

ternal spirit and a primary concern with the intellectual aims for which the University of Chicago was founded.

Dr. Harper then went on to say that although the faculty strongly advised that the fraternities should not be organized, yet they would not prohibit them. If they did exist, however, they must be subject to the following regulations:

1. Each chapter organized must submit its house rules to the faculty for approval.

2. Each must appoint a representative with whom the faculty may confer at such times as may be desirable.

3. Membership in the societies must be restricted to students of the second year Academic College and students of the University Colleges.

4. The University reserves the right to withdraw from chapters permission to exist in the University.

5. The faculty is authorized to add any regulations which they think wise in consistency with the above.

Dr. Harper said that the matter had been carefully considered, and that the final step had been taken by the faculty and the Board of Trustees only after much deliberation. The decision was that of 100 men who had taught in colleges where the fraternity system existed and who had seen the evil effects of it.

Dr. Harper also spoke on clubs in the University as follows:

You have already organized numerous clubs, among them the Semiac, political economy, and political clubs. Only one literary society has been formed and that is the Divinity school. I am a firm believer in literary societies. The profit derived from them is very great. Aside from scientific societies opportunities for developing thought, both written and spoken, should be given. Many institutions neglect this thing. I desire that every student who graduates from the University of Chicago may not only have a wide knowledge but may know how to make use of it. A union of the various clubs will be formed under the supervision of the faculty. This will be called the University Union."

There have been a few complaints made lately in regard to the carelessness of students about putting scraps of paper in the baskets provided for that purpose in the halls. Since the HESPERIAN has adopted the plan, for the protection of its subscribers, of wrapping the papers that are put in the mail boxes, it has furnished a very easy

means of enabling the careless student to litter the floor with paper and thus occasion a howl from the janitorial quarters. We know it is no easy matter for the student to defer reading the HESPERIAN until he can walk over to the waste paper basket, about three steps away, and deposit the wrapper, but the thoughtful student will do this, notwithstanding the temptation to drop the wrapper where he stands. A word to the wise in this matter is sufficient, and we sincerely hope the halls will be kept in better condition by properly using the baskets that are placed so haldily at short intervals in the halls.

The present issue of THE HESPERIAN, the Thanksgiving number, is an experiment. We believe the attempt has never before been made to get out a holiday edition of the paper. The reaction from this attempt may never be overcome, but that will be an after-consequence and will have no influence on the attempt. We hope the present issue will give satisfaction. If it does ye editors will have an extra reason for which to return thanks on this Thanksgiving day. If it does not, then we will retreat into our sanctum, bolt the door against all intruders and return thanks for our escape. So in either case the word "Thanksgiving" will not be to us a delusion and a dream, for we will keep the holiday in a manner befitting our profession. We are indebted to Professors Barbour and Bates for valuable aid in illustrating the present edition, and also to those who have contributed articles to this Thanksgiving number.

Once upon a time, at a meeting of the faculty, a resolution was offered to the effect that members of the senior class be allowed credit for a certain amount of work done by them in the law school. This resolution was referred to a committee with instructions to report upon it. The resolution died while in the hands of the committee; and the committee did not see fit to recognize the previous existence of such a resolution even by casually reporting its untimely death. The