

# More out-of-state money needed

Only a halfway measure. That is what the Nebraska Legislature's Appropriations Committee took Wednesday when they gave first round approval to a \$2 a credit hour tuition increase for NU students.

The move, if passed by the entire Legislature, would permit the NU Board of Regents to raise the tuition of both resident and out-of-state students.

While NU resident students already pay one of the highest tuition bills in the Big 8 Conference (Approximately \$663 a year), nonresident students get a break when compared to other schools, even though they pay \$700 more than resident students.

In short, the university does not realize the income it should because 91.2 per cent of its students are classified as residents. One of the reasons for the current NU financial crunch is the lack of overall student support, even though the individual resident tuition rate is fairly high.

The highest nonresident percentage of students in the Big 8 attends the University of Colorado, where 34.7 per cent of the students are from out

of state.

Those nonresident students pay \$70.77 a credit hour, compared to the nonresident Nebraska students who pay \$48.25 per credit hour.

Clearly Nebraska does not have as attractive an environment for out of state students as Colorado. Nor are all of its departments academically excellent.

Yet the lack of tuition income from non-resident students has a circle effect on the quality of the university. It takes more state support to make the university more competitive for out-of-state students. And it will take more revenue from out-of-state students to make the state's investment pay off.

Resident students will grudgingly accept the almost certain tuition increase. Yet at the same time the student residents of a state attending a land-grant institution are asked to fork over more bucks for an education, the university needs to seriously explore gaining more income from (and greater numbers of) out of state students.

Vince Boucher

## letters to the editor

I'm afraid that Ms. Lundquist (Guest Opinion, March 3) has missed the boat as far as the effectiveness of a "revolutionary attitude" is concerned. The time for proper channels is over—they are a dead end. For at least the last three years students have taken their well-defined and well-argued proposals to both the State Legislature and the NU Board of Regents. I was present at the committee hearing of the alcohol bill along with 500 or so other students (which amounted to a packed house) who were very well-behaved, and the student spokespeople were very eloquent in their presentations. But this move through proper channels apparently didn't impress the legislators who must have wanted 10,000 to 15,000 students screaming, "booze, booze, booze," at the top of their lungs to show their support. I was also present at a spring 1974 regent's meeting (one of at least two times since 1973 that alcohol recommendations have been presented) when a well thought-out proposal was turned down. This is where proper channels have gotten us—nowhere. Now is the time for action and "student popularity in outstate Nebraska be damned." I agree that regents supporting student views should be elected, but I doubt that this is the only effective means of expressing student views. Mass violations are not "irrational actions" after everything else has failed. However, I would suggest that one more step be taken before violations are attempt-

ted, and this is a tuition boycott. Hit them where it hurts, the pocketbook—no student pay his/her tuition next semester until alcohol and/or 24-hour visitation is available on campus. Proper channels have been tried and they don't work. Now try action!

Mark Blongewicz

Independent candidate for ASUN President

### I wish I had their guts

In regard to the Daily Nebraskan's March 3 letter of "Keeping the Draft" by Phillip O. Stewart, I would like to know where you get the information that the army is made up of misfits and lazy drifters. The armed forces are turning people away because they have been arrested, or often for having too many traffic tickets. With the economy and jobs the way they are, young men and women are entering the service in such great numbers that the draft is not needed. We have enough personnel to conduct a "police action" again, if necessary. If a real war breaks out, men and M-16's will be of little use against ballistic missiles. In regard to all those who "wouldn't face the music," I think they had the guts and determination to stand up for what they thought was right. As a Vietnam veteran, I wish I would have had their guts.

Ken Johnson

## innocent bystander | Those who heed analyses really ought to be analyzed

By Arthur Hoppe

The long-awaited New Hampshire primary last week proved so much about the candidates to the political analysts that it has taken this long to analyze their analyses.

For example, Mr. Ford, who received 1,317 more votes than Mr. Reagan, thought he proved he could beat Mr. Reagan in New Hampshire. Unfortunately, the issue was clouded when Mr. Reagan said he'd actually won because he got more votes than he said he thought he would get, he said.

If Ford had been smart, he would have said that, too. Thus, many analysts feel New Hampshire proved Mr.

Ford wasn't smart. He obviously now needs a smart win in Florida or some place to erase the memory of his stupid New Hampshire victory.

### A lot more ran

The Democrats proved much more than the Republicans, primarily because there were a lot more of them running.

Jimmy Carter proved that a simple, folksy, ol' peanut agribusinessman from Georgia could get 22,895 New Hampshire citizens to vote for him.

Of these votes, a careful analysis shows, 14,203 liked Mr. Carter's simple folksiness, 2,678 liked peanuts, 1,403 liked agribusinessmen, 23 pulled the wrong lever and the balance were hungover liberals who thought they were voting for a black peanut farmer named Georgia Washington Carter.

But if Mr. Carter wins in Florida, he will have won in at least two states that border on the Atlantic Ocean.

Mr. Udall proved that 18,594 voters don't hold it against a man for losing an eye in a childhood accident. "Heck," said Vic Woolsey of Concord, N.H., "my wife lost the whole dang family car in the parking lot after a football game last autumn."

### Life isn't much fun

Mr. Udall had to divide the liberal vote with Mr. Bayh, Mr. Harris and Mr. Shriver. Mr. Shriver did the worst of the lot. But he had to split the Catholic vote, too, with Mrs. McCormack, who ran on the Pro-Life Ticket. Only 1,001 voted Pro-Life. This proved that life in New Hampshire isn't much fun this time of year.

Mr. Shriver proved there were only 6,649 liberal Catholic split votes in New Hampshire. But there was a Kennedy vote, which is similar. It went to Mr. Kennedy, all 221 of it. These are write-ins. Mr. Bayh almost never gets a write-in vote because hardly anyone knows how to spell his name.

Mr. Humphrey got a surprisingly large write-in vote of 4,347. He didn't make a single speech in New Hampshire. This certainly proved something.

But by getting 22,895 votes, every analyst agreed, Mr. Carter was immediately by far and away the front runner for the Presidential nomination of the nation's 50 million or so Democrats.

This proves that anyone who listens to any analyst ought to be analyzed.

(Copyright Chronicle Publishing Co. 1976)

# d.n. soapbox

ralph by ron wheeler



The Daily Nebraskan welcomes letters to the editor and guest opinions. Choices of material published will be based on timeliness and originality. Letters must be accompanied by the writer's name, but may be published under a pen name if requested. Guest opinions should be typed, triple-spaced, on nonerasable paper. They should be accompanied by the author's name, class standing and major, or occupation. All material submitted to these pages is subject to editing and condensation, and cannot be returned to the writer.

