Friday November 17, 2000 Volume 100 Issue 62 dailyneb.com **Since 1901**

Daily Nebraskan

Get a look at the coming sports season with the DN's Winter **Sports Preview** In Sports/9

The Husker soccer team will face the Huskies again in the next round of the **NCAA** Tourney In SportsWeekend/12

A helping hand?

The Nebraska Weslevan singers add a 16th **Century flair to Christmas** In Arts/7



Debate rages over Electoral **College votes**

BY GEORGE GREEN

"Every

needs to

assured

his vote

matters."

Cavanaugh

John

voter

be

David Clasen/DN

The recent election turmoil has spawned political questions that promise to linger long after the next president takes his seat in the Oval Office.

In particular, concerns about the legitimacy of the Electoral College promise to scream to the forefront of political discussion when the political dust settles.

It appears as if some Democrats and Republicans are already drawing lines in the sand over the issue. Bob Hopkins, a spokesman for George W. Bush,

said it was hard to predict the fate of the college. Nevertheless, he said, Bush firmly supported

America's Constitution, which outlines the Electoral College.

"Governor Bush is a strong believer in the Constitution," he said.

John Cavanaugh, an Omaha Democrat who served with Gore in Congress during the 1970s, takes a different approach to the issue.

Cavanaugh said the Electoral College should be abolished before voters lose confidence in the political process.

"Every voter needs to be assured his vote matters," he said. John Gruhl, a political science

Omaha professor, said opposing views on Democrat the system would create a storm of political confusion.

The only thing citizens can count on is lots of discussion about elections, he said. "We will see a lot of debate about it," he said.

Beyond these discussions, no one knows how or if the Electoral College will be altered, he said.

Gruhl said people upset with the college had several options to change it.

The most fundamental change would be to abandon the college for a straight popular-vote system, he said.

But such a far-reaching decision would take a constitutional amendment, something that is challenging to accomplish, he said.

'It would be very difficult to pass an amendment," he said.

Jody Rockenbach, a junior family and consumer sciences major, and her dog Astrid wait for a ride Tuesday in the Services for Students with Disabilities office in the Canfield Administration Building. Rockenbach said some students haven't felt comfortable in the office since the semester began.

Students have expressed concern about the layout of the office. Students also have complained about the timeliness of the notes



Disabled frustrated with office changes BY JILL ZEMAN

Senior family and consumer sciences major Tim Schultz usually gets good grades.

service the office provides.

Derek Lippincott/DN

But Schultz, who is visually impaired, has watched his grades slip this semester because he hasn't gotten the notes from his classes on time.

Schultz uses Services for Students with Disabilities to get notes from his classes. SSD workers recruit students who volunteer to take notes in classes for disabled students.

And Schultz didn't receive notes for one of his classes all semester until two weeks ago.

Schultz said he blamed the new director of the disabilities office for the problems he's experienced.

Last year, the office was without a permanent

Please see **HELPING** on 3

John Hibbing, a political science professor, said the problems with a popular-vote scheme extended well beyond the amendment process.

When the amendment passed, Americans would have to decide whether the winning candidate needed to secure an absolute majority of the votes, or if some arbitrary percentage would be adequate to crown a winner, he said.

With more influential third-party candidates' surfacing in national elections, the likelihood of having a contest without a clear winner becomes more of a possibility, he said.

Fortunately for disgruntled voters, the list of options doesn't end with simply mandating a mini-

Please see **DEBATE** on 5

JFK adviser contrasts elections

Theodore C. Sorensen says while the Nixon results would not have changed with a recount, Gore has every right to ask for one.

BY BRIAN CARLSON

For many historians and political observers, this year's spectacularly close presidential election hearkens back to 1960, when John F. Kennedy narrowly defeated Richard M. Nixon

But one native Nebraskan who was intimately involved in the 1960 contest says the comparisons only go so far.

Theodore C. Sorensen, a speech writer for Kennedy who went on to become his special counsel in the White House, wrote in a recent op-ed piece for The Washington Post that while the two elections are similar in some ways, there are also important differences.

A Lincoln native who graduated from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and the NU College of Law, Sorensen is now an international lawyer in New York City.

In a Thursday interview, he said Democrat Al Gore's situation was different from Nixon's. Unlike Nixon, Gore has a chance to win if corrected irregularities in just one state, Florida, reveal he is the winner.

He said Gore's offer Wednesday to accept the results of a statewide hand recount was a reasonable proposal Republican George W. Bush should not have rejected.

"I'm surprised Bush rejected that," he said. "Nobody knows how it would have come out.

"I think until there is a count that both sides are satisfied represents the true wish of the voters of Florida, both parties have an obligation to pursue that outcome."

Some Republicans have called on Gore to

concede the race, as Nixon did in 1960 despite evidence of widespread voter fraud in Cook County, Ill., that may have cost Nixon the state.

But Sorensen wrote Nixon decided not to challenge the results only after realizing he had no legal basis to

win a timely victory. Even if he had won Illinois, he would have fallen short of Kennedy's electoral vote total.

"Had massive voter irregularities in Illinois and elsewhere been found to have altered the national electoral vote result, reversing the verdict of the American people and depriving them of their most fundamental right, I have no doubt that Vice President Nixon ... would have brought a legal action, not as 'sore losers' but under a constitutional obligation," Sorensen wrote.

That "constitutional obligation," he wrote, is to find the full, fair and accurate result, which is more important than premature "finality." Courts can play an important role in settling such conflicts, he wrote.

In 1960, Kennedy said a margin of only one vote would be a mandate, and that is still true, Sorensen wrote. But the public must have confidence in the accuracy of the election results to ensure the next president is not hampered by the impression that his victory was illegitimate

"If the votes are counted fairly and completely, the winner has a mandate, regardless of the margin, and there won't be a stain or shadow over the legitimacy of the next president when he takes office." he said.

Sorensen wrote that the tenor of the 1960

×



Theodore C. Sorensen and 2000 campaigns also differed. "Ideas and issues mattered more than

money; foreign policy was seriously debated; first-time voters were genuinely excited; and a record-high number and percentage of supporters and detractors of both candidates turned out on Election Day," he wrote.

Despite his narrow victory in 1960, Sorensen wrote, Kennedy carried out his mandate. He worked cautiously and incrementally, but he fulfilled campaign pledges on issues such as health, education, Social Security and unemployment, he wrote.

Kennedy worked with Republicans, such as Senate Majority Leader Everett Dirksen, on issues such as civil rights and a limited nuclear test ban. He asked Republicans to serve in his administration, including Treasury Secretary Douglas Dillon.

'Some objectives Kennedy achieved by executive action. Some, he realized, could not be obtained until he had won a second term with larger margins in both his own race and Congress," Sorensen wrote. "Sadly, he had no second term."

The next president, whether he is Bush or Gore, can learn from Kennedy's approach, Sorensen said.

With a closely divided Congress and a president elected by the slimmest of margins, the next president will have to work in a bipartisan fashion.

"I certainly hope people in both the executive and legislative branches recognize the importance of bipartisan cooperation," he said.

"Bipartisanship doesn't mean watering everything down to the lowest common denominator. It means shaping your legisla-

Please see **ELECTION** on 5

Abel Hall Allies sign keeps disappearing

BY MARGARET BEHM

After a controversial decision to place an Allies Against Heterosexism and Homophobia sign on the Abel Hall government door, the sign now keeps disappearing.

The Allies sign on the Abel Residence Hall Association's door has vanished at least 10 times since it was first posted in September.

Andy Krejci, ARA president, said taking down the sign is futile because it would be put up again.

"It is up continuously, but people keep taking it down," said Krejci, a junior electrical engi-neering major. "We're going to keep putting it up."

Pat Tetreault, sexuality education program coordinator at the University Health Center, said there was a perpetual supply of Allies signs.

"I will supply them with as many cards as they need," she said

With many students' voicing opposition to posting the sign on the ARA door, Krejci said he expected some student reaction, but he didn't foresee this.

'We figured there would be some concern about it," he said.

Tetreault said she was not surprised the signs have vanished from the door.

"They're trying to control what people say and do and who they support."

Pat Tetreault University Health Center

Students are often harassed when try to post anything that supports the concerns of the gay community, she said.

"It's all about power and control," she said. "They're trying to control what people say and do and who they support.'

The sign's disappearance from the door shows homophobia affects everyone, Tetreault said.

'What they are saying by taking down the sign down is, not only do we not support a safe space, but we don't want you to indicate that this is a safe space," she said.

Krejci said putting the sign up repeatedly was frustrating. "It's really irritating," he said.

"If they have a problem it's not our problem. They elected the people in office who voted for this.

The people who have decided to revolt against the sign are cow-

