

account as soon as possible. These be hard times for the business manager, gentle reader; it takes money to publish THE HESPERIAN, and every dollar that is due it is needed, and needed bad. Kindly remit.

THE last issue of THE HESPERIAN was something out of the usual run. It is with pleasure that we learn that it has been effective in opening a few eyes. The articles all set forth crying needs, which it is hoped the legislature may see fit to remove. We wish to thank those who contributed so willingly, although many of them were already overworked. Dr. McKeeby and Regent Estabrook show unusual interest in the welfare of the University, and deserve a warm place in our hearts:

"YES, they fought the devil with fire, but they burned their fingers," remarks the *Nebraskan*, with a gleeful chuckle. Their fingers have been healed, however, without the necessity of a surgical operation, and, although the burn was painful, there was a "liberal education" in it. Watch out for them next time. The students of the University of Nebraska will not long suffer the politics of the institution to be ruled by a few, even though the few consider themselves the Lord's anointed, with gold rings in their noses, and jeer at the "barbarian horde." Tammany sneered at the New York mugwumps, and even as they sneered, though they knew it not, from the walls of their wigwam stood forth the words, "*Mene, Tekel, Upharsin.*"

As a result of the lively interest taken in the oratorical election the treasury of the association is distended almost to bursting, containing over \$100. A movement is on foot to turn this sum over to the Debating Association, to pay the way of Messrs. McNeal, Sherman and Weaver to Kansas. It is undoubtedly the duty of the students of the University to see to it that these gentle-

man are not required to pay their own expenses when they go to represent us in debate, and this being true, it would perhaps be as good a way as any to turn over to the Debating Association whatever money the Oratorical Association may have left after providing for its expenses for the year.

The time for the first big debate is approaching, and we sincerely hope that all students, regardless of their college politics, will stand by our representatives. They won their places fairly. Let us see that they have our enthusiastic and hearty support.

HONOR OR DISHONOR.

Is there any difference between honor and dishonor? There should be. They are exactly opposite in their meaning, and we would naturally expect a difference. Lately something has been going on in this University that leads one to doubt if there is a very marked difference after all. The faculty claim to be unable to stop it; the students seem unwilling to stop it. It still exists. It is that habit we have of taking things that belong to our neighbors. In other words, that habit of stealing books, hats, rubbers and overcoats. Could there be a greater disappointment to a student than some day when the thermometer is twenty below zero, the wind blowing and the snow falling, to start for home and find his rubbers, hat and overcoat gone? Or some other day when he wishes to study his lessons to find his book missing? Where do they go? Nobody knows. I am not surprised at the student, if, in his misfortune and sorrow he complains and says, "This college life is not what it is cracked up to be." Why does this kind of thing exist in our University? There must be something radically wrong in our system of education. Honor (not dishonor) must be held in high respect. Can you sow mustard seed and expect to grow corn?

In our system of examinations a premium