

Thursday, October 26, 1995

Page 4



J. Christopher Hain	Editor, 472-1766
Rainbow Rowell	Managing Editor
Mark Baldridge	Opinion Page Editor
DeDra Janssen	Associate News Editor
Doug Kouma	Arts & Entertainment Editor
Jeff Zeleny	Senior Reporter
Matt Woody	Senior Reporter
James Mehsling	Cartoonist

Sticky charges

Accusers need to be held accountable

There has been a lot of talk in recent weeks about victim's rightsmaybe too much talk.

This issue is not a PC issue.

This issue is a civil rights issue!

Half-baked references to U2 aside, victim's rights is an issue everyone seems to agree on - victims of crimes should be protected, right? They should not be put on trial - criminals should be put on trial.



Problem is, it's just never that simple.

Victims have to have the same rights that the accused have; anything less leads to the tyranny of the accuser.

Take the example of sexual harassment.

It's a social problem whose time has come - our society is determined to shed light on it.

No longer will bosses or teachers or anyone be allowed to keep to the shadows and continue to abuse or harass their employees, students - whatever.

And there are some who say that the victim should be granted the shadows formerly

reserved for the perpetrator. This is not a good idea.

If the accuser is protected, if his or her name is not printed in the papers that cover the story, if they never have to face public scrutiny, then the potential for abuse is multiplied.

Don't like me? — Accuse me.

No one will mention your name, you will never have to answer to the damning attention which your accusation will inflict upon me and my family.

Even if you cannot prove your allegations, you can hurt me, badly. Or I could do the same to you.

This is not an acceptable way to run a society of equals.

But there are obvious drawbacks to the system as it exists: Those most harmed by something like sexual harassment have to face almost



her, it will all be forgotten. In fact, you may even get to play football again. Wouldn't that great?

The university will let you attend a few counseling sessions to help you control your anger and then allow you to participate in the most violent sport in America!

The message to victims of abuse is you should just grin and bear it. It is part of our society and you have to get used to feeling like you don't amount to anything.

As a woman I find this decision offensive.

Apparently at "good old Nebraska U" an abuser not only has the right to continue his education, but he also is given the chance to ruthlessly beat someone again. Unfortunately, a victim may not get a second chance.

would simply accept the responsibility that comes with the fundamental rights of choice, there would be no need for drastic and deadly measures.

Rosie Jimenez had those fundamental rights guaranteed to citizens by the U.S. Constitution. She had the right to reproductive freedom. She had the right to make the choice, the choice between having sex or refraining

James Mehsling/DN

Turner. What Mr. Turner has done is nothing short of fabulous.

He does not destroy classic movies as Mr. Peters stated. First they are restored on black and white to upgrade their condition. After that, they are colorized. Contrary to belief, the films are still available in the original black and white.

Those "bastardized" movies are then shown to a new generation of people and are available on home video. If not for the efforts of Mr. Turner, films like "Gone With the Wind" would have been lost a long time ago.

undearable scrutiny after the fact.

They are forced to participate in the machinery of judgment they themselves have set in motion.

And they have to live with the possibility that the perpetrator will go unpunished — that for one reason or another, they will go free.

This is almost certainly why so many abuses of power go unreported: Fear of the consequences of reporting outweighs the fear of continued harassment.

And it's too bad when those who have been victimized have to endure so much on top of the abuse they've suffered.

But it is also unavoidable.

Justice is hard to come by, in any system.

Until someone thinks of a better way to do things, this is how our civilization runs.

Hopefully.

Because there a lot of ways it could be made worse.

Editorial policy

Staff editorials represent the official policy of the Fall 1995 Daily Nebraskan. Policy is set by the Daily Nebras-kan Editorial Board. Editorials do not necessarily reflect the views of the university, its employees, the students or the NU Board of Regents. Editorial columns represent the opinion of the author. The regents publish the Daily Nebraskan. They establish the UNL Publications Board to supervise the daily production of the paper. According to policy set by the regents, respon-sibility for the editorial content of the newspaper lies solely in the hands of its students.

Letter policy

The Daily Nebraskan welcomes brief letters to the editor from all readers and interested others. Letters will be selected for publication on the basis of clarity, originality, timeliness and space available. The Daily Nebraskan retains the right to edit or reject all material submitted. Readers also are welcome to submit mate rial as guest opinions. The editor decides whether material should run as a guest opinion. Letters and guest opinions sent to the newspaper become the property of the Daily Nebraskan and cannot be re-turned. Anonymous submissions will not be pub-lished. Letters should include the author's name, year in school, major and group affiliation, if any. Re-quests to withhold names will not be granted. Submit material to: Daily Nebraskan, 34 Nebraska Union, 1400 R St. Lincoln, Neb. 68588-0448.

Jenny Sievers Senior **Family Science** via e-mail

Abortion

Regarding Emily Poulsen and Zoe Triantafillou's column ("Freedom to choose keeps women safe," Oct. 23):

There is nothing in the Constitution about "reproductive choice". This was an interpretation by the Supreme Court in the Roe v. Wade decision.

The Supreme Court has been wrong before, like in the Dred Scott decision.

It was wrong again with Roe v. Wade.

> **David Oldham** Junior **News-Editorial** via e-mail

out.

More abortion

To "Our Special Guests": If people

What Rosie did not want was to accept the responsibility that comes with her fundamental rights.

The ability to have sex is a right. To destroy life is not a right. No one has the right to decide that their life is more important than someone else's, especially a defenseless little child. I feel sorry for Rosie and all the other women who have died due to complications in "back alley" abortions. But let's not forget that since 1973 millions of innocent children have been legally killed.

When we join in the rally in front of Broyhill Fountain to honor Rosie Jimenez what we should really be remembering is the death of the child in her womb and the 5year-old whom she selfishly left behind simply because she would not face responsibility for her own actions and wanted the easy way

Brian Carlin Freshman **Business via e-mail**

Culture vulture

I am writing in response to Mr. Peters' column ("Culture barons,' Oct.24).

I disagree with his attack on Ted

Robert Brown II Senior Chemistry

Head ditto

Mr. Burger, who will find job hunting a bit frustrating, is obviously liberal (Letters, Oct.24).

Those who I hear bashing Mr. Limbaugh can't say they have ever

listened to Rush for any length of time or at all. Rush is self-confident, well-read, and always right, in both senses.

Reporters are notorious for taking a single statement out of context and characterizing him based on that statement alone. If your English professors can teach you no more, get out. Rush did it on his own, perhaps you can too.

> Nolan Carson, B.A., M.A. **College of Dentistry** via e-mail