Plans for a new student publications board, approved in February by the Board of Regents, still exist only on paper.

The current publications board, a standing committee of the Council on Student Life, will continue to function until confusion over the plans are resolved, according to CSL Chairman Franklin Eldridge, associate dean of the College of Agriculture.

The new publications board was the product of a regents' committee directed last fall with drafting guidelines for student publications.

In a report before CSL Feb. 15, Neale Copple, chairman of the regents' committee, said the committee "tried to set up a system where there would be no pre-censorship."

The new board, although ultimately responsible to the regents, would be autonomous with respect to campus organizations and administrators, according to Copple, director of the UNL School of Journalism.

The report provides that CSL elect the five student

members to the publications board.

"A newspaper isn't supposed to be at the beck and call of political groups," Copple said. Since the committee wanted to remove the board from political pressures, he said they recommended that CSL, instead of ASUN, appoint student members to the board.

Although Copple implied that the board would be autonomous from CSL. Eldridge pointed out that there's no statement in the committee's report which disassociates the board from CSI

Eldridge said Thursday he has written to Chancellor James Zumberge to ask for clarification of the matter. The current board's actions can be disapproved by CSL, Eldridge said, but the council "doesn't interfere in the day-to-day operations of

He said: "I don't see that the present board has lost any autonomy under CSL," and believes the new board could be given the same autonomy under the council.

However, Copple said the intention of the committee wasn't to set up a publications board as a subcommittee of another committee. "The publications board would then be responsible, in effect, to another publications board. The whole idea was to set up an autonomous board."

He confessed that the question of whether the board would continue under CSL "just didn't come up" in committee

The committee report charged that the current campus publications boards "are missing one vital element-representation by members of the professional

The recommended make-up of the publications boards would include two professional journalists from outside the University, five students and two faculty members.

The current board includes four students and three faculty

The duties of the publications boards include hiring and firing of the editors and business managers, approval or major business and legal matters, and judgement on matters involving the code of ethics.

Currently, the board chooses the entire executive staff, Under the new plan, the editor chosen by the board "would have the complete freedom to hire the staff and produce the

The current publications boards on both University campuses haven't acted on one of the publisher's major responsibilities, according to the report. "That responsibility is to provide the ultimate voice in decisions on the proper application of a code of ethics."

The committee also wrote guidelines which generally describe the code of ethics followed by the Nebraska professional press.

The report provides for a publications board executive staff composed of two student members of the publications committee, one faculty member and the professional adviser to assist the newspaper staff on a day-to-day basis and to act "as liaison between the student publications and the University constituencies."

In addition, a professional journalist from outside the University community would be hired as part-time adviser to assist the newspaper staff.

The report stipulates that the adviser will have no censorship powers but should offer post-publication criticism or prepublication advice as asked.

Eldridge said he doesn't foresee any change in the status of the present publications board before the selection of executives for next semester's student publications. Interviews are tentatively scheduled for March.

Hassles hamper Pub Board plans





The New Math of Stereo, or: how saving \$19.50 can be better than "saving" \$200.

Some fantastic advertised savings on stereo systems run upwards of \$200. At the risk of giving away "trade secrets", here's how it's done. Many stores that sell stereo equipment feature systems of a well-known standard brand of receiver, record changer, and cartridge. The speakers bear a name that is less familiar. What you usually pay for the package is equivalent to the list price of the receiver and the changer. You get the speakers essentially "free".

Does that mean such a package is a good value?

In most cases, it's not. The reason you pay so little for the speakers is that usually the store pays so little for the speakers. Not a bad idea, if it were possible to get good speakers for next to nothing -- but frankly, in our experience, it's not possible. And, if you don't get good speakers, it doesn't much matter how good the other components are. On the other hand, our Advent/Marantz/Dual system is unquestionably a good value:

e Advent Utility model speakers have greater frequency response and freedom of distortion than do many floor-standing speakers, some costing twice as much. Yet, they are small enough to be used as book shelf speakers, or as full-fledged furniture pieces.

The Marantz 2215 AM/FM stereo receiver delivers 15 watts per channel RMS across the entire audio range at less than .5% distortion (RMS is the most demanding and least flashy of the various power rating methods).

The Dual CS16 Turntable comes complete with base, dust cover and factory-installed Shure M75D cartridge, a package model of Dual's highly regarded 1215 automatic turntable.

The total of the regular selling prices of these components is \$579.45. At that price the system is a good value, because it offers sound performance and reliability worth every penny, given what else you could spend that amount of money on.

But we want our good value system to be a good buy too, so if you buy the complete system from us, we'll charge you \$559.95. That's a saving of \$19.50, not a huge saving, but a real one.





