

THE HAWKEYES HAMMERED.

Iowa's Three Teams Drop the Games Played Yesterday.

DAVENPORT WAS OUR VICTIM.

St. Paul Defeats the Prohibition Gripes—St. Louis City Wins Well, But Milwaukee Defeats Her—General Sporting.

Western Association Standing.

Following is the official standing of the Western association teams up to and including yesterday's games:

Team	W	L	Draw	Pct
Des Moines	33	52	30	.634
St. Paul	30	56	33	.629
Omaha	27	52	35	.597
Kansas City	28	54	38	.590
Sioux City	26	54	40	.573
Chicago	28	56	40	.573
St. Louis	26	56	40	.573
Davenport	26	56	40	.573

Omaha 7, Davenport 1.

Quite a good crowd witnessed a very dull and uninteresting game at the ballpark yesterday afternoon between the Omahas and the Davenporters.

While the Omahas fielded very prettily, they could not hit a little bit, and Omaha won as she pleased.

Ted Kennedy pitched for the locals, and pitched magnificently at that, the visitors only securing a single safe drive off of him, while he himself chopped down to Klopff in the eighth inning.

Hoover, of the Chicagoans, caught for Davenport. The Chicagoans were in route for Kansas City, occupied seats in the grand stand, and owing to a sudden illness of Davenport's catcher, Hoover consented to backstop for them.

Dick Sawyer, of last year's Omahas, occupied first base for the visitors, and played a perfect game.

No one saw him on either side in the first inning, but in the second, amidst a funeral silence, Omaha went in and pounded out four runs. O'Connell started the musty, by a corking single to left, went to second on a passed ball, to third on Cooney's out, and home on Tebeau's liner to right. McGarr then followed with one of his clear rifle shots, and Tebeau went to second. Then he neatly purloined third, and crossed the plate on Nagle's hit over Fisher's head. "Chippie," who never loses a chance, scored on this hit, and Fatty Nagle made second on the throw in to catch him. Kennedy flew out to Meyer, and Nagle scored on Burns' pretty single to left. "Amie" cut ended the work.

While this was all going on the audience sat as if they were in a trance. They seemed to think that Omaha was to win in a matter of course, and it was too hot to yell or make a fuss.

In the fourth Patsy Oliverland off with a two sucker to right, galloped down to third on Chippie's out, and crossed the plate on Nagle's second safe hit. Kennedy and Burns closed the innings.

After Cooney had fled out in the fourth, Patsy Oliver whanged the ball out toward the carriage rack for three cushions, and after McGarr's safe throw to Doran's complete throw of Nagle's hit. Fatty reached third on Kennedy's safe throw, and scored on Burns' fly to left.

That was Omaha's last tally.

It looked like a clean shut out for the Omahas, but in the eighth McGarr got to first on an error of P. McGarr. He was forced to second by Sawyer hitting with the ball, and scored on Klopff's scratch hit.

The Omahas doubled the visitors up three times. Crooks, McGarr, and McGarr, and the article who accomplished the trick each time.

The same teams will play Saturday and Sunday.

The official score follows:

Team	W	L	Draw	Pct
Burns, H.	5	0	0	1.000
Amie, M.	5	0	0	1.000
Crooks, B.	3	0	0	1.000
O'Connell, H.	4	1	1	.800
Cooney, G.	3	0	0	1.000
Tebeau, B.	4	3	1	.750
McGarr, R.	4	1	1	.800
Nagle, C.	4	2	0	.667
Kennedy, P.	2	0	0	1.000
Totals	37	9	1	.806

Team	W	L	Draw	Pct
Forster, B.	4	0	0	1.000
Fisher, A.	4	0	0	1.000
McCauley, R.	1	0	0	1.000
Meyer, M.	3	0	0	1.000
McGarr, R.	3	0	0	1.000
Doran, B.	3	0	0	1.000
Dwyer, B.	3	0	0	1.000
Klopff, P.	2	0	0	1.000
Hoover, C.	2	0	0	1.000
Totals	25	1	0	.961

Omaha..... 0 4 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 7  
Davenport..... 7 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Runs earned—Omaha 1, Two base hits—Tebeau 3, Davenport 1. Bases on balls—Off Kennedy 2, Klopff 1. Hit by pitcher—By Kennedy 2, O'Connell 1. Errors—McGarr 2, Klopff 2. Passed balls—Hoover 2. Time—1:40. Umpire—Cusick.

St. Paul 5, Des Moines 2.

Des Moines, Ia., August 30.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Nine of Manager Morton's aggregation of ball players went down to the park to-day fully expecting to be defeated, and they were not disappointed. A small crowd went down to sympathize with them although in the slough of despond.

Only three men were in their proper place. They held the visitors down very well until the eighth inning, only three hits being made off Smith up to that time. Sowers pitched a great game also, striking out twelve men. Both Sage and Traflet are laid up with strained ankles, and Stearns with a spiked leg. Alvord has a sore right hand and should not be compelled to play, while with Quinn's sale the team is without a competent second baseman. There is much dissatisfaction at this short sighted management. The score:

pitched a great game also, striking out two men. Both Sage and Traffey are laid up with strained ankles, and Stearns with a spiked leg. Alvord has a sore right hand and should not be compelled to play, while Quinn's sale the team is without a competent second baseman. There is much dissatisfaction at such short sighted management. The score:

Des Moines.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Paul.....	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	4	0	0

Runs earned—Des Moines 2, St. Paul 1. Two base hits—Mortimer, Three base hits—Macaulay, Alvord, and McGarr. Errors—Macaulay and Holliday. Struck out—By Smith 4, by Sowers 12. Bases on balls—By Smith 4, by Sowers 13. Bases given for hitting men with out—By Smith 4, by Sowers 13. Passed balls—By Smith 4, by Sowers 13. Time—1:40. Umpire—Hagan.

Milwaukee 7, Sioux City 6.

Sioux City, August 30.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—Sioux City and Milwaukee played a closely contested and fine game of ball to-day. The crisis of the game was in the third inning, when the Milwaukee were at bat. By superb batting, aided by errors on the part of the home club, the visitors scored four runs, and took a commanding lead.

At the third inning the visitors could make no headway, the effective pitching of Solbel and magnificent fielding of the home club were the features of the game. The best work of the visitors was done by McGarr, who pitched a fine game, and by Burns, who played a perfect game.

Two base hits—Strass, Brown, Mackey. Three base hits—Powell. Struck out—By Solbel 5, by McGarr 11. Bases on balls—Off Solbel 2, Off McGarr 1. Errors—Solbel 1, McGarr 1. Passed balls—Solbel 1. Left on bases—Sioux City 4, Milwaukee 4. Wild pitches—Solbel 1. Hit by pitcher—Fulmer. Time—1:40. Umpire—Quest.

Beatrice 5, Waterville 3.

Beatrice, Neb., August 30.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—The game to-day between Beatrice and Waterville, Kan., resulted in a score of 5 to 3 in favor of Beatrice.

OTHER GAMES.

Yesterday's Winners in the National League Contests.

Team	W	L	Draw	Pct
Indianapolis	0	0	0	0.000
Chicago	0	0	0	0.000
Pittsburgh	0	0	0	0.000
Philadelphia	0	0	0	0.000
St. Louis	0	0	0	0.000
Cincinnati	0	0	0	0.000
Boston	0	0	0	0.000
Brooklyn	0	0	0	0.000
Cleveland	0	0	0	0.000
Kansas City	0	0	0	0.000

Philadelphia, August 30.—Result of to-day's game:

Team	W	L	Draw	Pct
Philadelphia	0	0	0	0.000
Washington	0	0	0	0.000
Pittsburgh	0	0	0	0.000
Philadelphia	0	0	0	0.000

Boston, August 30.—Result of to-day's game:

Team	W	L	Draw	Pct
Boston	0	0	0	0.000
New York	0	0	0	0.000
Pittsburgh	0	0	0	0.000
New York	0	0	0	0.000

Cleveland, August 30.—Result of to-day's game:

Team	W	L	Draw	Pct
Cleveland	0	0	0	0.000
Kansas City	0	0	0	0.000
Kansas City	0	0	0	0.000
Kansas City	0	0	0	0.000

Summary of Yesterday's Races at Saratoga.

SARATOGA, August 30.—This was the last day of the meeting. The weather was cloudy and the track good.

Summaries:

One mile—Spinnet won, Climax second, Clara C. third. Time—1:43.

Two mile—Spinnet won, Climax second, Clara C. third. Time—3:23.

One and one-half miles—Cruiser won, Vossburg second, Dab third. Time—1:56.

Three-fourths of a mile—Purvis won, Royal Arch second, Bronzomart third. Time—1:17.

Steeple chase, two and three-fourths miles—Kilmarney won, Dechmond second, Willie Palmer third. Time—5:32.

GRAVESTONE RACES.

GRAVESTONE, August 30.—The weather was charming and the track fast.

Summaries:

One mile—Kingston won, Terra Cotta second, Belle Doe third. Time—1:47.

One and one-half miles—Sorrento won, Dab second, Liness third. Time—1:55.

One and one-eighth miles—Grissett won, Dab second, Liness third. Time—1:56.

Three-fourths of a mile—Carlson won, Brussels second, Marsch third. Time—1:17.

One mile—Satisfaction won, Jennie McFarland second, Broughton third. Time—1:43.

THE CRESTON RACES.

CRESTON, Ia., August 30.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—About fifteen thousand people attended the Creston fair to-day, the third day of fine weather and good attendance.

In the 2:35 trot Nellie F. won, Joker second. Time—2:35.

Running Race—Jesse R. first, Matchless second. Time—1:20.

An interesting feature of the day was Prof. Jones' leap from a balloon 240 feet. He made a successful descent. To-morrow is the closing day.

Island Park Races.

ALBANY, August 30.—Results of the Island Park grand circuit races:

2:27 trotting, purse \$1,000—J. B. Richardson first, Protection second, Frank Buford third. Time—2:27.

Free-for-all pacing, purse \$1,000—Jewett first, Gossip, Jr. second, Joe L. third. (Purified race.) Best time—2:15.

2:30 class, purse \$1,000—Lucille Baby second, Win Kearney third, Jeremiah fourth. Best time—2:31.

THE RACES AT NORFOLK.

NORFOLK, Neb., August 30.—(Special Telegram to The Bee.)—In the North Nebraska Fair and Driving Park association races to-day in the 2:30 class, the purse \$1,000, the first money was won by Ethel V. Ethel V. and Elwood won second, third and fourth money in the order named.

In the free-for-all pacing, purse \$500, Solomon Miller won in 2:33, and John, Jaybird and John, Jaybird won second, third and fourth money in the order named.

In the 2:45 class Pete Gardner won first money in three straight heats, followed by Golden Wing, Cora McGregor and Alma Boy. Time—2:44.

Local Sporting Squibs.

The Davenporters play again Saturday and Sunday.

Doran, who began the season with the Omahas, is playing third for Davenport. He is looking well and playing good ball.

Tom Lovett, the star twirler of the Omahas, is wearing a smile as broad as his beard and deep as a well. He is the happy father of a bouncing girl baby.

There will be a match race at the fair grounds Saturday afternoon between Flannery's and George's. The race will be for \$100 a side.

The Lindsay-Cranston match comes off Monday evening next at South Omaha, and much interest is being manifested in sporting circles over the event. Both men are training hard and the contest will undoubtedly be a close one.

There will be a sweepstakes English sparrow shoot on the grounds of the Omaha Gun Club Saturday afternoon. John J. Hardin received the prize in the Omaha and much interest is being manifested in sporting circles over the event. Both men are training hard and the contest will undoubtedly be a close one.

A Warning to England.

DENVER, August 30.—The United Ireland says that the rampant American senate, in rejecting the fisheries treaty, gave England a terrible proof of the power of retaliation of the greater Ireland across the sea, whose vengeance Balfour's coercion policy has aroused. It says that the arrest of the Redmond brothers will incite fresh vengeance. The paper commends the action of the Cork band in refusing to play the national anthem at the Irish exhibition in London, and that only hypocrites and sycophants repay neglect and cold aversion with a semblance of enthusiastic personal loyalty.

Young People's Alliance Convention.

CHICAGO, August 30.—A convention of the Young People's Alliance for the United States and Canada will be held at the First M. E. Church, Chicago, September 20, 21 and 22. Every congregation, district and church is requested to send delegations.

EXTERNAL USE OF St. Jacobs Oil FOR PAINS AND SORENESS RESULTING FROM CRAMPS, COLIC, STOMACHACHE, DIARRHOEA, &c.

For Stomachache, Diarrhoea, &c. Rub the stomach wall with St. Jacobs Oil. Apply flannel steeped in hot water and wrung out. SOLD BY DRUGGISTS AND DEALERS. CHAS. A. JOSEPH & CO. ST. LOUIS, MO.

WARNING TO WAGE WORKERS

Important Communication From a Prominent Knight of Labor.

GREAT INTERESTS AT STAKE.

Workmen Must Choose Between the American System of Protection and the British Idea of Free Trade.

Labor's Friends and Foes.

WASHINGTON, August 30.—Senator Quay, chairman of the republican national committee, furnishes the following letter for publication:

PHILADELPHIA, August 27, 1888.—Hon. Matthew S. Quay, chairman of the national republican committee, Executive House, New York.—Dear Sir: My connection with the labor movement for the past fourteen years has made it necessary for me to be a close student of the causes of labor depression and the needs of those who toil. While, as a body, labor organizations may refrain from active participation in politics, so much of what organized labor demands must be obtained through legislation that each individual member of a labor organization must act politically as in his judgment will best aid the aims and objects expressed in the principles of the organization he represents, and whose success he desires. In the political struggle now begun, side issues count as nothing except to aid or hinder one of the principal contestants in the political arena. However sincere may be the advocates of the measures to secure which these separate political organizations are formed, and whatever strength in votes may be above at the polls, the fact remains that the candidate of either the republican or democratic party will be the next president of the United States. Therefore, to him who has the good of this country and the welfare of her people at heart, the necessity exists to choose to which of these two old money organizations shall be given aid, either directly or indirectly, by voice or vote. While it may be that neither party offers all that labor organizations desire, I believe that the republican party, in adopting and advocating the American system of encouragement and protection to the labor and wages of our own land, is nearer the declaration and desires of organized labor than is the free trade opponent, the democratic party.

The conflict is between the American system, as represented by the republican party, which would foster and encourage the labor of our own people and retain for them the market and wages of our own country, and the British system, as represented by the democratic party, which would break down the barriers of protection and throw open our market to the competition of foreign factories and foreign labor, thus making idle our own toilers and reducing to a lower level the standard of American wages. When the rights of labor and kindred organizations shall have obtained in foreign lands the same commanding position and influence enjoyed in the United States, the means of success will disappear, not by leveling our wages down, but by leveling them up to the level of the foreigner. It is far better to level up than to level down, as the larger the income the more the laborer can consume. Until this equalization can be accomplished, and until the wages of labor abroad shall equal those of this country, there must be a proper restriction of immigration, so that our land may not be the dumping ground of the pauper and degraded labor of foreign lands. The restriction of immigration, supplemented by a continuation of the thorough and systematic organization of labor already here, in conjunction with the American idea of protection to our own labor, cannot fail to secure for our people the best results of their toil, and by our example lift the labor of the whole world to a higher and better plane of existence.

Whichever party wins, something must be done to regulate more strictly this important factor of our labor under contract. If nothing should be done, then democratic success would mean not only free trade in goods, but free trade in labor. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free trade in labor would mean the free trade in the labor of both labor and goods. While under free trade goods may be cheaper to the consumer in certain lines, the labor made idle in these lines must seek employment, and thus by competition compel a lower rate of wages to those already employed. The free