following is another account of the accident: The regular east-bound train was just leaving Lorenzo station, which is fifty miles from Chicago at 4:30 when the accident occurred. Attached to the rear of the train was the private car of J. E. Hart, mayor of Brookline, Mass., and director of the California Central. The party in the car consisted of Mr. Hart and his wife, his son Henry, his niece Miss Winslow, the porter, known only as "Tom," the cook, and Thomas Smith. Just as the train was pulling out of the station, an extra fast stock train following, ran into the rear of the passenger train, causing the private car to be crushed and the engine to be derailed.

As soon as the wreck could be cleared to allow the removal of the dead and wounded, the work was done. The bodies of the dead were taken to the morgue. Miss Winslow, Henry Hart, the porter and cook.

Scalded—J. E. Hart and his wife. The freight train jumped and escaped injury. None of the cars except that of Hart's was seriously damaged, and none of the passengers were hurt. The other coaches hurt. It is impossible as yet to locate the blame for the accident. The dead and wounded were taken to the morgue.

The passenger train was running on time, and the extra freight, through some inexcusable error on the part of the dispatcher, was allowed to follow. At Lorenzo the grade is very steep, and the engine of the extra just as it perceived the tail lamp of the passenger. He whistled for brakes, but it was too late. His engine crashed into the private car, forcing it upon the steps of the Pullman car ahead.

In addition to being scalded, J. L. Hart had both legs broken. Henry W. Lamb, also in the private car, was scalded about the face and body. Palmer, a freight brakeman, was hurled over the freight engine into a mass of debris and escaped with a scalded head and a broken hand.

The fireman of the passenger train said: "We are not to be blamed for the accident. It was pitch dark when the crash came. We were running on time, but the freight train ran right through the last coach and then the boiler burst. The cries of the dying and injured were steadily heard. The boiling water had been thrown over them and they were terribly scalded. The cook and fireman of the freight train were injured, because they were not fatally injured."

Another account says the engineer of the freight train was crushed to a pulp against the boiler head. It is very difficult to get accurate and reliable information about the accident, as the railroad people are very reticent.

The wrecked coach was the private car of General Manager McCool, of the California Central road, the western branch of the Santa Fe. The coach was owned by McCool's friends from Massachusetts who had been spending a few weeks with his family at Lorenzo. The coach was taken home. It was stated by one of the trainmen that a number of wounded persons were left at Lorenzo.

FISHER-BLOOM.

Marriage of a Well-Known Omahan at Iowa City.

IOWA CITY, Ia., April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—One of the most brilliant social affairs in this city took place in the marriage of Mr. Simon Fisher of Omaha, to Miss Addie Bloom, daughter of Hon. Moses Bloom, this afternoon. Rev. Dr. Benson, of Omaha, officiating. The wedding took place at the residence of the bride's father before a large and brilliant assembly. A special car brought a number of relatives and intimate friends from Omaha, while relatives and guests were present from Chicago, Cincinnati, Chicago, Des Moines and other cities. The presents were numerous and costly. The newly married couple will spend a month traveling. The bride is the second daughter of State Senator Bloom, and is well known in Omaha. The groom is associated with Max Meyer & Bro. of Omaha, having charge of large interests of the firm.

A Brutal Farmer's Deed.

FRESDAY, O., April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Thomas Bergel, a farmer in Union township, was awakened yesterday morning by the noise of a struggle on the porch in front of his house. Going out he found his man-servant attacking a tramp who had been sleeping on the porch, and was sending the unfortunate man's throat. Instead of pulling the dog away the inhuman farmer encouraged him and the tramp was soon dead. The groomer hurried the body in a field, but his children told the story to the neighbors and the authorities are investigating.

Called Greely a Murderer.

COLUMBUS, O., April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—A general court martial is in session at the United States garrison in this city. It is considering the case of Lieutenant Walsh, in charge of the signal station at Cincinnati, against whom charges were preferred by Sergeant Jenkins, of the same station. The charges are that Walsh stated to several persons that the chief signal officer, General Greely, is a murderer and ought to be behind the bars.

Cleveland Was Not Shot.

CHICAGO, April 10.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—A report circulated that ex-President Cleveland had been shot is a canard. The rumor had been extensively circulated, but how or where it started cannot be ascertained.

Dixon Chosen Senator.

PROVIDENCE, R. I., April 10.—In joint assembly at noon today the legislature took four ballots for United States senator. The fourth resulted in the choice of Nathan F. Dixon, of Westbury, he receiving 51 out of the 92 votes cast.

Paper Mill Falls.

ROCKFORD, Ill., April 10.—The proprietors of the A. W. Koeney paper mill assigned yesterday. Liabilities, \$403,000; assets, \$60,000. The mill was established a year ago.

Harrison Abandons His Trip.

WASHINGTON, April 10.—The president has abandoned his Chassagnac bay cruise for the present, as Mrs. Harrison's health has greatly improved, and he is as vigorous now as he has been for many years.

Starch grows sticky—common powders have a vulgar glare. Pozzoni's is the only Complexion Powder fit for use.

THE CONFIDENCE MAN, who said he would have to count it himself in order to see that the amount was correct.

party adjourn to the Missouri, Kansas & Texas depot, where he would pay over the balance. "No, sir," said Nicholson, "you pay it right here. You can't get away with it. There's going to be trouble." The cool, determined manner of the conductor had the desired effect, and the money was paid over.

SOUTH DAKOTA AFFAIRS.

Governor Mellette's Appointments.—The Sioux Falls Constitutionalist, in its issue of April 10, contains a list of appointments made by Governor Mellette. The list is as follows: J. C. McManis, editor of the Pierre Press, is that officer, correct in all his habits, and is a fine, energetic, and a republican, and will make as good a six-months' editor as the Dakota gild editorial can furnish. He is a native of the state.

Good Words for Our State—A New Way to Reduce the Tariff—Something New and Interesting.

Fort Robinson, Neb., April 10.—(Special to The Bee.)—When we remember that the people of the United States annually expend \$150,000,000 for foreign sugars, it is not strange that thoughtful men and economists like Mr. Norman J. Coleman, late commissioner of agriculture, should have been thinking of the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

SUGAR GROWING IN NEBRASKA.

Grand Island Grows the Best Sugar Beets in the United States.

A COMING NEW INDUSTRY.

Good Words for Our State—A New Way to Reduce the Tariff—Something New and Interesting.

Fort Robinson, Neb., April 10.—(Special to The Bee.)—When we remember that the people of the United States annually expend \$150,000,000 for foreign sugars, it is not strange that thoughtful men and economists like Mr. Norman J. Coleman, late commissioner of agriculture, should have been thinking of the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home.

The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

The first question considered by our economists was the possibility of producing a much larger quantity of sugar at home. The amount of sugar annually produced from sugar cane grown in our southern states is only equal to one-eighth of our consumption, and for climatic reasons the area in which sugar cane can be grown in this country can not be extended greatly northward.

DEVOY AFTER EGAN.

He Brings His Quarrel Before the Municipal Council.

TRIED TO KILL A BANKER.

San Antonio, Tex., April 10.—An attempt was made last night by a well dressed young stranger to murder Banker J. S. Lockwood.

The young man drove up to the Lockwood residence, where he was met by a driver to return for him at 10 o'clock, when his visit would be ended. On entering the house he presented a letter to Mr. Lockwood, purporting to be written by H. W. Brower, and introducing Charles Hickman, of Boston.

<