

their natural course unmolested and undisturbed. The HESPERIAN is still opposed to fraternities, but, nevertheless, we do not care to discuss the question. However, unlike the childlike spirit of the *Nebraskan*, we wish to say that if we find any new matter that will be of importance to our side of the fraternity question, that will not be the "same old story" we propose to set it forth in the columns of the HESPERIAN; and we will not put after it, whatever it may be, "Now we have had our say and shall keep quiet hereafter." We invite anyone to answer any article that appears in these columns on fraternities, or on any other subject for that matter, and answer it in the HESPERIAN if you wish. We are ever ready to promote free inquiry and expressions of honest opinions, and if we print matter in our paper that is contrary to the opinions of the editors, what matters it? We would see both sides represented, and represented in as able a manner as possible. The HESPERIAN represents all classes in the University, and the *Nebraskan* can not show a single case where any communication from frat or barb on a fraternity or non-fraternity subject was ever refused by the board of editors, and certainly the present would not refuse any. No, we need not worry about the hereafter of a "Pal" or "Sig." Undoubtedly these persons, especially the latter, will walk through the pearly gates without a question mark attached to their names; but how about some of the frats outside of the "sigs?"

Now we wish to say a word about this "Representative Paper business." The HESPERIAN claims to be the representative paper of the University of Nebraska—the *Nebraskan* makes the same claim. No amount of arguing on the part of the HESPERIAN will convince the *Nebraskan* that she is not what she claims to be. No amount of arguing on the part of the *Nebraskan* will convince the HESPERIAN that we are not what we claim to be. If we go on arguing the case we will hash and re-hash old arguments, please no one, and in the end be no

nearer a finite result than we were in the beginning. Now what had we better do? As far as we are concerned, we think we had better drop the subject. If the *Nebraskan* does not think so, well and good. We also, propose to run the HESPERIAN in the future as well as in the past "in the interest of the *University students*." We do know a difference in these columns between a frat and a barb, but either may show that difference if he sees fit. Either may also set forth matters on any other subject if he chooses. Now Miss *Nebraskan*, we have said our "say," and if you do not agree to it we will not "play with you any more."

We know that there are many important subjects that we might discuss with the *Nebraskan*, and we accept in a friendly spirit the friendly challenge to discuss soberly and earnestly any question we may bring up. In our discussions we will endeavor to be fair and earnest, and we believe the *Nebraskan* will be so also. It will not be long until the present school year will be at an end. There will be but a few more numbers of the college papers published before the present managing editors of the two papers will be through with college journalism, perhaps forever. Nothing could be further from our mind than the thought of saying anything that would be unfair or harsh to the feelings of our esteemed contemporary. We would rather sever our connection with the paper now, than do such a thing. So we hope questions will be brought up that will admit of free and interesting discussion, and we will endeavor to perform our part in a manner befitting the body of students and the University we represent.

* *
*

In an editorial in the HESPERIAN, a short time ago comment was made about the miserable light in the library in evenings. We wish to go on record with another editorial on the same subject. The gas burned is of a poor quality we judge since it gives such a poor light, and, besides this, there is always a flickering of the exposed light caused by the breeze which circulates through the library