

may be infidels, too, but you are not supposed to know it and it is at least courteous and unselfish not to disturb them. The man who insists on making a disturbance in chapel is irreverent himself, discourteous to whoever is leading the exercises and inconsiderate of all the students within reach of his voice. He is no gentleman and if he can't mend his ways he better stay out of chapel.

The other is about the Uni. yell at the Lansing the other night when Modjeska was playing Henry VIII. There is a vast difference between giving a college yell on a distinctively college occasion and giving it indiscriminately on occasions where the students form only a small fraction of the audience. In one case it is proper, in the other it is very improper. Giving the yell the other night and similar inappropriate demonstrations are in very poor taste, even if not rowdyish. The idea of its being "exuberance of college spirit," and that students can't enjoy themselves without disgusting other people is very largely a fake. We think proper fun is just as funny as improper fun. It's a good deal healthier, anyway, for body and mind. The public sizes us up from what it sees of us in public. It knows nothing about the high marks we all get up here at school; it simply judges us by our behavior. In the eyes of the public a thing like that yell at the Lansing will go further in convincing people we are an aggregation of ill-bred rowdies than a year's work by a whole class will in the opposite direction. Even from a purely material, calculating, selfish standpoint it pays to cultivate good taste and refined manners.

---

From these names it will be seen that the "barb" element has been eliminated and the paper will be entirely under the control of the fraternities now. This is not surprising for the "barbs" always have been to the "frats" a thorn in the flesh, as it were. We shall now expect the *Nebraskan* to be, more

than ever, a representative paper, but a representative of the fraternities alone, and not the representative paper of the Nebraska State University.—*Hesperian*.

What is now most needed in our college journalism is a higher dignity—a dignity that will not condescend to lower itself with the view of making capital thereby.—*Stentor*.

We don't believe in mind-reading but the editor of the *Stentor* has so neatly expressed the only possible conclusion from the *Hesperian* clipping, that we could not refrain from quoting them side by side. We might say a word about our principles and about this "Representative Paper" business. To be entitled to the name "Representative," a paper must consult, in making up its columns, the sentiments and wishes of all students who ask or deserve representation. It must reflect student opinion, debate student questions, cater to student taste. If it does this fairly and impartially it is representative; if not it is partisan and sectional. It makes not one whit of difference what particular students contribute to the paper, the requirements are objective not subjective. So long as the representing conditions are fulfilled it matters little whether the work is done by Classical, Literary, or Scientific student, whether by Freshman or Senior, whether by Frat or Barb. A Barb paper that ignores Frats and a Frat paper that ignores Barbs would be equally unrepresentative, so long as both elements are strongly represented in University work and life. We propose to conduct this paper in the interest of the *University Students*. We don't know any difference, in these columns, between a Frat and Barb. If either one has anything to contribute, any question to ask or discuss, any suggestions to make—in fact, anything whatever to say of interest to the students, these columns are open to both alike. There was a time here when a man was worthless, mentally, morally and socially because he was a