Outstanding Nebraskans

The Daily Nebraskan's Outstanding Nebraskans this semester are both unfortunately leaving the University, but the many services they have contributed

Dr. John Lonnquist Sr.-the outstanding faculty member-and Bob Samuelson - the outstanding student-are unique as a faculty member and a student respectively for the time they have devoted to the school and for the great degree of respect they hold.

Lonnquist, who has been a University faculty member for 24 years, is rated as one of the world's most respected geneticists. He is greatly responsible for the present distinction of Nebraska's agronomy department.

His contributions are praiseworthy for his work both as an instructor and counselor for agronomy students and for his research in crop production.

Lonnquist's reputation as a teacher not only with his students but all over the country is only comparable to the distinction he has received for his knowledge of Latin American agricultural problems and his research with corn and other

Colleagues of Lonnquist have shown their high regard for him by awarding him countless agronomy and crop science awards and honors. Students at the University also deeply appreciate this man's contributions and have likewise tried to show him recognition with an honorary membership in the University Agronomy Club, an honorary membership in the Innocents Society and by choosing him Outstanding Nebraskan.

Samuelson is an outstanding student

who has never once stopped playing an active role in the University or doing his utmost to represent the students' feelings with hard work and intelligence.

As an undergraduate, he proved in his senior year to be one of Student Senate's best senators. As a graduate student, he continued this year to be unusually active and the foremost of all student leaders.

When he was a student senator, he was instrumental in successfully representing the students' opposition to a tuition increase. Without his research and extremely detailed and authoratative report, it is likely that tuition would have been increased at that time.

This year as the first second-vice president of ASUN, Samuelson occupied the job as it was envisioned. He often was responsible for providing the extra spirit or impetus that student government needs to get things done.

Samuelson is truly an outstanding person who judges people by their true worth, who is always full of life and enthusiasm and who is always ready to try something new which might provide better results or prove more effective.

He has shown in his five years at the University that he is a leader who leads by hard work, by understanding other people and by really trying to accomplish something real and worthwhile.

Together Dr. Lonnquist and Bob Samuelson represent two Nebraskans who have contributed to the school way beyond duty. Both the state and the University will lose two of their most outstanding citizens when they leave.

The peaceful snatch

... by Steve Abbott

The New Left movement has proved to be offensive not only to insensitive, complacent dolts, but also to some discriminating intellectuals and average men of good will. Why is this? Partly because of style (shabb uncleanliness, obnoxious emotionalism, callow rebelliousness), but more solidly because there seemed to be nothing beneath such "style" in these radicals. There was no positive direction, no ideology, no explanation even for the simple question: "What are you trying to do and why?"

Radicals are often more confused than those who watched them. Will the movement die? It is just a sensational fling after all? Those who puffed paranoiacally about fascism have been showing the house no sustained analysis proving, or even clarifying, the nature of the charges. But at last a breakthrough has come and it is worthy of celebration in this last column-our answer to the peaceful snatch.

"Containment and Change" by Carl Ognesby and Richard Shaull (MacMillan, 1967) is a milestone in American political thought. There is no doubt about that. Ogiesby's literary style alone ranks him with such writers as Carl Becker, Peter Gay, and Edmund Wilson. But it is not merely style that marks the brilliance of this book. In a tightly reasoned, welldocumented presentation, Oglesby blows the lid clean off our mythical image of ourselves. He exposes the hoax in official government reasons for world affairs and digs into attack against the bedrock reasons of our foreign policy.

Richard Shaull, the book's co-author, is a good foil to Oglesby. He is much older, has a theological background which was tested by twenty years of revolutionary experience in South America, and differs sharply in his approach to middleclass America. In style Shaull cannot match his colleague. He emits no memorables phrases, paints no fascinating metaphors, arrays no great assemblage of documentary evidence. Nevertheless it is Shaull speaks the most practical advice. He makes the significant point that men need some sort of ideology or shared ultimate values to give meaning and direction to their labors:

Involvement Essential

. . ideology provides an opportunity for such self-understanding-on the part of individual, group and nation — it can help to sustain those threatened by change, at the same time that it serves as a dynamic factor in the social struggle. Ideologic thought stresses involvement in a particular situation as an essential condition for arriving at true insight; it is the result of a collective enterprise, in which the masses can also participate and contribute to a gradual process of social awakening and reflection." (p. 212)

As a jibe at Oglesy Shaull says: "No country or community can be understood entirely in terms of its past," and again, "Decisive as self-interest is in the shaping of the politics of community and na-

tion it is not the entire picture." I might pause to point out one glar-ing exaggeration that Oglesby makes. He wants to prove that America is a "war economy" and that the "economy is addicted to federal subsidy in general and military subsidy in particular." Time and again he returns to this point. In one place he suggests that "directly and indirectly through the multiplier effect defense may account for as much as a quarter of the nation's gross:

The first four chapters are the strong-est Marshalling unusual restraint, Ogles-by lets leading businessmen and states-

speak for themselves. Fact after fact, statement after statement piles up until suddenly one realizes that the crashing litany of facts about Americans are not in accord with the assumptions we have always held. We are shocked, amazed, angered. Did readers of Freud and Jung balk when they first confronted the fact of their unconscious mind? "No it can't be," we say. We recheck the quotes, we recheck the lines of argument-there are some flaws, there are some mistakes, but still we are faced with overwhelming evidence that our previous assumptions were wrong. We were deluded.

I do not claim Oglesby is completely objective. He is, as he admits, a definite partisan. Every so often a subtle Marc Antony pyrotechnic not claim . . . but.") or a backhanded compliment slips out ("no less brilliant than bizzare"), but these literary devices do not unduely mar the basic honesty of his presentation.

Labels Meaningless

Chapter five, the Vietnam Case, starts a bit strained but ends with an igeniously startling New Left view, Goldwater hawks are praised as being even more humane than Administration liberals, for the hawk at least "may lay claim to the stark compassion of MacBeth: 'If it were done when 'tis done, then 'twere well it were done quickly.' Following Murray Rothbard, Oglesby shows us the meaning-lessness of current political labels: Left, Right, et. al.

Chapter six, the Revolted, is an interesting philosophical analysis of what it means to be a revolutionary and how one gets to be that way. This chapter starts with Camus but then takes issue with him and goes into a more precise and realistic socio-psychological understanding. While I am no economist, I have discussed this charge with those who are. Here is the reply of Pat Daugherty, former budget officer for Gov. Mor-

"The GNP of the U.S. is somewhere around 700 billion dollars a year. Our total national budget requires about 100 billion or 15 per cent of the total. Of that 100 billion, about 70 billion goes for military purposes and out of the 70 billion dollar military budget, some 15 billion has been said to be used for the war in Vietnam. In effect, about 10 per cent of our GNT is spent for military purposes and about two per cent of that same base is spent in our war effort in Vietnam. Seventy billion and 15 billion are huge sums of money. Military expenditures do cut a large figure in the economy of the U.S. However, it seems obvious to me that expenditures of that proportion could hardly be said to support the economy of the U.S. or even to provide the major attraction for big business in America."

Creative Tension

This review can only begin to indicate why "Containment and Change" is one of the most exciting books I've read in some time. There is a creative tension between Oglesby and Shaull as they evaluate the world's future. Shaull's view of Christianity challenges believer and non-believer alike In my opinion his "strategy and tactics" chapter holds out to the New Left its most practical hope for effectiveness if

Oglesby is challenging in a different way. He is a man the Establishment can now no longer ignore. If sometimes he overstates his case that the Big Rusiness-Big Government complex is wholly responsible for the world's ills, he also admits that "America is not baby simple and her imperialism has other moods." His point is that one tragic flaw is enough to bring down destruction on our head.



Our Man Hoppe-

Crusading We Will Go

Ta - tee - ta - ta - tah-TAHHH! That flourish of trumpets you just heard was to announce the grand opening of the glorious, historic Ninth Crusade.

The need for a new Crusade had never been more overwhelming. We haven't had a decent Crusade since the Eighth Crusade petered out in the year 1270.

Consequently, for close to 700 years, people have been either sitting around the house drinking beer or they've been marching off to this piddling war or that. The trouble was they haven't had any real sense of purpose. But the glorious, historic

Ninth Crusade will rectify that. With banners bravely flying, we will march off shoulder to shoulder to save wily Infidel.

Up Lyndon, the Lionhearted! Up Hubert Horatio! For God and Lady

Oh, you can imagine the tremendous appeal the

Ninth Crusade will have.

Tear Capitol To Pieces

Back in the days when men were men and Nebraskans were not stick-in-the-mud conservatives, a leader of Nebraska holiday movement had the right idea. His statement quoted in "The New York Times" of Jan, 22, 1933: 'If we don't get beneficial service from the Legisla-

Campus Opinion

ture, 200,000 of us are coming to Lincoln and we'll tear that new state capitol building to pieces." Ah, those were the days ... The Nebraska "Kinglish"

AUF Thanks Contributors

The officers and members of the All University Fund organization wish to thank all faculty members who contributed to the AUF Spring Drive.

Proceeds of the drive this year will go the the University of Nebraska Speech and Hearing Clinic. The clinic provides diagnostic and correctional services to Lincoln residents and University students. About 140 students also receive on-the-job training in speech therapy through the

Approximately \$1000 has been collected for the drive so far. This money will help to provide much-needed equipment for the clinic and provide services for families not able to pay the costs of therapy.

We greatly appreciate the support of those faculty members who have given to the drive and we invite donations from professors who have not yet contributed.

Nancy Coufal AUF President

1966-67 Innocents Complimented

As a student who has been critical of the Innocents Society in the past, I must compliment the 1966-67 Innocents on the fairness and lack of politics demonstrated in the selection of their successors. The fact that only one fraternity which had mem-

bers in 1966-67 is represented in 1967-68 in itself shows that the society may have ceased to be political football in which certain houses were practically guaranteed mem-bers where others could not hope for an innocent, no matter how qualified a member might have been.

The 1967 political campaign was certainly the dirtiest. most emotional and most controversial in recent memory. It is a well known fact that certain members of the Innocents Society were highly involved on one side or the other. That these Innocents could choose successors to whom they may have been directly opposed is a tribute to their leadership qualities.

In short, the 1966-67 Innocents have earned a pat on the back. It is to be hoped that the tradition may have established will continue.

Arthur Hoppe Flocking to our standard in droves will be the gun nuts, Maoists, the smut smiters, the Hell's Angels and those who are just plain tired of sitting around the house drinking beer-Crusaders at

heart, one and all. men and treasure, Western Civilization has never seen.

Indeed, the only problem now facing the glorious, historic Ninth Crusade is where to have it.

Traditionally, it seems we are supposed to go free the Holy Land from the Infidel. But after carefully weighing the large Jewish vote (both in Israel and New York) and the deep interest many have in oil (both in Jordan and in Standard of New Jersey). we have decided to go Crusade someplace else.

Vietnam immediately leaped to mind. Now here's a small country just begging to be freed from the Infidels. And there's no question that a Crusade there would win enthusiastic approval from the State Department, the Reverend Billy James Hargis and all hawks in the Senate-not to mention annual Christmas time visits from Cardinal Spellman.

But Vietnam's already frightfully overcrowded and we might get in the way. Some have suggested we crusade in Albania to re-store King Zog I to his

rightful place on the Albanian throne. But research shows King Zog I died in exile in 1961 and, anyway, who wants to go to Albania at this time of year?

This leaves Cuba. It has a marvelous winter climate, excellent beaches and certainly there is no more Infidel - looking Infidel around than Mr. Fidel Cas-

Moreover, from the point of view of logistics and transport, it's handy. For this bastion of Infidelism fellow Crusaders, lies only 90 miles off our shores. So join the Ninth Cru-

sade today. In six months you'll qualify for the low group charter air fare. As for me, I'm off to survey Miami Beach as a

staging area. No personal sacrifice is too great, say I, with the future of Christendom at stake. Up Lyndon, the Lion-

hearted! Up Hubert Horatio! For God and Lady Bird! And if Cuba doesn't pan out, we'll think someplace else.

Runaway Polls

News item (Sunday): "PRINCETON, N.J.—Richard M. Nixon is the first choice of Republican county chairmen for their party's 1968 presidential nomination."

This conclusion was induced from a series of interviews. Who knows? It could be wrong. But people go for it; and if Richard Nixon was not his county chairmen's first choice the day before this item appeared, he probably

Handy Thing

The poll is a handy thing. It can find a majority and then turn it into a bandwagon.

Aware that the poll, with the help of the press and the computer, is fast becoming an important weapon in the arsenal of modern democracy, we arranged an interview with the director of the biggest professional polling organization in the country.

We met George Runaway at Mory's for lunch the other day.

Mr. Runaway

"Well, Mr. Runaway, how are things going with the "Pretty good, actually. We were right in '60, right in

64, and we'll be right again in '68.

"Right." "Right."

"You mean you can tell us right now who's going to be the winner in 1968?

"Well, not exactly, it's too early for that yet. But we have a pretty good idea of how the candidates and issues are shaping up.

"All we can say now with certainty is that it'll be a

confusing year. In fact, our results are so confusing that we haven't printed them yet." Vietnam Policy

"Take Vietnam, for instance. Last month we sent our Runaway pollsters all over the country asking 'What do you think of our present Vietnam policy? Are you for it, against it, or what?' The percentage replies we got dumbfounded even our computers."

"Yes?" He handed us a slip of paper with the re-

For-82 per cent; Against-82 per cent; What?- 64

"No wonder you didn't print them." "People are confused and there seems to be a welling

up of cultural despair." "How do you mean, sir?"

GOP Professionals

"Well, we've got that documented too. You see, every body knows LBJ is only 45 per cent popular and Richard Nixon is the favorite of the GOP professionals."

"Yes-

"But here's the catch-another one we couldn't print, We asked registered Republicans who they would like to see as Nixon's running mate."

"Here is what we got." He handed us another slip

Romney-10 per cent; Percy-5 per cent; Rockefeller 5 per cent; Goldwater-15 per cent; Reagan-15 per cent; Barbara Garson-50 per cent.

Indeed Alarming

"This is indeed alarming, Mr. Runaway. Can you imagine Barbara Garson as vice-president?"

"Yes, it's quite distressing. That's one of the reasons we haven't released the figures. Another is that our pollsters were unanimously suspicious that their interviewees were taking CIA subsidies," he said as he sipped his

"One thing we've always wanted to know, Mr. Runaway - what is your secret in arriving at your generally precise percentage predictions? Do you just take a cross-

"Well, in a way. We interview various pressure groups and arrange our over-all results in terms of their voting

Baggy Pants

"We've found that the fashion industry gives LBJ a resounding 94 per cent 'no' because of his baggy pants. The guns are a strong 96 per cent in favor, the butters only four per cent, while the aircraft industries support nim 98 per cent solid. The shoe industry, reporting an increase in sales of cowboy boots, is behind the President 64 per cent, up 21 points from four years ago; and so on." "Do you study any groups besides economic ones? Eth-

nic groups, for instance

Yes, of course. Here the situation is confusing, though, Sixty-four per cent of the Jews think he has chutzpahbut nobody knows whether this is good or bad; among Negroes, only 37 per cent think LBJ has a soul; and only 25 per cent of the WASPs think the President has cool. Catholics, we have noticed, tend to interpret the credibility gap in terms of papal ba bull; they are 58 per cent favorable."

Penultimate

"This is all very interesting, Mr. Runaway. Just one more question: What do you see as the penultimate achievement in poll-taking?" He moved in close and whispered in my ear: "We've

found that three-fourths of the population would just as soon go Runaway and leave the voting to us."

-By Michael Rosenhouse Collegiate Press Service

Daily Nebraskan

Second-class postage paid at Literda, Nels.

TELEPHONE: 477-5711. Estensions 250s, 250s and 250s

der the furialisation of the Parally Sylvamonist stilesticas stall be tree from concentral to the laide the University. Manhors of the Representa-tion to be oriented.