



Burrows:

'It's all for the people'

"I don't want my campaign labeled a student campaign, a farmer campaign or any other. It is for all the people," Bill Burrows, one of three contenders for first district Congressman, told students.

Burrows, a farmer, National Farmers Organization (NFO) member and Democrat, talks about the war, personal freedom and his opponents, but is basing his campaign on economic issues.

Speaking to students in Abel Hall Monday night, he said, "I'm afraid of economic collapse in this nation".

Comparing Nixon's economic planning to Herbert Hoover's in 1929 he stated that the government must act as a "referee" on the economy. In his opinion, price and wage controls will soon be a big issue in Congress and will probably be necessary.

In Burrow's view some of the rich have found it cheaper to buy politicians than pay taxes. He said he wants to close the loopholes and broaden the tax base.

In reference to Charles Thone, his Republican opponent, Burrows claimed Thone's chief experience has

been working for Roman Hruska in obtaining tax write offs for big business.

Burrows concedes that his funds are much more limited than Thone's because "I haven't any contacts in the oil business." However, Burrows hopes people will start voting for candidates with little monetary support because "maybe they don't have their hands tied."

When asked about Clair Callan, his Democratic opposition, Burrows replied that, "he

Jump to page 6

THE NEBRASKIAN

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1970 LINCOLN, NEB. VOL. 94, NO. 13

Committee reports on Davis case

The University Liaison Committee said in a report Tuesday that they "are not in complete agreement with the decision of the Regents" to reject the teaching appointment of Michael Davis. But, the Committee refused to release the reasons why the Regents rejected Davis.

The Liaison Committee stated they could not relate the full factual background of the Davis case because their information was obtained in confidence through discussion with the Board of Regents and through information possessed by the Philosophy Department, the College of Arts & Sciences, and the President's office.

However, the Committee's report urged the Regents "in the interest of clarity, to reconsider their reluctance" to

state publicly the facts of the Davis case.

Davis, a graduate student at the University of Michigan, submitted a complaint to the NU chapter of the American Association of University Professors after the Board of Regents rejected his appointment as a philosophy instructor.

The Committee said that from what they have been able to uncover the Davis case was not initiated by any specific complaint. The information upon which the Regents action was based was not available to the Philosophy Department at the time of its initial recommendation of the appointment, according to the report.

The Davis case falls in the "area in the hiring process where subjective judgments

must be made and where reasonable men may disagree," according to the Committee's report.

"The Board may well have deprived some students of what appeared to be a teacher with excellent academic credentials," stated the report. "Yet despite this, we have concluded that the action was taken within the borders of the legitimate discretion of the Board and that there is no threat intended to the fragile fabric of academic freedom."

The nine-member Committee believes the Regents are willing to "rely heavily" on any statement prepared by the faculty which outlines the appropriate qualities for prospective members. "A large part of the problems of this case might well have been

resolved had such a statement been thoughtfully prepared prior to this action of the Board."

A statement of faculty responsibilities is now being prepared by the Liaison Committee and is scheduled to be presented to the Regents by Nov. 15.

The Liaison Committee report stated that the interval between the date Davis was offered the position subject to approval by the Regents (May 15) and the day he was rejected (Aug. 18) was too long considering the importance of the issue and the inconvenience to all parties. Davis, if accepted, was to have begun teaching this fall.

The report urged the Regents to make "prompt and fair" restitution to Davis if the in-

terval created any undue financial burden to him.

An open hearing to discuss the Liaison Committee report was held Tuesday afternoon. However, many of the audience's questions were not answered by the Committee since they refused to reveal the facts behind the Davis case.

Liaison Committee member David Levine said the Regents "did a reasonable job of investigating" the charges against Davis. However, he said the Liaison Committee "didn't check the accuracy of the Regents' information."

Richard Gilbert, Liaison Committee chairman, said the report "is an opinion and is not a final judgement on the case."

About 40 persons attended the meeting.



The Liaison Committee . . . "not the final judges."