## Student Tribunal . . .

ontinued from page 1

vith faculty representatives from the hree campuses as well, Varner said.

Members of the Tribunal have not ndicated whether they will present he statement to the Regents at their March meeting.

VARNER PROMISED to call the statement to the Board's attention.

Concerning the Tribunal's criticism hat the Regents are perpetuating an employer-employe relationship with students, Varner commented that he tever assumed such a relationship

The Tribunal statement reads:

"Be it resolved that the Student

Tribunal as a result of the hearings on February sixteenth and seventeenth register the following concerns.

The disciplinary problems which are being confronted with at this time are a result of the ambigious channels of communication available to students. The frustration caused by this situation has resulted in a student-administrative confrontation.

The students are not the employes of the Board of Regents. The past actions of the Board of Regents have implied that this relationship exists. This relationship between the Board of Regents and the students must be

In the process of learning, students are taught to question in order to understand. It is our opinion, that the Regents failure to respond to some of these questions has caused a disruptive environment in the University community.

The students respect the authority of the Regents to make policy decisions, but feel they also have an obligation to meet the needs of the academic community.

One of these needs is to have an effective channel of communication between those who make policies and those affected by those policies.

The Student Tribunal concludes that it is essential that some procedure be set up to climinate this deficiency, in order for the University to carry on its normal operation."

> Michael G. Canar Emily E. Cameron Kerry Winterer Kenneth Bruns Greg Stejskal Jon A. Hanson Howard L. Wiegers

## "If I could get my hands on my first grade teacher now, I'd break her chalk."



It all began in the first grade.

But don't blame your first-grade teacher. It wasn't her fault. It was the system she had to teach.

The old "run, Spot, run" method.

You had to read it out loud. Word by word. And that's the way it was until you became a second grader. Where your teacher asked you to read silently.

But you couldn't do it.

You probably stopped reading out loud. But you still said every word to yourseif.

If you're an average reader, you're probably reading that way now.

Which means you read only as fast as you talk. About 250 to 300 words a minute

And that's not fast enough any more.

Not when the average student has approximately 8 hours of required reading for every day of classes.

And since the amount of time in a day isn't about to increase, your reading speed will have to.

In order to handle it all.

The Evelyn Wood Reading Dynamics course can

With training, you'll be able to see groups of words. To read between 1,000 and 3,000 words per minute. Depending on how difficult the material is.

At any rate, we guarantee to at least triple your reading speed, or we'll refund your entire tuition. (98.4% of everyone who takes the course accom-

So don't waste time thinking about whom to blame. Come take a free introductory speed reading lesson. We'll increase your reading speed on the spot. It takes about an hour to find out how you can reduce your study time by 50% or more.

And it ought to be worth an hour of your time. To save thousands.



**Reading Dynamics** Some of our best friends were slow readers.

FREE INTRODUCTORY SPEED READING LESSON

LOCATION: 1601 "P" Street

DAYS: MONDAY THRU FRIDAY

TIMES: 1:00 P.M.-10:00 P.M. (Sat. 9:00 A.M.-Noon)

CALL FOR INFORMATION Phone: 435-2168

\*Note: Evelyn Wood Reading Dynamics is now taught on an INDIVIDUALIZED BASIS. You can receive individualized instruction in rapid reading, comprehension, retention and study skills in your own study material. Instruction hours are tailored to your own schedule and convenience.