



Numb . . . ber.

New lottery system may be re-examined

In spite of the tension and controversy surrounding the new lottery draft system, it is very possible that the system will be changed again next year, according to Nebraska's Director of Selective Services.

Col. Lee Liggett said Tuesday that Congress will probably examine the entire system next year. "For this reason, it is hard to speculate what the draft situation will be in coming years."

He added that Congress may change the system or may leave it in its present form.

However, there are some questions about the lottery system as it now stands.

Liggett said that the new system is simply a method of determining the order in which eligible men are selected for military service. It replaces the old system, where the oldest eligible men were taken first, he added.

The numbers drawn Monday night establish the priority for all men between 19 and 26, he explained. However, only those without deferments will be immediately affected by their numbers.

Students with deferments will keep these deferments in the same way as under the old system. But, when they graduate or otherwise lose their student deferments they will be placed in the draft pool for that year with the priority number drawn Monday.

Yearly drawings are planned under the system to set priority of calls for new 19-year-olds.

He explained that a person who loses

Applications for staff positions now being taken

Applications are now being taken for second semester staff positions on the Daily Nebraskan.

Application forms may be picked up in Room 34, Nebraska Union.

Those wishing to apply for editor, managing editor, news editor or business manager must turn in applications to the Nebraska office by 5 p.m., Dec. 16. Interviews by the University Publications Board for these four positions will be the afternoon of Dec. 17.

Applications for other staff positions, including reporters, copy editors, business assistants can be turned in any time before mid-January.

The last edition of the Nebraskan for first semester will be Dec. 17.

his deferment after his number group has been called is placed at the top of the call list. If the number group has not been called, the registrant is placed in the pool until the end of the year.

If his number group is not called by the end of the year, the individual moves into a category that will only be drafted if the 19-year-old pool is exhausted, Liggett said.

He added that during 1970 the selection pool will consist of all eligible men between 19 and 26. In future years the pool will consist only of eligible 19-year olds and those older who have lost their deferments, Liggett said.

Anyone currently under postponement of induction from the old system is not affected by the new system, Liggett said. They are still under obligation to report for service when their postponement ends.

State drug arrests increase

by Randy York
Nebraskan Staff Writer

If arrest statistics involving marijuana mean anything, more people in Nebraska are using it.

The Nebraska State Patrol drug control division is responsible for the increase in the state's drug arrests.

Established by the legislature in 1967, the division made 40 arrests in its first year of existence. That number grew to 232 last year and may reach 500 this year, according to Lt. Wayne Rowe, the officer in charge of the division.

"We had made 413 arrests by Nev.

Tensegrity Mastelights up

Tensegrity Mastelights is coming to Lincoln in conjunction with the December Moratorium Peace Ball planned for December 13 at the Nebraska Union Ballroom and Centennial Room.

Tensegrity Mastelights is a Colorado-based light show which derives its name from the workings of American inventor and architect, Buckminster Fuller, according to the show's promoter Steve Pickelner of Fort Collins.

Fuller dealt with geodesic domes and interlocking polygons as well as curves and three dimensional sectors. There is, says Pickelner, a bit of all of this in the show.

Mastelights can best be described by someone who is really hooked on esoteric meditation, he adds.

Pickelner himself, is studying fluid

Athletic Department's place in NU structure to be studied

by Carol Anderson
Nebraskan Staff Writer

The Council on Student Life discussed Tuesday what questions they will ask Athletic Director Bob Devaney about the Athletic Department's position in the University structure when he appears before the Council in January.

Controversy over the Athletic Department flared when campus police, under direction of the Athletic Department, closed down a Homecoming day charity concession stand operated by students near Memorial Stadium.

Final authority

The CSL discussed at length who has final authority over the use of University property. Vice Chancellor G. Robert Ross said the Regents delegated final authority to the University's business manager who receives recommendations from Student Activities. On the concession stand issue, Ross said, "I feel personally that there was more than one instance where poor judgement was used."

Members concluded that the chain of authority should be emphasized so that students know where to go for valid permission to carry out activities.

Chairman John W. Robinson questioned the right of the University business manager to make decisions relating to student life.

"We should look at the Athletic Department to see if there is room for student participation in its decisions," Robinson continued, in reply to Ross's statement that the Athletic Department is responsible only to President Soshnik.

The CSL chairman said, "I find it shocking that a charitable concession stand could be shut down because it was thought to be in competition with the Athletic Department."

Ross said that neither the campus police, nor the Athletic Department knew that the stand was being operated for charity.

The Council passed Randy Prier's motion to incorporate the Housing Policy Committee under the CSL's direct influence. Student chairman of the committee of four faculty and three students, Bob Brandt, said being under the council supervision would give his committee direction.

Conflict of interests

Student Rich Page expressed concern about a possible conflict of interests, since one of the responsibilities of the Housing Policy Committee is to fill up the dorms. This might be incongruent with the Council's goal of working to improve student life, Page said.

Robinson appointed a subcommittee to study rules for the operation of the council and another to study the student self-determination resolution.

Tuesday's CSL meeting was much friendlier than the pre-Thanksgiving session, which ended amid a few ruffled feathers and flaring debate. Opinions clashed in a 10 to 4 vote to refer the self-determination resolution to a study committee.

The resolution, introduced by Ed Icenogle, named as a council goal maximum self-determination for students in their non-academic and social life. Icenogle strongly opposed Ross' motion to send his resolution to a study committee.

"I see a need for a study group to determine how to implement council

policies, but not on this issue which is so basic to a democratic society," he argued.

CSL faculty members were more reluctant to act immediately on the self-determination issue because of reaction following speedy passage Nov. 17 of another Icenogle resolution calling for equality under University rules according to race and sex.

To get faculty members' support, student advocates of the equality measure had said it would serve only as a policy guideline, having no specific application in itself. But following passage of the resolution, several student members said in a Daily Nebraskan interview that the measure could mean no hours for women. This raised hopes among some students that abolition of women's hours was imminent.

Student members Randy Prier, Bill Chaloupka and Icenogle hurried to assure several miffed faculty colleagues that their statements had been interpreted too broadly but failed at that time to reinstate the Council's initial atmosphere of trust and cooperation.

"We're not being fair to students if we don't say what we mean," Dr. John Goebel stated. "It's my understanding that if we make policy, then students have every right to make their own rules like coed visitation or no women's hours." But the council failed to determine a method for implementing its policies.

Robinson referred to the Student in an Academic Community document which excluded sex in its statement of equality and said, "We wouldn't have been so quick to pass your motion last week (equality resolution) if we had known this."

Exclusion, a mistake

Icenogle replied that the exclusion was a mistake in the document and asked, "How are we bound by the Student

in an Academic Community document?"

Walt Strong was concerned that distortion of Council resolutions students may lose faith in CSL.

"I think students feel pretty good about the Council because of last week," Strong said referring to passage of the equality measure.

Rich Page agreed that the best way to keep students interested and optimistic is for the Council "to do things."

A proposal to expand the Sandoz Hall optional hours experiment to all women except first semester freshmen was introduced by Mary Lund acting in her capacity as AWS vice president.

Page termed the move progressive, but touched off vehement discussion by saying it conflicted with the council's newly passed equality resolution. The AWS proposal excluded first semester freshmen from the optional hours system and retained parental permission for women under 20 as a requirement.

Randy Prier submitted an amendment that would have revamped the AWS motion. Prier's resolution, which was defeated, would have given each living unit the power to "make their own social rules and regulations with respect to hours which shall take effect the first day of second semester, 1969-70."

Ross said he was concerned about procedure "not whether it is a valid amendment." He said it would be improper for the council to change the AWS proposal.

Chaloupka questioned whether AWS should have more say over women's hours than the individual living unit and proposed referring Prier's amendment to AWS for discussion.

Robinson said Prier's amendment was really a new motion, because it questioned the authority of AWS and asked both Prier and Miss Lund to reconsider their motions and report back to the council. Both refused and the AWS motion passed with a vote of 9-5.

Miss Lund told members informally that she would submit Prier's motion to AWS for discussion.



Dr. John W. Robinson, CSL Chairman.

Coed optional hours system expanded in latest CSL action

by John Dvorak
Nebraskan Staff Writer

Student self-determination and women's hours continue to be major issues in the Council on Student Life.

An optional hours experiment now underway in Sandoz and Smith Women's Residence Halls was expanded to include any women who have been enrolled in the University for one semester, as a result of CSL action on Nov. 25.

Under the policy statement, approved the last day of classes before Thanksgiving vacation, women students will select their own hours.

Parental okay

Parental permission will be needed for girls under 20 years old. The policy statement goes into effect at the beginning of second semester.

Some CSL members, however, feel the policy statement contradicts another policy statement CSL approved on November 18. That statement said that rules and regulations concerning social affairs of students shall not discriminate on the basis of sex.

Randy Prier, a student CSL member, said the optional hours policy is in "direct contradiction" with the non-

discrimination policy approved the week before.

He pointed out that the parental permission clause and the fact that first semester freshmen women are not eligible for the optional hours policy is discriminatory.

Dean of Student Affairs G. Robert Ross, secretary of the CSL, feels differently, however.

Approval of the optional hours system is a step towards implementation of the non-discrimination statement, Ross said.

Own hours policy

Ross would not specifically say if he favored abolishing women's hours.

"I do support the idea of women and men students having the same rules," he said. "And I have always supported proposals that would allow women's living units to decide their own hours policy."

Some student leaders had interpreted the CSL non-discrimination policy statement to mean that women's living units could abolish women's hours at will.

Dr. John W. Robinson, associate dean of the College of Arts and Sciences and chairman of the CSL said the statement was intended to be a guideline for future enactments.

A CSL policy statement concerning student self-determination in non academic affairs was referred to special subcommittee. It is scheduled to emerge at the Jan. 6, 1970 CSL meeting for consideration.

The resolution had been proposed by CSL student member Ed Icenogle. It

said basically that all rules, regulations and policy of the University shall permit maximum self-determination by individual students.

Several CSL members voiced concern over the wording of the resolution, although they said they were in agreement with the principles of the resolution.

CSL member Bill Chaloupka defended the postponement of the self-determination issue. The Council wanted to make certain of the interpretations and implications of the resolutions, Chaloupka said.

One of those implications is the issue of coed visitation. According to Icenogle's original motion, student living units would have the right to decide whether or not to have coed visitation.

CSL members are concerned about coed visitation. Robinson, at a recent meeting, stated that coed visitation for undergraduates may still be several years away.

Additional support

Two University administrators have stated they could support various coed visitation plans. Ross recommended last year that a request by a graduate student group for coed visitation be approved by the Regents.

Housing Director Ely Meyerson has also said publicly that he could support a limited system of coed visitation.

"These issues of coed visitation and self-determination are not dead," according to Chaloupka. He predicted that ASUN and CSL members would be meeting informally with students in the future to provide more information on the self-determination issue.

Bill Russell to speak Thursday in Union

The man who led the Boston Celtics to 11 world basketball titles in 13 years will speak at 3:30 p.m. Thursday in the Nebraska Union Centennial Room.

Bill Russell, who retired this summer as player-coach of the Celtics to pursue a career in the entertainment field, was regarded as a revolutionary force in basketball.

Prior to Russell the big man in basketball was used primarily as an offensive weapon. Russell was given credit for capitalizing on the blocked shot and other defensive techniques which have become essential for players to master to make it in the pro ranks.

Russell's accomplishments have not been limited to his pro basketball career. The University of San Francisco was unbeaten in 59 games, including a national championship, when he played there. He won a gold medal playing on the 1956 Olympic team.

When Russell announced his retirement in Sports Illustrated, he said that

there are two types of basketball players — the professional and the mercenary. He said if he continued to play he would be forced to become a mercenary.

He has played in more than 3,000 games since he first touched a basketball in 1943.

Russell became the first black to coach full-time in a major league of any sport when he took over for Red Auerbach as coach of the Celtics in 1967.

Off the court Russell's interests include ownership of a restaurant and a rubber plantation in Liberia. His interest in jazz has led to his collection of over 4,000 records.

Russell's three children Jacob, Kenyatta, and Buddha are named after his heroes in history.

His appearance is part of the Nebraska Union Speakers Series sponsored by the Talks and Topics Committee.