

woman and in the light of inferences drawn by the defense... The court instructs the jury that the information referred to in the foregoing instructions embraces the lesser offenses of homicide of murder in the second degree...

10. The court instructs you that in this case you find that the shot from which King died was fired by the defendant... 12. The defendant in this case relies upon the fact that the defendant had introduced evidence to the contrary the law presumes every person to be sane...

13. The nature, character and degree of insanity which was the cause of the crime is not clearly explained or understood... 14. The defendant claims that if she fired the shot which killed Henry W. King, Jr., she did so in self-defense...

15. As to the danger mentioned in the preceding instruction and the necessity of the shooting mentioned therein, the defendant claims that she was in imminent danger of losing her life... 16. In and in judging of the necessity to use force in self-defense...

17. The danger mentioned in the preceding instruction is not a hypothetical one... 18. The defendant in this case relies upon the fact that the defendant had introduced evidence to the contrary the law presumes every person to be sane...

19. Under the information in this case you can convict the defendant of the offense of homicide of murder in the second degree... 20. The court instructs the jury that the information referred to in the foregoing instructions embraces the lesser offenses of homicide of murder in the second degree...

21. The court instructs the jury that the information referred to in the foregoing instructions embraces the lesser offenses of homicide of murder in the second degree... 22. The court instructs the jury that the information referred to in the foregoing instructions embraces the lesser offenses of homicide of murder in the second degree...