

Letters to the editor

In regard to the article in the Oct. 20 issue of the Daily Nebraskan, entitled "Ticket Transfer Motion Defeated."

The past three years that I've been here, regents have disgusted me to no end. They've increased tuition, increased salaries, are in the process of increasing graduate tuition, previously increased student football ticket prices and now they want to increase them again by 105 percent with possibly a student athletic fee that we don't now have and don't need in the future.

The big problem, or excuse seems to be that some students are profiteering off the university by scalping their football tickets. Why not? You've been ripping students off with your education cost hikes for the past few years, and I've seen no remarkable output from these price hikes.

To answer Prokop's and Raun's question, why we have this policy that is unenforceable, it is because we can't attach student I.D.'s directly to the student. When a student sells his ticket he passes his I.D. along with it.

As I recall, people were turned away at the Florida State game, because they had temporary I.D.'s, but had actually had a ticket that belonged to them. Sure it's a student's responsibility to have an updated I.D. for these purposes. But the idea is that identification shouldn't have to be presented because it's not visual, thus unenforceable. We don't need these people at each gate asking for identification until it does become visual.

Oh yes! Regent Raun, raising the present cost of a ticket from \$5 per game to \$10.25, is not only unnecessary, but ludicrous. Not only are you ripping off students, but you're boosting inflation on a local basis. What makes you think, once tickets sell for \$10.25 per game or \$71.75 for a seven-game season ticket, that this will compel a student not to sell his ticket, or make it harder for him. Because students will continue to sell their tickets and a lot more than \$10.25. Then you have the gall of saying once this policy is in effect, "there would be no problem with letting them do whatever they want with

their ticket."

In other words you're not helping the solution at all, but making it worse.

Let's examine this. Maybe this is what the regents want. Because once the tickets are increased to \$10.25 per game for everyone, the university will haul in an extra \$548,790 (six games) to \$640,255 (seven games) per year.

The only FAIR and alternate solution is visual student I.D.'s.

In the past, there was a proposal to make a move for having pictures on students' I.D.'s. It was voted down, because the university didn't want to pay for having it done. Using simple logic, students can choose between paying a \$36.75 hike on their season tickets, or paying a few dollars to have their picture on their student I.D. I'm all for it, not only will it wipe out ticket scalping and save students money, but it would also improve identification for administration purposes.

Second alternate approach, can be done by having the students' picture placed on the ticket itself, it worked for meal tickets in dorms, it can also work for football tickets, it would be a longer process but it can be done. The cost of doing this can be included on the sale of each ticket.

These are the only solutions that will work. I know it, the regents know it, but are not doing anything about it, students know it, and of course so do scalpers and their buyers who are the only ones that will complain about visual I.D.'s.

Finally, to answer Regent Hansen's decision on whether we need a student athletic fee and why we don't have one now is that our football team is a gold mine. Just a few weeks ago we had visits from two gentlemen, Colorado University President Arnold Weber and Football Coach Woody Hayes, both stating how such a great football team we have compared to the many others across the country, and how it helps hold this university together financially.

John Simpson
Junior, Business

Election blues hit some

I think the feminists probably are taking it the hardest. Reagan's election, that is. The gains in equal rights that women have made since the 1960s could be reversed. Maybe Reagan doesn't think a woman's place is in the kitchen or the bedroom. But you'd never know it by his campaign statements.

Then there are the blacks and other minorities who are probably suffering through the post-election blues today. If you remember, it was Reagan who said there was never a racial problem when he was growing up. Or at least he wasn't aware of one.

against Edward Kennedy and other liberals?

And the war mongers must be going crazy. Fighting is fashionable again. Speak loudly and carry a big tank. We'll show those Ruskies. They're not going to push Uncle Sam around anymore. Yeah! Rah! Go genocide!

And if you own a Datsun, you'd be smart to tuck it away in some out-of-the-way garage. The presidency is in the camp of big business again. The "Big Three" will probably convince Reagan to not only keep new foreign cars out of the country, but outlaw the ones that are already here.

And the oil companies. They must be dancing on their derricks this morning. They'll be able to give a whole new meaning to the word "obscure profits."

Am I exaggerating? Getting carried away? Probably. The United States will not doubt survive four years of Ronald Reagan. It survived four years of Jimmy Carter.

But allow me to reprint a portion of an Associated Press story that the Lincoln Star carried Monday morning. Reagan was asked about the hostage situation while campaigning in Ohio on Sunday. "... when a reporter asked him to comment and Reagan began to answer, a worried aide shouted to press secretary Lyn Nofziger.

"Lyn! Lyn! He's talking, Lyn!" Nofziger moved in quickly and hustled Reagan away ..."

I think I'll move to Australia.

val swinton

With that in mind, it's not hard to imagine where the budget cuts will come in his administration.

The environmentalists are no doubt crying in their returnable beer cans this morning. Reagan said trees are a prime source of pollution. They're worse than cars. For emission control standards, he'll probably pass out chain saws.

Members of the Moral Majority are probably happiest of all this morning.

That's scary. Can you imagine Reagan handing out an important cabinet post to someone like Jerry Falwell? Calling the press together once a year for a state of the heaven message? Pressing for laws

YOUR EYES ARE NO PLACE TO GET STUCK WITH A "BARGAIN."

The bottom line when buying contact lenses is more than just the "advertised price." At Family Contact Lens Center, we believe your vision and the health of your eyes deserve the finest professional care and materials available.

That's why we offer the widest variety of conventional contact lenses, plus a unique selection of designs and materials for people with special visual needs...and at a price you can afford. For example:

- **NEW ultra-thin Hydron Zero 6 soft lenses**
transmit more oxygen to the eye for greater comfort
- **NEW toric soft lenses**
that correct astigmatism
- **NEW ultra-thin hard lenses**
for sensitive eyes
- **NEW semi-soft oxygen permeable lenses**
for people unable to wear conventional hard lens materials

Call us at 483-4000 for more information on our free consultation service and 60 day trial period. You'll agree, it's quality visual care at an affordable price.



"Where the health of your eyes comes first."
6909 E. "O" 483-4000



Now. Three Great Names In Audio In A Single System.

- Klipsch Heresy Speakers in raw birch or black finish
- Bang & Olufsen Beogram 1600 turntable
- NAD Receiver

We selected 3 of the most cost-efficient components from our suppliers and matched them in a system which may well be the best buy on the market for your money. Especially if you want a system with Klipsch speakers that play loud and clean without distortion.

Individually, the components would cost \$1,375. You can have the complete system for only \$1,175.

ONLY at



THE SOUND ENVIRONMENT

Other Klipsch systems available under \$1,000. Major credit cards and other credit plans available.

5421 So. 84th St., Lincoln 423-8733