

Regents to hear CUE?

The Committee for Undisrupted Education (CUE) announced Wednesday that they have requested an appearance before the Board of Regents at which time they will disclose "all facts" relating to last May's student strike.

Richard Recker, CUE treasurer, would not comment on the nature of the facts they wish to present to the Regents. Recker made the CUE announcement at Wednesday's Student Senate meeting.

In addition to requesting a

hearing before the Regents, Recker said CUE has sent their report on last May's three-day voluntary strike to the Legislative Council, the Legislative Budget Committee, the Legislative Fiscal Analyst and the state auditor.

CUE was organized last May in opposition to the student strike. CUE is not an official campus organization but has filed a letter of intent to become one.

Recker termed the report of the special ASUN committee,

investigating last May's ASUN expenditures, as "neither surprising nor especially enlightening."

In recent weeks there has been a heated controversy whether student fees were used to support last May's strike activity.

Peter Wirtz, Student Activities Director, said Wednesday that the state auditor's office has conducted an audit of the ASUN account in the Student Activities office. Wirtz said he does not know the results of the audit.

Commenting on CUE's announcement, ASUN President Steve Tiwald said, "After investigation by several groups and committees, I believe full disclosure of the facts has taken place."

He said he will be happy to attend the Regents' meeting. "I would like to see this matter cleared up once and for all."

The report of the ASUN committee, investigating strike expenditures, did not conclude whether student fee money was used in ASUN-supported strike activity.

ASUN expenditures from May to August totaled \$3,339 according to the report. However, no effort was made to determine if these expenditures were used for strike activity. In the same period ASUN expenditures exceeded income by \$753, according to the report.

One of the members of the

Turn to page 3

NEBRASKAN

THURS., OCT. 15, 1970 LINCOLN, NEB. VOL. 94, NO. 18

\$30,000

Senate approves budget

After an hour of discussion and dissection, the ASUN Senate Wednesday approved without opposition the largest budget in student government history — \$30,000.

The budget is now subject to approval of Peter Wirtz, Director of Student Activities, and Russell H. Brown, Dean of Student Development, before it is finally accepted by President Joseph Soshnik.

Eighty cents per student per

regular semester and fifteen cents per student per summer semester is made available to the senate for its activities through student fees.

Revisions to the budget presented last week included providing \$1,000 for a proposed Rural American Conference to be held in the spring semester.

Five hundred dollars was allocated to the Legal Rights Committee to cover committee expenses and the possible

retention of an attorney, if needed.

The Legislative Liaison Committee, the Electoral Commission and the ASUN office appropriations received budget cuts. The Liaison and Electoral Commission were both sliced \$500 and ASUN offices expenses lessened by \$200.

Editor Kelley Baker of The Nebraskan offered subscriptions of the campus newspaper to the Liaison Committee at a reduced rate in view of their cut funds. The subscriptions are to be sent to the members of the Nebraska Legislature.

Proposed expenditures were figured at \$29,982.36, but for the sake of bookkeeping, the figure was rounded to \$30,000, the maximum allotted to ASUN through student fees. The bulk of the budget was divided among salaries, \$5,209.80; the Student Economic Development Committee, \$3,400; Committee on Human Rights, \$3,775; the Time Out, \$2,490; World in Revolution \$1,500, and Rural American Conferences.

Student senate may vote on use of funds for Davis

The Student Senate will probably decide next Wednesday afternoon whether to use ASUN funds to help bring Michael Davis to campus.

Davis, the University of Michigan graduate student who was denied a job as a philosophy instructor at the University of Nebraska, said recently he has accepted an invitation to speak on campus the last week of October.

However the question of which student group will finance the Davis speech, estimated to cost about \$200, remained in question Wednesday.

A new student group, the Free Speech Movement, has said it will raise the money by soliciting private donations if necessary. That group would rather that either ASUN or the Nebraska Union Program Council finances or at least help pay for the trip.

The resolution on use of ASUN funds was introduced at the Wednesday Senate meeting in an unusual way.

Whenever three per cent of the full-time students enrolled at NU (about 600 this year) sign a petition requesting that the Senate pass a resolution, the student Senate is bound to at least consider that resolution.

Several members of the Free Speech Movement have been circulating the petitions the last several days, and presented the petitions with about 600 signatures at the Wednesday meeting.

The resolution requests: "that student fees shall be expended to enable Davis's immediate presence on campus to address the academic community and that an invitation shall be extended to the Board of Regents to appoint a representative to reply in open debate."

News Analysis
by GARY SEACREST
Nebraskan Staff Writer

The Council on Student Life which last year attacked racism on the campus threatened the status quo of the Greek system and liberated freshmen women begins its second year this week.

CSL was hailed last year as the dream of all students — student control over student life. But once CSL started making policy many student organizations complained that it had too much power.

Despite these claims, many questions remain concerning how the Council will use its power.

The Board of Regents gave CSL policy-making power over student social and out-of-classroom activities, subject to approval by the Regents. However, CSL produced little policy output in its first year. The Council, with its student majority, spent much of its

time organizing itself and studying various campus problems.

No charges

Lancaster County Attorney Paul Douglas Wednesday said he will not file charges in connection with the forcible entry of the University Military and Naval Science Building last May.

The names of five or six students had been furnished to Douglas last week by the University. Douglas said he had informed Gail Gade, director of campus security, that there was nothing in the evidence presented him to show that any of the individuals broke into the building or did any damage.



HEP students

"HEP gives most of us a chance to receive something we never had an opportunity to get before— an education." See story page 6.

CSL begins second year

CSL Chairman John W. Robinson succinctly explained the lack of policymaking: "You have to study a problem before you can act on it."

However, significant action could come from CSL very soon. CSL's Ad Hoc Committee on Racial Discrimination, which nearly produced cardiac arrest in the Greek system last semester, is expected to finish their report this month.

The Committee has been investigating all student organizations to determine if the organization's membership is open to persons of all races and what steps the group has taken to eliminate racial prejudice. The Ad Hoc Committee could recommend to CSL probation or suspension for any organization whose policies or actions are unsatisfactory.

The Committee's Chairman Russell Brown said recently that the Committee has not decided yet if it will apply sanctions against any

organization.

The Council has also been asked by President Joseph Soshnik to study three recommendations of the Spelts Commission, which investigated last spring's disturbances at the University. The Spelts Commission proposals that CSL will be studying for possible action are:

—The University should change its rules on student conduct which are now too general and paternalistic. Acts which are prohibited and maximum penalties for violations should be specified.

—The organization of Student Affairs should be altered to clearly separate counseling functions from those of factual investigation, prosecution, and adjudication in situations potentially requiring disciplinary action.

—The possibility should be explored of improving channels of communication between students and staff by decentralizing non-disciplinary stu-

Turn to page 2