DN criticized, lauded

Joke issue, Bible column receive mixed reactions

Daily Half-Asskin beyond boundaries of student paper

Congratulations! It is wonderful to see by your insert, the Daily Half-Asskin, what a shining example of journalistic ethics, integrity and style the Daily Nebraskan is. It is very obvious that you have joined the "elite and privileged" ranks of real journalists. It gives me great pride to know that my student fees help support such a fine newspaper. APRIL FOOL!!! I'll admit that a student newspaper can go beyond the boundaries of a

normal newspaper at times, but the Half-Asskin went a little further than that this time. I don't think it as bad when students read this trash (after all, we have to put up with this newspaper every day) but the Daily Nebraskan does not stop at the bounda-ries of the UNL campus.

Did you stop to think about the copies that end up at Lincoln businesses or the state capitol? How do you propose to sell to advertisers when this is the image they have of your product? Worse yet, think of your state senators and regents reading this; would they get the impression that UNL is an academic institution where students care about the quality of their education? How will they be able to lobby and vote for increased funding for UNL when they have the image of the Half-Asskin in the backs of their minds? How can we as students expect them to take us seriously and be treated like adults when this is what we show them?

I'll admit I found a few parts of the Half-Asskin funny. The rest, how-ever, would have been more appro-priate had it been passed around the DN office for laughs, and kept out of the public eye where it could only cause one thing, bad P.R. Simply put, think before you act!!!!

> Pat Jilek junior broadcasting

Joke issue DN's most valid. credible of year

I picked up your Daily Half-Asskin today and just had to laugh at the irony of it all. Even though most of the students found it extremely funny, which I did, I also found many of those articles some of your most valid and credible of the year.

Thanks!

Chris Halligan junior arts and science

DN protected fraternity names in protest assault

This letter is in response to the article covering the march protesting the most ancient Hebrew and Greek violence against women (DN, April 29). I was appalled to find the reporter omitted the names of the fra- cultures, many languages and many ternities housing the imbeciles who editings" that you mentioned. As the harassed the women marchers. These years go by and more ancient manuharassed the women marchers. These people should be held accountable for their rudeness, insensitivity and the thousands that we already have, ignorance. The Lincoln Journal-Star, the Hebrew and Greek texts the transcovering the same story, listed the lators use are actually increasing in Civil and Women's Rights movements

LETTERS TO EDITOR see no reason why the DN should more ambiguous. protect the cowardice of those who The lexical and

verbally assaulted the women. One must wonder why the young "men" responded in the manner they did. Perhaps the topic of the march hit a little too close to home?

> Mary Schuster social sciences

Nelson suffering lump-in-the-cheek, needs holy water

Bob Nelson, that was a great article ("Brevity the soul of wit, religion") in the April 24 Daily Nebraskan. The only way to have improved it would be to have written it in German, where one has available a wealth of abstruse grammatical constructions!

It appears to me that you are suffering from a case of lumpus malaris (lump-in-the-cheek). So I consulted telepathically with my second cousin once removed, who is a faith healer in MacGillicuddy's Reeks, Ireland. She says you should consume a cubic cubit of fluoridated holy water, before the next moon.

Edgar Pearlstein

Columnist's claim of Bible ambiguity not well founded

Bob Nelson, after reading your column about the Bible (DN, April 24), I thought it would be good for me to point out some important facts that pertain to the issue.

There is a certain amount of ambiguity in any language and in any writing. Even an infinite God faces this limitation when he attempts to communicate with finite human beings in their finite human languages. The fact that the Bible claims to be inspired by an omnipotent God does not require that it also have omniclarity. The limitation is because of the language, not God.

If people want to understand the Bible, they can study the words, the grammar, the context and the historical setting of a statement and usually get a pretty good idea of what the author intended and what the original readers understood. Then they must decide how the meaning of that statement applies today. The divergent views of how Leviticus 18:22 applies today result more from the presuppositions of the interpreters than from any ambiguity of the language.

Your views regarding the reliability of the Biblical text are commonly held, but not well founded. During the last 500 years, each new translation of the Bible has been made from manuscripts that are available, thus avoiding the influence of the "many scripts are discovered and added to the thousands that we already have.

The lexical and grammatical questions you raised are not reasonable. The confusion that you perceived is due more to your method of study than to the language itself. You took the King James Bible, translated in 1611, ignored the context, and then played dictionary roulette with the word meanings! I will grant you a hyperbole here or perhaps just some humor, but I can't let such a poor

example of interpretation slide by.
All of the questions you raised are easily answered by honestly looking into the words, the grammar and the context. Reading the whole chapter would shed much light, as would using a modern English translation like the RSV or NIV (or better yet, studying the Hebrew itself). English has changed a lot in the last 380 years, but that is not God's fault. The reason new translations are made is to keep putting God's ancient, unchanging words into the constantly changing languages of modern humanity so that they might know of his love and forgiveness of sins through Jesus.

If the Old Testament view of homosexuality was one of the many "less than desirable prejudices that were later nullified in the big scheme by the Gospels," I must say I am not aware of any portion of the Gospels that even mentions it, let alone teaches that it is OK. I am aware of the other New Testament books that do teach that homosexual activity is a sin. The New Testament also makes it very clear that God loves all people and that all are equally guilty of sin and equally in need of his forgiveness. God's answer for sin is Jesus. He died to pay the penalty for all who will give their lives to him and obey him.

If you do not like what the Bible says, that is fine, no one is forcing you to agree with it. But everyone owes it to themselves to explore the New Testament and honestly consider what it says with an open mind. Then draw your own conclusions, agree or disagree, but don't use the excuse that it cannot be understood . . . most of it can. As Mark Twain once said, "It's not what I don't know about the Bible that bothers me. It's what I do know."

Scott Pixler campus minister College-Career Christian Fellow-

'Sarcastic spoof' promoted sexism. backwardness

been one of the most blatant and ever been printed in a modern-day university newspaper! I'm personally embarrassed for the DN and for the

It's outrageously indignant to see article pseudonyms such as "Rosey Snatch," "Mickey Cock" and "Dick Snatch," "Mickey Cock" and "Dick Munch" allowed — come on, folks, that sort of thinking is juvenile something I would expect from con-fused 12-year-olds. Writing like that reinforces "backwardness" in a society that hasn't made much progress with regard to social issues since the names of the fraternities involved. I accuracy with time, not becoming in the mid-1960s and -70s. It's fright-

ening to think that these people in the journalism arena seem to be blind to their contribution to the already sexist ideals with which our society seems

The editor of the DN told me that the Daily Half-Asskin was a sarcastic spoof on sexism; it wasn't written to condone it. I'm sorry, I will never find anything funny as long as it oppresses a particular group of people. Many of the remarks made in the supplement had sexual overtones that were disrespectful to both men and women (especially toward women). No one has the right to qualify the oppression of people by saying that it was just a joke, a sarcastic spoof. No matter what angle one looks, the journalism written in that supplement was insensitive toward women! There are many instances where women, people

of color and homosexuals, etc., are the butt of people's jokes, yet intel-lectuals (or at least educated people) wouldn't consider them just. The writings in the Daily Half-Asskin were no different.

An editor who allows such dirt to be published is one who supports the oppression of women — there is just no way of seeing otherwise. It's like having a white individual wearing a KKK hood, burning crosses on the lawns of African Americans and saying, "Hey, I don't support the oppression of black people — it's just joke!" I've always felt satisfied with the position the DN held in regard to issues pertaining to sexism and racism; however, after reading the "Daily Half-Asskin," the DN erased all my previous positive conceptions.

Steve R. Sandoval graduate student psychological and cultural studies





The Daily Half-Asskin had to have asinine examples of sexism that has

education SCC - Milford

technology

Offering occupational training in 5 areas:

Business

Construction

Manufacturing and Fabrication -Electrical/Electronic

Transportation

Other outstanding programs:

Nebraska's only Nondestructive Testing program Nebraska's designated AutoCAD Training Center Nebraska's only GM A.S.E.P. program Nations's first John Deere Ag Tech program Nation's first John Deere Ag Parts Management program

Home of GM Training Center

For further information contact:

Southeast community college

Milford Campus, Milford, NE 68405 (402) 761-2131 or 1-800-445-4094 (NE only)

If you're going over 200, drive to your doctor.

If your cholesterol level exceeds 200, you could be at risk for heart disease. The risk is greater if you also smoke, are overweight, or have high blood

Check your cholesterol regularly. If it's over 200, make a pif stop at your doctor's. There you'll learn ways to reduce your risk and tune up your health.

For information call (402)346-0771.

American Heart Association **Nebraska Affiliate**

Your cholesterol level: A number to live by.