

Senate Resolution Opposes "Imposition"

'Greeks Should Decide Own Rushing System'

By ED ICENOGLE
Senior Staff Writer

Student Senate Wednesday passed by a 30-4 vote a resolution strongly opposing any Board of Regents' "imposition of deferred rush" on University Greeks.

Following the proposal of five amendments, three of which were passed, the resolution proposed by Senator Phil Bowen was approved by roll call vote.

The three approved amendments, intended to limit and clarify the resolution, emphasized opposition to the Regents' "imposition" on campus fraternities and sororities, rather than opposition to deferred rush.

'SATISFIED'

"I'm quite satisfied with the resolution as it came out," Bowen said, after the Senate meeting at Abel Hall.

"The amendments clarified it and took care of any flaws that may have been in it."

Two of the amendments approved by the Senators changed the wording of the resolution to include the word "imposition."

Senator Al Spangler supported those amendments, stating that they were needed to clarify the resolution.

"I don't really give a damn about the deferred rush," Spangler said, "since I am not involved in it."

THEIR DECISION

"But the fraternities and sororities should be deciding whether or not there will be deferred rush and not the Regents."

The resolution explains that the Ad Hoc Housing Committee Housing Recommendations approved by the Regents in June 1967 state that "freshmen may live in any type of on-campus living unit."

Since sororities and fraternities are on-campus housing by definition, the Regents would violate University policy by imposing deferred rush on the Greeks, according to Bowen.

Spangler also proposed an amendment striking a phrase in the resolution's final clause that would have resolved that the Student Senate "supports the conclusions reached in the interfraternity

Council and Panhellenic reports."

INTERPRETATION

Those reports had indicated that deferred rush would be more harmful than helpful to those persons involved.

Spangler explained that the amendment to strike the phrase, which was passed, was proposed to eliminate an interpretation of the resolution as anti-deferred rush.

"The Greeks may turn around next week and vote for deferred rush," he said. "I am just saying that it is their decision."

An amendment by Phil Boardman to strike the first clause of the resolution and an amendment by Jim Ludwig to strike the third clause were rejected by the Senate.

FULFILL PLEDGE

When presenting the resolution, Bowen called upon the majority of senators who ran under the Party for Student Action last spring to fulfill their pledge to implement the student Bill of Rights.

"Deferred rush is a contradiction and a denial of Article 5B of the Student Bill," Bowen said.

Article 5B states that students have the right to a voice in making University policy.

On a roll call vote, 30 approved the resolution:

Phil Bown, Cheryl Adams, Mark Schreiber, Al Spangler, Kathy Augustine, Bill Bmoley, Bob Bartee, Susie Phelps, John Jorgenson, Kris Bitner, Barb Doerr, John Hall, Jane Klimes, Susan Diemeyer, Helen Carsen, Twila Stangle, Kathy Kuester, Phil Boardman, Roger Lott, Tom Greer, Mike Naden, Albert Felber, Charles Juricek, Craig Dreezen, John Wirth, Loren Schulze, Tom Moran, Terry Grasmick, Dennis Schulte and Bob Peterson.

ASUN Resolution

Whereas: the Ad Hoc Housing Committee Housing Recommendations approved by the Board of Regents in June 1967 specify that "freshmen may live in any type of on-campus living unit," and further defines on-campus housing as including "dormitories, sororities, fraternities and co-ops; and

Whereas: both Interfraternity Council and Panhellenic Association have stated in their reports that change to deferred rush would be more harmful than beneficial for all parties involved; and

Whereas: the imposition of deferred rush by the Board of Regents would represent a negation of the student's role in University decision making; Therefore: be it resolved that the Student Senate of ASUN for the reasons cited above strongly opposes the imposition of any change from the present rush system to a deferred rush system for fraternities and sororities.



NEON SYMBOLS OF THE GOOD LIFE . . . provide a kaleidoscope of night time images. Story on page 4.

Russ Brown: Federal Actions Show Aggressive Interest In Racial Discrimination

By DAVE BUNTAIN
Senior Staff Writer

Recent actions by the federal government reflect a "more aggressive interest" in the problem of racial discrimination on university campuses, according to Russ Brown, assistant dean of student affairs.

Brown was referring to the "compliance report" that the University is filling out this fall in connection with the Civil Rights Act. All universities must fill out the reports in order to receive federal funds.

The reports request information concerning the school's admissions practices, student enrollment and services, facilities, activities, and programs.

Brown said that there is little chance that the school's federal funds could be cut off, although two problem areas may draw questions from the federal administrators.

The first concerns the effort which the University

makes to attract qualified high school students of minority races.

The compliance report asks the University what methods it uses to inform minority applicants of their opportunities for admission.

The University makes no special effort to recruit these minority students, Brown said.

The second area that may provoke questions is the school's practices in extra-curricular and social activities, especially fraternities and sororities, he said.

Brown pointed out that the Greek groups are most likely to be criticized, because their apparent discrimination is "most obvious."

He said that, "it is true that each group has signed a statement that they do not discriminate and that they comply with the Regents' statement, which says that selection for membership in a group can not be made on the basis of race.

"But we're faced with a situation of nearly 50 groups. The question is, how many non-whites are there in these groups?"

This question has been asked by the federal government at other universities, Brown said.

"The intent of the government report is to see that federal funds will not be used to support institutions that condone discrimination," he pointed out.

Brown emphasized that the discrimination problem has interested the University, "long before the federal government began to show its interest."

"I would hope that the basis for increased interest in the problem is 'social concern' rather than the question of federal funds," he said.

A number of University staff members have been concerned with Greek discrimination, Brown added, pointing out that "many were involved with student

groups looking at the problem last year."

He cited the Greek Week seminar held last spring as an indication of rising concern on the part of fraternity and sorority leaders themselves.

In the same way that the government is asking the University, the school must ask the Greek organizations what they are doing to seek members from the minority races, he said.

If someone produces evidence of discrimination in Greek organizations, "the University is committed to take appropriate action," Brown said.

"Our policy is that groups or programs or policies that operate on the basis of racial discrimination should be changed or eliminated."

Brown suggested, "there is a lot of evidence to show that people are considering this more than ever before. It suggests that the time of directly confronting is getting closer."

University Regents Give Approval For AWS Junior Key Proposal

The University Board of Regents gave final approval Friday to the AWS sponsored junior key program, according to Mimi Rose, key chairman.

AWS proposed the expansion of this program last

spring after evaluating the senior key program. Changes were recommended to include junior women and all coeds 21 years of age in the program.

All living units have discussed the merits of the program and at this time 13 sororities and all women's dormitories have granted junior key privileges.

ALL DORMITORIES

Junior and senior members of seven sororities and all women's dormitories are already using their keys. Alpha Chi Omega, Alpha Omicron Pi, Delta Delta, Delta, Delta Gamma, Kappa Alpha Theta, Kappa Kappa Gamma, and Sigma Kappa have permitted key privileges.

Alpha Delta Pi, Alpha Xi Delta, Delta Zeta, and Phi Mu sororities are waiting for their keys to arrive.

Senior members of Chi Omega, Gamma Phi Beta, Pi Beta Phi, and Zeta Tau Alpha have been using their keys. Junior members of these houses have not been granted key privileges.

RECENTLY APPROVED

The junior key system recently passed in the Sigma Delta Tau house. Members were waiting only for Regent approval.

A member of Alpha Phi sorority said that they received their keys Wednesday and they will probably be able to use them this weekend.

Pharmacy To Present Open House

How those unreadable doctor's notes ever become medicine may have the mystery explained away through the Open House exhibits presented by the University of Nebraska's College of Pharmacy on Saturday, October 7-8, at Lyman Hall.

In observation of National Pharmacy Week, the exhibits operated by the student branch of the American Pharmaceutical Association in cooperation with the faculty, will offer the public a chance to observe tablet and ointment manufacturing.

Independent Study Program To Expand

A new English program of independent study initiated last summer will be expanded to include more courses next year, according to Neshia Neumeister, former ASUN senator in charge of the program.

Future plans include take-home courses for a larger number of students in a variety of areas. English novels, Continental novels, Elizabethan poetry, philosophy, sociology and history are being considered, said Miss Neumeister.

English 229, a pilot take-home literature course, was designed to stimulate individual study. Students worked independently during the summer and met formally twice with Dr. Robert Hough, associate dean of Arts and Sciences after semester classes began.

After the two lecture-discussion sessions a final examination was given to the students on a pass-fail basis. The entire class passed the course.

According to a questionnaire distributed to the students, participants are pleased with results of the new venture.

Unanimous opinion indicated that the material was very adequate and the students felt that the course should be expanded and remain within the pass-fail system. One student said, "This is what education should be, on your own, with some guidance where it is needed, but with the mickey mouse cut out."

Dr. Hough, who compiled and presented the final examination, said that the test proved that the students were conscientious and comprehended the material.



DETERMINED TO AVOID A SOAKING . . . this student kept an umbrella close at hand in preparation for Wednesday's sudden downpour.