

OMAHA'S METEORIC MERCHANT

Litigation Over the Purchase at Loyal L. Smith's Special Sale.

WHAT DAVE MILLER COULD DO.

Another Whole Page Display Added to His Brilliant History—Skill and Cross Bones Score—The Fitzgeralds' Team.

[FROM THE BEE'S LINCOLN BUREAU.]

The counsel for the defense in the Cole vs Miller suit, which was set for trial at the present session of the United States court, have filed affidavits in support of a motion for continuance, and the case will probably go over the term. The plaintiffs are anxious to try it outside of Omaha, and Judge Dundy is known to be of the opinion that it would perhaps be as well to do so, but in face of the showing made by the defense it will hardly be pushed. Lewis Cole, the plaintiff, is the son-in-law of Haiman Lowy, and claims to be the owner of the stock of dry goods left behind by Loyal L. Smith, Omaha's missing merchant. The defendant is David N. Miller, ex-sheriff of Douglas county, who in his official capacity plastered the stock with attachments after Smith's flight, and prevented Lowy and Cole from taking possession. The case was removed from the state to the federal court, and the stock sold and proceeds divided. The plaintiff, Clerk Frank to be held subject to the decision of the present suit. Should Cole win he will get the money, and the defense will be left holding the bag. Should Miller win, the stock and the \$50,000 will be divided among the creditors in the order that their attachments were filed. And here, as pointed out by Judge Dundy the other day, comes a curious circumstance. The stock ostensibly the defendant's, is really only the agent of the creditors, but none of them are named with him as co-defendants. Should the matter be decided in his favor the money would have to be paid over to him. As his bond is only for \$10,000 he could if he felt so disposed put the money in his pocket and calmly tell the creditors to go to—Canada, and their only recourse would be to sue the defendant, whose liability is limited to \$10,000. People who know Dave Miller understand that there is no danger of anything of the kind happening, but the situation is cited to show how the law works, and how it suggests that in order to put the case in proper shape, all the attaching creditors be named as co-defendants, which will probably be done.

In connection with this litigation there is an unwritten romance full of interest to all Omaha and Lincoln people, and especially those who know Smith in his high rolling days. When the sharper found it expedient to make a dash for it, he left behind him in Omaha a handsome young brunette, with whom he had been wont to pass his leisure hours. A mashing son of Blackstone, whose residence in Lincoln is supposed to be made shortly after an meeting of this dark-eyed damsel, became well acquainted with her. In a confidential mood one day she told Mr. Blackstone that Smith had left her a considerable sum of money to pay her for her services. Blackstone, with an eye to speculation, supplied the girl with money, and bringing her to Lincoln, installed her where he could gradually work the alleged secrets from her. She was not long in doing so, and Smith and coolly asked \$10,000 as the price of keeping still, conducting the negotiation of course in such a manner as to shut out a charge of blackmail. Smith returned to Lincoln. Having no further use for the girl, and thinking that, perhaps she had turned her adrift, and settled down to muse over his busted speculation. The girl and Blackstone still live here, but it's said "they never speak as they pass by."

The crusade waged by the Law and Order league, and the promise of the leaders to strike still harder blows for moral reform, has made many residents of Lincoln anxious to see the results of the callings a little apprehensive as to when and how they would be put on the rack. Consequently when Thursday's mail bore to some thirty saloons a billiard room keeper's mysterious envelope, which carried with a ghastly skull and cross bones on the back, accompanied by the warning, "Beware! The eye of the mystic league is on you!" there was a general rush for copies, and advice. Jones, the Tenth street furnished room man, flew to Mayor Burr demanding protection against an unholy conspiracy beside which the southern belle had a post at every doorway, organization and crew of merry fellows. The saloon men were especially unnerved and fearful that some converted plan to drive them out of business had been adopted. Hunk's sale of his barium business to Jones & Co. was taken as an indication that the veteran had sniffed the impending danger, and wisely "stepped from under." Finally the matter was serious that Marshal Beach and Officer Smith were called in, and given a copy of the mysterious card with instructions to discover by all means the senders and their intentions. Their first and all sufficient discovery was that every man who had received one of the blood-red cards was a retail dealer in cigars, and that among them were many reputable citizens such as John T. Cochrane, and Sewall, the grocer, against whom no law suit was on foot. This satisfied the officials that it was an advertising scheme and they dropped the case. The BEE man, determined to get to the bottom of it, further learned from Postmaster Watkins that the cards were mailed in a bunch in the local drop Wednesday night, and that the distributing clerk referred to the assistant postmaster before sending them out. They were found to be mailable, and much against his personal wish, the postmaster was compelled to allow them to pass. The scheme, even as an advertising dodge, is highly reprehensible and scandalous, as many of the recipients have nervous and excitable men, have been caused a severe mental strain and suffering. In the case of the veteran Cochrane it was even cruel, for his afflictions in his old age have been sufficient for one man to bear, and he, at least, should be secure from such wild and unbecoming pranks.

THE PRAIRIE WAIF.

A "Standing Room Only" Audience Witnessed the Performance.

Boyd's opera house was not large enough to contain the great throng of people who rushed to that place of amusement last night to witness the presentation by Buffalo Bill and his company of the sensational drama, "The Prairie Waif." Long before time for the curtain to rise on the first act, there was "standing room only," and fully 300 people were turned away, unable to gain admittance. The audience was not only large, but also enthusiastic, and the applause was frequent and demonstrative. At times it almost seemed as if the galleries had gone wild when Buffalo Bill appeared in the direct emergency, and rescued the "Prairie Waif" from her impending fate.

A PERSUASIVE GUN.

How Some of South Omaha's Bad Men Jumped the Town.

In conversation with a resident of South Omaha, named Fox, yesterday afternoon, a BEE man was informed that there was great rejoicing in that burg over the removal of Doug Johnson to Omaha. "He's a bad man," said Fox, "and you may be sure the community will miss him, but no one will mourn. If he'd stayed much longer somebody would have done by him. He was another bad man, and I made up my mind that we didn't want him to stay in town. So I went up to his house one day and told him he'd better get out. He didn't go, and the next day I was out with a hot gun. Crow looked the door on me, but I kicked it in and I says: 'Now you git.' I kept the gun covering him, and he got. I accompanied him out of town, for a ways, and he never looked back. That's about the best way to rid the place of toughs."

Questioned about Mrs. Barrett, who has been accused of being a firebug, Fox said that while the woman was bad enough, still he did not believe she was guilty of the offenses charged. "We've got too many bad men out there to be looked after now," said he, "and I don't intend to pick out women."

NEBRASKA'S SUPREME COURT

Business Transacted and Cases Considered at Yesterday's Session.

TEXT OF THE OPINIONS FILED.

A Young Lady's Mysterious Disappearance—A Baby's Miraculous Escape From Burning to Death—State News.

NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT.

Lynch, Neb., Feb. 11.—[Special.]—The court met pursuant to adjournment. The following causes were submitted: Matias vs Boggs; Horn vs Miller, on motion; Thomas vs Thomas.

The following causes were reversed by consent: Omaha vs Beindorf; Spronie vs Omaha.

The court adjourned to Tuesday, February 16, at 10:30 o'clock a. m.

The following opinions were filed: McPherson vs Wiswell. Error from Gage county. Reversed. Opinion by Cobb, J.

There being evidence before the jury, tending to prove a rescission by W. of the contract between him and M., an instruction stating the supposed facts of the case, and telling the jury that if they believed such facts from the evidence they should find for the defendants, but which instruction is ignored and left out of the question of rescission and the evidence thereof—held, erroneous. In other and former instructions the court had properly instructed the jury upon the law of rescission and its application to the case before them.

Boyd vs State. Error from Douglas county. Reversed and dismissed. Opinion by Cobb, J.

1.—An order of injunction only restrains the defendant, or defendants, to the action in which the same is issued, and such person acting in, or occupying a subordinate position or line, or in some manner designated in such order.

2.—Proceedings in contempt are in their nature criminal, and the strict rules of construction, applicable to criminal proceedings, are to govern therein. Van Zant vs Arg. State ex rel. Meekling vs Jaynes. Mandamus. Writ awarded. Opinion by Cobb, J.

1.—Upon an application for a mandamus by a person who has duly received a certificate of election to the office of justice of the peace, to compel the delivery to him, by the late incumbent of said office, of the docket and papers pertaining thereto, the cause of action necessary and proper to be set out in such application, consists solely in his having been canvassed in, declared elected, awarded a certificate of election, taken the oath, and given the bond required by law, or the non-compliance with such order to deliver to him such docket, papers, etc., on demand.

2.—To such application or relation, nothing may be properly pleaded in answer which does not deny, or put in issue, some or all of the above facts.

Hubbard vs Walker. Error from Lancaster county. 2 B. R. 11. Opinion by Cobb, J.

1.—Land conveyed by H. K. to W., May 13, 1860, deed remained in the hands of W., until May 22, 1880, when the same was duly recorded.

2.—On the 4th day of August, 1873, L. & B. filed a transcript of judgment in the office of the clerk of the district court of the proper county, against the land conveyed to W. thereon February 7, 1876. Levy made on land the same day. March 11, 1876, sold by sheriff to G. Sheriff's deed on said sale June 20, 1881. Deed to G. supposed to have been recorded the same day. Deed from G. to H. in ejectment by H. vs. W. et al.—held, that W. holds the land by an admiralty title, which is not subject to the lien of a judgment rendered thereon under which plaintiff claims. Judgment for defendants upheld.

Washburn vs McGuire. Error from Gage county. Reversed and attachment restored. Opinion by Cobb, J.

Affidavit for attachment contained, among others, the following allegation: "And that the defendant, D. McGuire, is about to convert his property, or a part thereof, into money for the purpose of placing it beyond the reach of his creditors by means of and discharge the attachment on the ground, and for the reason, that the facts stated in the affidavit for attachment were untrue; and, it appearing by affidavit, that, on the day of the issuance of the attachment the defendant executed and placed on record two deeds to his wife, by each of which he conveyed to her a certain lot of land for the purpose of, respectively, and one of said conveyances being explained and shown not to be fraudulent by the respective affidavits of defendant and his wife, but, the other conveyance, not being explained, nor in any manner accounted for, or even alleged to have been made for good cause, and the fact being that the order of the district court discharging said attachment is reversed. School District 42, vs Bank of Xenia. Error from Pawnee county. Affirmed. Opinion by Cobb, J.

The following suits were used on purport to be signed by Peter Robertson, moderator; John G. Winckler, director, and William Richards, treasurer. They were dated October 16, registered October 25, and negotiated and issued by the district after the latter date. The said William Richards having been appointed director, and having accepted said office October 22, held, that he will be presumed to have signed the said bonds by his appointment, notwithstanding the date of the bonds.

2. There was evidence to the effect that the name of John G. Winckler, director, was not placed on the bonds by his own hand, and that the same were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there also being evidence that Mr. Winckler treated the signature, purporting to be his to the bonds as his own, by paying the same, and that the said bonds were advanced in age and feeble health had, about that time, always made use of his son as his amanuensis to write his name to all papers, and the bonds were signed in that way; and there