Editorial

Kerrey should leave NU cut at 2 percent

he "power of the purse" — that is, the power to decide the amount of money NU will lose from this year's budget — passed from the Legislature to Gov. Bob Kerrey on Wednesday.

By a 43-4 vote, senators sent Kerrey a budget reduction bill that cuts about \$17 million from the state's 1985-86 budget. If Kerrey signs the bill, NU will lose 2 percent, or \$3.4 million, of the money it gets from the state tax dollars -money the NU Board of Regents was counting on when it made its spending decisions for this school year.

When Kerrey gave senators his list of budget cuts last month, he recommended that the Legislature reduce NU's state support by 3 percent. But thanks to a well-organized lobbying effort by NU's students, faculty members and administrators, senators decided that a 2 percent cut was as much as the university could stand without suffering permanent damage.

"Of all the budget cuts proposed," Lincoln Sen. Don Wesely said last week, "the university was the most strenuously opposed to. I think that made the difference."

All those who supported NU during the budget battle should be congratulated for helping the university.

But the game is not over.

Under Nebraska law, Kerrey can veto specific parts of budget bills without rejecting the entire bill. With this "lineitem veto" authority, Kerrey cannot lessen the severity of NU's budget cut. But he has the power to increase the cut to the 3 percent he originally proposed or to whatever amount he deems appropriate. To reverse the veto, 30 of the Legislature's 49 senators would have to vote for an override.

Before the 2 percent cut for NU was approved last week, Neligh Sen. John DeCamp said Kerrey told him he would use his line-item veto to return the cut to 3 percent. At his news conference the next day, Kerrey denied he had made such a threat, but said NU officials "foolishly came down here to argue for an additional 1 percent."

We strongly urge the governor to abide by the wishes of the people, the Legislature, students and faculty members and leave NU's budget cut at 2 percent. The money senators gave back to NU won't make the ultimate difference between quality and mediocrity, but it will help preserve educational opportunity for all Nebraskans.

Kerre has promised to work for such opportunity. Now he can prove his sincerity.

The Daily Nebraskan

34 Nebraska Union 1400 R St., Lincoln, Neb. 68588-0448

CAMPUS EDITOR ASSOCIATE NEWS EDITOR

EDITORIAL PAGE EDITOR WIRE EDITOR COPY DESK CHIEFS

SPORTS EDITOR **ARTS & ENTERTAINMENT** EDITOR WEATHER EDITOR PHOTO CHIEF ASSISTANT PHOTO CHIEF NIGHT NEWS EDITOR ASSOCIATE NIGHT **NEWS EDITORS**

ART DIRECTOR ASSISTANT ART DIRECTOR GENERAL MANAGER PRODUCTION MANAGER PRODUCTION MANAGER ADVERTISING MANAGER ASSISTANT ADVERTISING MANAGER CIRCULATION MANAGER **PUBLICATIONS BOARD** CHAIRPERSON PROFESSIONAL ADVISER

EDITOR Vicki Ruhga, 472-1766 Ad Hudler Suzanne Teten

> Kathleen Green Jonathan Taylor Michiela Thuman Lauri Hopple Chris Welsch **Bob Asmussen**

Bill Allen Barb Branda **David Creamer** Mark Davis Gene Gentrup

Richard Wright Michelle Kubik Kurt Eberhardt **Daniel Shattil** Katherine Policky

Barb Branda Sandi Stuewe

> Mary Hupf **Brian Hoglund**

Don Walton, 473-7301

The Daily Nebraskan (USPS 144-080) is published by the UNL Publications Board Monday through Friday in the fall and spring semesters and Tuesdays and Fridays in the summer sessions, except during vacations. Readers are encouraged to submit story ideas and comments to the Daily Nebraskan by phoning 472-1763 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Monday through Friday. The public also has access to the Publications Board. For information, contact Joe Thomsen.

Subscription price is \$35 for one year. Postmaster: Send address changes to the Daily Nebraskan, Nebraska Union 34, 1400 R St., Lincoln, Neb. 68588-0448. Second-class postage paid

ALL MATERIAL COPYRIGHT 1985 DAILY NEBRASKAN



DN caught in act I wanted to be fair, but nooooooo!

K, OK, so ASUN found us out. This fine, upstanding body of junior legislators recently passed a resolution urging the UNL Publications Board to urge the Daily Nebraskan to print only unbiased, fair and accurate articles and to help promote school unity.

Of course, what they really meant puke. was the DN should be more positive toward ASUN and the Greek system, since ASUN is just an extension of the

Greek system.



Allen

Well gosh darn it all, it looks like we've been caught. For the last several years the DN staff has been at the forefront of the campus anti-Greek movement, except me, who has always done my best to defend those boys and girls when I could.

For instance, this year when the fraternity raided the sorority house on another campus, for reasons I described in a previous unbiased article, I was the first one to run over to News Editor Adrian Hudler and say "Ad, we've gotta report this in a positive way so as to promote school unity."

Those were my very words. But, as the late John Belushi would say, noooooooo!

report the facts and get both sides of their own conclusions about what

put out by such nonsense that I had to ter than the state legislature. write that previous article to clear

couldn't make their own conclusions.

accuracy thing all semester. Why every little fund-raiser the Greeks have he wants a story on it, sometimes with pictures that present it in such a positive light it could nearly make you

And when those two Greeks won the annual Greek popularity contest (otherwise known as Homecoming) they both got their picture in the paper and in a positive way too, promoting school unity to all get out. Now, I suggested waiting for her to pick her nose or for him to pinch her rear and then snap the all this biased reporting against the picture, but nooooo!

And a few years back when that fraternity got in trouble for alleged drug activity I was the first one to run over to the news desk and say, "Hey, we gotta present this in a positive way so as to promote school unity."

But noooooooo! Again they insisted on covering the whole thing as accurately as possible.

Gosh darn it all. I personally think the DN should

change its obviously biased policy toward the Greek system by not reporting Greek events at all. Furthermore, I think we should pass

a scorching resolution to do away with the UNL Greek system in its entirety, then there would be nothing good or bad to report, biased or unbiased. I know I would sleep a lot better at

And without the fine, upstanding, Ad said to me, "Bill, we've got to well-dressed Greeks there would be no more ASUN and without ASUN I can't the story so people will be able to make see any possible reason for this university to be here at all.

By resolving away the Greeks, we Well, as you can imagine, I was so could put an end to this university fas-

But what to do with so many fine,

things up for the general readers who upstanding, well-dressed humanitarian Greeks? We can't just send them home Ad has been hung up about this or the ghost of little Bo Peep would be running around weeping, "Where oh where have my little Greeks gone?"

I say lets herd them all over to the site of the proposed Lied Center for the Performing Arts and pass a resolution banishing the site and all the Greeks to South Africa where they would fit right

Of course, we'd have to send over a few clothing stores, hair salons and car dealerships, too, so they would feel more at home.

The basic idea, of course, is to end Greek/ASUN system.

I'm certainly tired of people saying the DN has anything against those fine, upstanding campus leaders. I know I don't, and everyone else knows it too.

South Africa is the place for them, and back here all that would be left would be the DN and the football team, which we could present in a positive light just like the other Lincoln news-

That's all anybody in this state cares

about anyway. This is after all, Nebraska, home of the good life where there is no room for questioning the actions of anyone, anything or anyplace. I know I certainly

Why, what would the forefathers of this fine country have said if they thought we were going to take them seriously when they spouted off such one-liners as "freedom of the press" and "equality?"

Sure, that stuff sounded nice on paper, but did anyone think they meant

Noooooooo!

Allen is a senior English major and Daily Nebraskan Arts and Entertainment editor.

Joint communique 'junk food'

there is that President Reagan is a manipulated cipher within his own government. Some members of his government probably think so, too, and hope to prove the point by stuffing their agenda into any communique issued jointly by the two sides at the conclusion of the summit.



George

Such a communique is utterly

7 isitors to Moscow say a theme optional and obviously unwise. There was none at the conclusion of the Gorbachev-Mitterrand summit. Mitterrand successfully avoided having an "arms-control summit." He constantly raised issues of human rights, including lists of specific cases. This moral tone and concreteness prevented the antiseptic and anesthetizing arcana of arms control from producing numbness, that absence of feeling that U.S. diplomats confuse with "an era of good feeling."

Mitterrand understands that communiques issued jointly by democratic and totalitarian leaders must be vapid, to the totalitarians' advantage. Such communiques are tissues of muzzy formulations falsely suggesting harmony

and moral symmetry.

No summit is short enough, but all are short. Divide the time (in Geneva, two days) in half to allow for meticulous translations. Then subtract time for stilted niceties. Obviously summits must be primarily ratifying occasions, unveiling work done elsewhere.

Diplomatic boilerplate often proves that even the platitudinous can be injurious. If a joint communique asserts, contrary to reason and history, that tensions yield only to dialogue, it trivializes this century's great division between freedom and its armed enemies. What is needed is not more dialogue but less Soviet misbehavior.

Please see WILL on 5