

THE HESPERIAN.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA.

VOL. XXV.

LINCOLN, NEBRASKA, FEB. 1, 1896.

No. 9.

THE HESPERIAN.

ISSUED semi-monthly by the HESPERIAN Association of the University of Nebraska.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

One copy, per college year (in advance) \$1.00
One copy, one semester00

ADVERTISING RATES ON APPLICATION.

ALUMNI AND EX-STUDENTS.

Special endeavor will be made to make THE HESPERIAN interesting to former students. Please send us your subscriptions.

Subscriptions on our books will be continued until ordered stopped.

Address all communications to THE HESPERIAN, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska.

BOARD OF EDITORS.

O. H. ALLEN EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

ASSOCIATES

H. E. NEWBRANCH,	EDITORIAL
P. H. THOMSON	LITERARY
LULU W. BURROWS	LITERARY
CLINT M. BARR	ATHLETIC
J. W. SEARSON	LOCAL
C. E. MATSON	LOCAL
E. F. PIPER	LOCAL
JOE BOOMER	ALUMNI

L. J. ABBOTT, JR. }
NED: C. ABBOTT } BUSINESS MANAGERS.

EDITORIAL.

WE print in this issue an admirable paper on the "New Woman" given by Miss Mary Tremain before the Palladian Girls' Debating Club, Friday evening, January 10. It gives new light on the vexed question, and should be read by all who wish to keep up with the times.

PROF. WARNER'S article in the last HESPERIAN must have struck our esteemed contemporary in a tender spot, for there was a loud and continued squeal in their last issue. The fact of the matter is they do not like to hear such things coming from men with national reputations as thinkers and whom all

fair minded men admit are above bias and partisanship. We would like to hear you refute the arguments there adduced, Mr. *Nebraskan*, and not simply fill you columns with bald assertions that Prof. Warner is a very small man. We all know he is a small man, that is probably why he has a head professorship in Stanford University.

About Fraternity Politics.

Lest there be some students in school so unacquainted with the true status of University politics as to be deceived by the recent bellows of the 'purity compromisers,' THE HESPERIAN takes this occasion to tell a few truths in this connection.

First, as is already well known, the different fraternities have all been members of a common "tie up" in recent years, whereby all the elective offices in the University were to be distributed among the various fraternities, and rotate from year to year. This little game has worked nicely in years past—nicely, that is, for the fraternities. Of course it has resulted in the election of some officers not exactly fitted or desirable—but that's another story. The main point is that the frats got the offices, and did what they pleased with them. It was the spoils system in practical operation.

Second, as is also well known, last year some pledges were broken and some contracts unfulfilled. This is a very common occurrence in practical politics. This is the way a leading "Beta" told it, "We are going to no more such contracts and we (the Betas) want the credit for the defeat of the hog combine."

Third, as everybody knows, when the frat big guns saw their slate broken and their wronged fellow combiners in open rebellion; when they saw all hope of victory vanished,