

WHY.

Blaine's Review of the Field and Outlook of the Cause of Defeat. His Protestations of Disinterested Party Fealty. "His Deepest Regret for Republican Disaster."

Damaging Mugwump Vote and Prohibition Detractions. He Congratulates Himself Upon Irish-American Support And Discredits the Alleged German Defection.

How a Free Trade Policy Will Kill Democracy. Barchard's Suicidal "Rum, Romanism and Rebellion."

The Fatal Bad Weather All Through New York. It Rained June 17, 1815;--It Rained Nov. 4, 1884.

The Vanquished Leader's Bodily Health and Cheerful Mien--Prospective Plans for the Future.

BLAINE'S INTERVIEW. Special Telegram to the Bee.

BOSTON, Mass., November 16.--The Journal's special correspondent at Augusta, Maine, had a long conversation with Hon. James G. Blaine on Saturday afternoon, which will be published in to-morrow morning's issue of that paper. The defeated candidate, who by the way, appeared in the best of health, said that whatever might be the final result of the count in New York, he had had from the first no other desire than that a fair count should be made. So far as he was personally concerned, he would be content with either victory or defeat. Success would not elate him and defeat would not depress him. He was engaged in a congenial and profitable work which had been interrupted by the campaign and the deep regret that he would feel at a democratic triumph would be altogether for his party and for his country, not for himself. "I lived to see the presidency in 1851," Mr. Blaine added after a long pause "and have too long a memory to forget the anxiety and the responsibility of the office." When asked how he accounted for the closeness of the result in New York, Mr. Blaine said: "Well, considering the loss by

THE LOSS OF THE INDEPENDENT REPUBLICANS and the far larger loss from the action of the republican prohibitionists, the wonder is at first sight that the democrats did not carry the state by a large majority, as they confidently expected they would. This result was prevented by the great accession to the republican ranks of Irish and Irish-American voters and workmen of all classes, who sustained me because of my advocacy of protective tariff. They believe and believe wisely, that free trade would reduce their wages."

"You really think that you got a considerable margin in the republican ranks?" "Oh, I had thousands upon thousands," replied Mr. Blaine, "and should have had many more but for the Irish and Irish-American vote, which was quoted everywhere to my prejudice, and in many places attributed to myself, though it was in the highest degree distasteful and unkind to me, but a life you know, craves very hard work, and there was no time before the election to overcome and correct that one, and so I suffered for it."

MR. BLAINE WAS THOUGHT TO BE THOUGHT OF THE IRISH-AMERICAN VOTE was organized at all but competent leadership. "Yes," said he, "I was deeply impressed by the able, the earnest and sincerity of those whom I met. There, for instance, is Patrick F. Ford, of the Irish World, he is a man of the most unshakable devotion of the cause he espouses. Possessing a great faculty for organization, with marked ability and untiring energy, and General Kerwin has in a large degree the same characteristics, and is a far-sighted and able man with a fine record in Union soldier and Alexander Sullivan and John Finerty were very powerful on the stump and did royal service. Both are natural orators of the finest Irish type. Blaine's tariff speech in Toledo contributed very largely to the defeat of Frank Hurd. These men with others whom I did not personally meet, have made a break in the Irish democratic vote, one that I believe will widen and increase in the future as the full significance of the democratic party on

THE FUTURE QUESTION becomes understood and appreciated. Our Irish and Irish-American citizens will in time get tired of voting in accordance with the wishes of the English Irish trader. I said to Mr. Blaine that the Irish in Boston thought he understood the character of their people better than any other republican leader. Mr. Blaine replied that it would be difficult for him to assume that, but said there was perhaps a strong leaning of the Irish element toward him in case of the fact that on his mother's side he was of Irish descent. In Pennsylvania, his native state, he had received an enormous vote, some counties having strongly declared in his favor, completely reversed in their popular majorities by the change of the Irish in his favor. This, however, was of course due in part to the fact that he stood as a democrat, and in this regard an avowed protection to American industry

THE NEW REGIME.

Civil Service Commissioners Eaton and Thoman Predict. Cleveland Will Observe a Conservative Policy, And Maintain the Present Civil Service Law.

No Andy Jacksonian Spoil System Anticipated. Some Very Gracious Compliments to Grover.

His Steady Integrity and Executive Genius--Citations to His Past Career.

EATON'S OPINION. WASHINGTON, Nov. 16.--The views of Hon. Dorman B. Eaton, president of the civil service commission, in regard to the probable effect of Cleveland and Handcock's election upon the maintenance of the civil service law, has been obtained by a representative of the Associated Press, and are given in the following report of the interview:

"You are from New York, Mr. Commissioner, and know about what Governor Cleveland has done there for civil service reform, please give the Associated press the facts and your view as to what he is likely to do relative to removals and the civil service act and rules."

"These are very delicate questions for one in my position to answer. I have taken to part whatever in the late campaign. I have not the least right to assume to speak for Governor Cleveland or his party. Having acted with the republican party from its origin I can hardly speak without some party bias. Nevertheless, I will frankly tell you what I think. We shall have an administration absolutely democratic in policy, but whether with a majority representing the great masses, or the few, I will not guess, but the civil service act will not be repealed either at the coming session or during this session. The republican party would oppose any attempt to repeal it. The republicans as a body would not, and the senate would defeat such an attempt. The rules will not be abolished, they will be enforced under the new president, but not enlarged. The breadth of application and such moral support from the party in power as would have been the case, certainly, had President Arthur been re-elected. The disinterestedness and patriotism of the republicans are not very generally divided between the great masses, but in my opinion my party has such a larger part of the intelligent conviction which has thus far supported civil service reform. Great numbers of persons in the democratic ranks are so prejudiced and uninformed on the subject that they will clamor for removals for the sake of patronage. They do not comprehend that a party which could elect its candidate only by the aid of the republican friends of reform has no chance if it alienates those friends in the future, and it is sure to be far more numerous and powerful than now. They still believe in the old prescriptive spoils system, not comprehending that it is doomed, and caring more as do many republicans, to hold on for a few years of spoils than to do anything for the future beyond that. A great struggle will be waged between the republican ranks in the future, and the republican ranks are therefore certain. The statesmen of the party, Bayard, Pendleton, Lamar, Garland, Carlisle, Randall, Cox, Morrison, Tucker, Hewitt, Wells and others, each of whom has already spoken and voted for the civil service act, will stand by it. They will be supported by many worthy democrats and all demagogues, spoonmen, and the rabble of the party will be against it. The republicans will not be selected for the act and rules, and will wait for the democrats to ruin themselves by their abrogation. The president will lead the way, and he will lead the way with his convictions, his high sense of duty, his character, his strength of character, will secure victory. There is no public man in this country who has a higher sense of moral obligation in official life, or who is more certain to withstand more partisan and selfish appeals, than Governor Cleveland. This is the great element of his power and his popularity, and the people row feel, and let my motives be misunderstood let me add that he has never done in both parties. I would neither ask nor accept one at his hands. Many officers outside of the rules, and some within, will doubtless be removed for no very good reason, but so far as possible, Governor Cleveland will prevent mere political proscription. He cannot attend to everything. Much will depend on the members of his cabinet and especially on the postmaster general. If there is, as is charged, some officers who have used their influence to enforce accommodations and neglected their duties and violated the principles of their stations to engage in party warfare, the fate they have challenged may very likely await them. If persons are to be selected for public work irrespective of politics they had better attend to that work and not meddle with the elections."

"What has Governor Cleveland done in New York to warrant this estimate of him?" "Buffalo was a city almost as partisan, lawless and badly governed as Cincinnati. Upon being made sheriff, Mr. Cleveland exhibited those qualities which are being more and more needed and appreciated by the people. They comprehended and approved his spirit. He was elected mayor by votes from both parties in that republican city. His life as mayor was a continuous struggle for honesty and fidelity in the office against jobbery, spoonmen, and partisans who had long preyed on the city. He mastered the situation and became known as the veto mayor. His fearless way of dealing with them is now the language of his veto."

"Commissioner Eaton here quoted from Governor Cleveland's message, from his letter accepting the gubernatorial nomination and from other communications which show his desire and unflinching endorsement of the civil service law, and pay a high tribute to the honesty and non-partisan business capacity of the governor as evidenced in his administration of affairs in the state of New York, and continues as follows:

"The rapidly growing reform sentiment of the country has been demanding more honesty, courage, and administrative capacity with

CLEVELAND'S LUCK.

The Count Complete and Cleveland Carries New York by 1,147. Every County in New York Reported Officially.

The Great Agony Over and the Country Survives. No Additional News Since Saturday Afternoon.

Two City Districts Not Yet Officially Returned. Blaine's Net Gain in the Canvass 120--Process Concession of Democratic Victory.

NEW YORK, CLEVELAND WINS. NEW YORK, Nov. 16, 2 p. m.--A hasty computation by the clerk in charge of the city returns of the canvass which has just been completed, gives Cleveland a plurality of 1147 in the entire state. Blaine's net gain in the city by the canvass was 129.

ROBBERIES OF THE COUNTY. NEW YORK, November 15.--The board of supervisors resumed the canvass this morning. The Fifteenth assembly district was completed without any change. The Sixth assembly district was referred to the committee on corrected returns on account of a slight error.

MUNROE COUNTY'S OFFICIAL COUNT GIVES CLEVELAND 13,234, Blaine 18,325, plurality for Blaine 5,091. The Seventeenth assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The count of the Eighteenth assembly district was objected to on the ground that the total number of votes as read, was shown to be 198, whereas it was claimed 216 votes were really cast. In the district which the republican ticket received 51 instead of 54, as recorded. The vote of the district was therefore referred to the committee on corrected returns. The Twenty-first assembly district was completed at 11:15 a. m. The