

Sorority Housing Shortage

A housing shortage is presenting most University sororities with a problem for next fall—what to do for housing for the girls the houses will not accommodate. Several solutions have been suggested—including having girls move into dormitories as has been done in the past by a few, or allowing seniors over 21 to move into apartments.

Any solution will have to be a compromise. Naturally the most desirable answer—finding room for all eligible girls to live in the sorority house—is an impossibility for some.

And there are serious pros and cons to each of the suggested solutions.

Allowing seniors over 21 to move into apartments may be the most adequate solution. However, this leaves some questions unanswered: What about the "senior leadership" that many feel would be lost? Of what great use would senior keys be? What about the houses which have rulings that the girls who move out of the dorms or the house lose their active status?

And questions are also raised about the possibility of the dorms housing the extra girls: Who would be delegated to the dorms—seniors, juniors, sophomores, or a combination? Would grades be the determinant? Would a senior or junior find dorm living offensive after having

lived in the sorority house?

These questions, and others, will have to be answered by Panhellenic and the Panhellenic Advisory Board. Whatever answers they come up with, we feel there are certain stipulations which must be made:

If seniors are allowed to live in apartments, they must be able to retain their active status. We doubt seriously that seniors should be moved to the dorms, unless they so desire. We question that seniors would welcome dorm living after having lived in the sorority house, but the freedom of an apartment might not be so undesirable.

The housing shortage is caused in large part because of the house quotas which Panhellenic raised last fall. This was done to allow more girls to pledge.

We believe that upped quotas do not solve the problem of allowing more girls who wish to pledge to do so. The answer to that is more sororities on campus as it grows.

Higher house quotas lead to more serious problems—such as the housing shortage now facing the sororities—and the difficulty in maintaining house unity among a cumbersome number of girls.



Sorry About That!

Being a compendium of farce, absurdity and comment, selected arbitrarily by the Editor...

News from Hooker: "This week I've been at my lowest. Yesterday I went to a supermarket and the electric eye wouldn't open."

One of the pleasant experiences of being an editor is having enough letters to fill the Campus Opinion column.

A second pleasurable experience is having letters on diverse topics. (Then there's the reply to a letter, the reply to the reply, the reply to the reply to the reply to the reply; you get the picture.)

May have to start charging ad rates one of these days.

Steve Abbott, editor of Scrip, has contributed some "Mother's Tales Grooved Up a Bit to Fit the Spirit of the Times," Such as...

Fiddle Faddle
Hey Fiddle diddle the Chancellor's fadle our faculty sits on the moon (they're cowed)
The taxpayers laugh to see such a sight as 16,000 students stuffed in 1 classroom. (Who's being spoon-fed?)

Hickory Dickory Docked

Another Viewpoint— To His Draft Board

By Bill Groat
Colorado Daily
Mildred M. Bundle, Clerk
Local Board No. 66
Security Building
Safe Harbor, Iowa
Dear Miss Bundle:

There has been a lot of talk here lately about drafting college students, and I wondered if you would do me a favor of telling me how I stand with you. I mean, what's my status now. I just want to be sure, is all.

Yours truly,
Herbert L. Booking
River City College

Dear Miss Bundle:
What's this form you sent me for? All I wanted was for you to tell me where I stand in regards to the call-up. I'll just consider this a mistake, then, all right? Expecting to hear from you. I am.

Yours truly,
Herbert L. Booking

Dear Miss Bundle:
Miss Bundle, please! Why did you send me this "Report" thing? I'm in school, Miss Bundle, in college—River City College. It's an accredited college and everything, and I'm taking a full load of sixteen hours—all of them for credit. I've paid my tuition and my room and board, and I don't owe anybody any money, and my grades are good ("B" average), and my father is a veteran. Please tell me you're not serious, Miss Bundle. Waiting for your reply, I am, Sincerely,
Herbert L. Booking

Dear Miss Bundle:
Oh, Miss Bundle, why won't you answer me? I told you in my last letter

about me being in school. Nobody else is getting drafted here. Why me? What did I ever do to you?

Miss Bundle, I was born in Safe Harbor. I grew up there, went to school there. My parents paid taxes there. Is it because we moved away after my Sophomore year at Safe Harbor High? Is that why you don't like me? Miss Bundle, I love, Safe Harbor. In fact, I cried when my parents told me we were going to move. Will you please check your records again? Will you at least answer me? Thanking you, I am,
As ever,
Herbert L. Booking

Dear Miss Bundle:
O.K. If that's the way you want to play, I can play that way too. If you won't answer me, then I won't write to you any more, either. Hoping you are miserable.
Herbert L. Booking

Dear Miss Bundle:
I've just written to the President—yes, the President of the United States! I told him my problem, and I am confident that He will help me. Prepare to lose your job Miss Bundle. Here's seeing you a civilian again.
Yours,
Herbert L. Booking

Dear Miss Bundle:
Oh, you're sneaky, all right: having an unlisted telephone number. Well, there are other ways to flop my mop. My father knows the water commissioner in Safe Harbor, and we'll see whether you stay on your throne any longer. If you don't answer one of my letters in five days, that water

commissioner will be knocking on your door to... well, wait and see, Miss Bundle. I am, waiting.
Herbert L. Booking

Dear Miss Bundle:
I'm begging you, please answer me. My time is getting short. I've only two days left. On my knees, I am,
Oh so truly yours,
Herbert L. Booking

Dear Mildred:
I've joined the Navy. Ha, ha. Out of your clutches at last, I am,
Not yours truly,
Herbie Booking

Miss Mildred M. Bundle, Clerk!
What do you mean by telling me you made a mistake! You'd better run, Mildred, because when I get off this battleship Safe Harbor won't be safe any more. You can bet on that, Milly baby. Arranging transportation to Iowa, I am.
Soon to be truly yours,
Seaman Herbert L. Booking
USS Rough Water

Daily Nebraskan
Entered as second class matter at the post office in Lincoln, Nebraska. The Daily Nebraskan is published under the act of August 4, 1912. Subscription rates are \$1 per semester or \$2 for the academic year. Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday during the school year, except during vacations and exam periods, by students of the University of Nebraska under the jurisdiction of the Faculty Subcommittee on Student Publications. Publications shall be free from censorship by the subcommittee of any person outside the University. Members of the Daily Nebraskan are responsible for their own actions. Member Associated Collegiate Press, National Advertising Service, Incorporated. Published at Room 51, Nebraska Union, Lincoln, Nebraska. TELEPHONE: 477-8711, Extensions 2585, 2589 and 2590.

CAMPUS OPINION

Protests and American Policy

Dear Editor,

Mr. Hungerford certainly has the right to believe what he will, and as a staff member of the Rag, to write what he will. His recent opinion on patriotism did strike me as so absurd that one would wonder if, after having read it when his passions cooled, that he might not realize how foolish a thing it was that he had written, and therefore resign his post. But he probably did a service to the campus community by so well stating his error.

As for Mr. Jim Swartz's recent letter inspired by the suggestion from SDS officer Mr. Carl Davidson, I find only the vaguest understanding of the nature of a democracy. Mr. Swartz believes that the 60 demonstrators against apartheid were unfairly representing the University. It is my understanding of the representative procedure, that it would have been necessary for the student body to appoint or elect them if they wished the demonstrators to represent them. I don't believe this was the case.

Therefore, the marchers represented not the University, but rather their own concern for the plight of the South Africa. Mr. Swartz mentioned the reason for the pickets, and give us the benefit of his judgment on the U.S. support of the undemocratic government of South Africa.

Certainly the pickets were an exercise in constitutional democracy, in the time-honored tradition of Article One of the Bill of Rights. These marchers did not pretend to represent the University, and anyone who thinks they did is only showing his ignorance of the situation.

In brief response to Mr. Ray Wilson, it may be said that SDS opposes the undemocratic government of South Vietnam, a government not of free elections but of military dictators. Why protest this and South Africa and not the government of Russia? Because we are supporting the undemocratic governments of South Africa and South Vietnam. We are not supporting Russia.

Democracy is a system of government which ideally provides for the execution of the will of the majority while at the same time protecting the opinions of the minorities, for they too are free people and deserve to be allowed their thoughts. Almost all ideas accepted by the majority were once held by only a minority. And is there any man in this country who does not hold a minority opinion on some matter?

I am an American, and fiercely proud of it. I am glad to be a free citizen, with rights to criticize as well as praise the government. But most of all, I am glad that I can participate in my government's decision. For the citizen is more important than the government; only the totalitarian countries believe that the citizen should serve the state.

Vern Barnett

U.S. Waits Too Long

Dear Editor,

I read with interest the letter in Tuesday's (sic) Rag entitled, "Resisting Is Only Choice."

Mr. Wilson is "mystified at how the same group (SDS) can oppose our intervention to stop the spread of Communism and at the same time advocate our intervention to stamp out an evil which is not attempting to expand."

I feel sure, Mr. Wilson, that the SDS does oppose the means Communism uses to achieve its ends. But, both the SDS and I oppose some of the means the U.S. uses to counter Communism. (As that old saying goes, "The ends just don't justify the means.")

I know that we all agree that war is bad; you, the SDS, and I. I know that we would agree that to be forced into war is also a terrible thing. And we have been forced into something like four wars now, haven't we?

Could it be, Mr. Wilson, that there is something wrong with a foreign policy that allows evils to grow until there are few alternatives left than to fight? Shouldn't we try to influence the causes of Communism (hunger, harsh dictatorships, apartheid, and lack of transportation and communication among peoples) rather than to wait for Communism to take advantage of these ills?

But, Mr. Wilson, we refuse to heal, clothe, feed and visit. We refuse to help or free people until we are forced to—until we lose our freedom to choose between alternate forms of action. We wait until we are forced to "help" these people by carrying on a war which everybody loses.

We wait until the only thing we build is hate. Yes, and "resisting is our only choice."

Roger Hill

Marchers Were Informed

Dear Editor,

Bravo for Mr. Swartz! He has shown that there are still some students on campus who don't believe in rocking the boat, after all, controversial issues (death, horrible mutilation, war, segregation by Nazi-style techniques) are really not for this campus, even though the rest of the world is involved in them. After all, an interest in humanity is not really the kind of thing students should indulge in, or is it?

Tell me Mr. Swartz (if you're not too busy hiding in my bathroom to see if I bathe or not), just exactly what is wrong with devoting a large share of the Daily Nebraskan to a Midwest conference of students showing a deep interest in the tremendous social inequities, in South Africa and its American support?

The United States' government felt strongly enough about injustices (alleged) in Viet Nam to go to war; aren't we allowed to feel strongly enough about something to at least walk down the street and carry signs? This is sorely newsworthy on this campus Mr. Swartz; most students are too busy being totally apathetic or discussing such big social issues as the mix-up in Greek mail. We care enough about the rest of the world to show it how we feel, and we are indeed enough of a rarity to rate a little space once in a while.

Another thing, Mr. Swartz, the 60 marchers were informed! They have studied the South African problem. It's you who are uninformed, Mr. Swartz, for had you paid much attention to what you're condemning, you could not, in all honesty, say what you have said about it. Did you attend the teach-in, the discussions, or did you just decide to protest the protesters?

It is you, Mr. Swartz, who have missed the point, not the students. SDS did not "bull" its way on campus anymore than any other club or organization. To set up any club or organization on campus, certain rules must be followed and requirements met, and SDS conformed to these just as all other clubs have done in the past. Tell me, do you think Chess Club "bullied" its way on campus?

Last, Mr. Swartz, SDS has never claimed to represent the entire Nebraska University student body, only themselves. To say they are doing anything while attempting to represent the whole University is something you yourself have invented.

Shame on you, Mr. Swartz, this is a Communist tactic. (I hope I don't offend your paymaster.)

Don Sutton

Letters Policy

Unsigned letters to the editor will not be printed. However, a pen name will be used, upon the writer's request. Letters critical of individuals must be signed with the writer's name. Address letters to the Daily Nebraskan, Nebraska Union 51.

If I Were King

By WAYNE KREUSCHER
News Editor

Call Terry Schaaf in the next week and he's likely to hang up on you.

He must be getting so tired of those calls, "Terry, I know you're running, and I'd like to run with you."

If the headlines have hidden the fact from a few—Schaaf, without announcing his candidacy, is the front-runner in the ASUN presidential election for April 27.

Everyone is convinced he'll run and most say Roger Doerr will be his vice presidential candidate.

Already president of the Nebraska Union, the question still remains what he will do about the Union board. Most people can't imagine any human taking both.

On the vice presidential level—the one name that sticks out in front of the others is Roger Doerr. (The man who will supposedly give Terry the Independent votes.)

Many wonder why Roger will be content to be the second man with the dorms full of potential, although hard to get votes, but Roger and Terry have been working together in this way a long time since Hastings High School.

The big question—completely hidden by the headlines—right now is the new position of second vice president.

The position leaves many possibilities—but being a sophomore I'd like to mention only two—Ron Pfeifer and Liz Aitken.

There is a philosophy of thought which says a junior (they will be juniors next year) shouldn't have a top position because of senior honorary complications. In other words some people think that a junior is too conscious of the senior honoraries when he makes a decision and acts.

But on the other hand one can't help but think that the experience would make either Pfeifer or Miss Aitken a better president the following year.

There are other names which the headlines no doubt will insist on mentioning—and other names do deserve mention—but the facts will be known Friday and the rumors will end.

JSK

(Just Slightly Korrekt)

Would you believe... that two Rag staffers (including the Editor) are on the Senior Key committee? (Is there really a conflict of interest clause in the AWS constitution?)

More Letters...

Counter Reply to Reply

Dear Editor,

I would like to comment on Mr. Davidson's reply to my letter. In its essence, my letter was a criticism of the SDS stand against resisting the expansion of Communism, not a criticism of the SDS action against South Africa.

Its point was that an organization which is sensitive to the evil of apartheid and which advocates measures aimed at forcing internal changes on the part of the state which practices apartheid, is on very thin ice indeed when it opposes measures aimed merely at checking the much greater evil of Communism.

In trying to evade this issue, not to meet it, Mr. Davidson brings up four points: 1. He accuses U.S. "business interests" of being accessories to the crime of apartheid; 2. He makes the astonishing claim that South Africa is an expansionist power; 3. He dregps up a wicked non-Communist atrocity to confuse the issue; 4. He retreats completely from the realm of fact and logic into the realm of emotion and opinion by saying "Tell it to the black Africans, Mr. Wilson, and see what they have to say."

1. Regardless of whom the SDS accused of complicity in supporting apartheid, the fact remains that the SDS advocates a policy which would hurt the black African population of South Africa much more than the white. (Does the SDS believe in suffering for the sake of freedom after all?); a policy which could easily lead to the setting off of a violent revolt and eventual racial war; a policy which in effect attempts to force our way of life on others.

2. Mr. Davidson says, "You say the government of South Africa is not expansionist. Have you not heard about Angola, Rhodesia, Mozambique, or South-west Africa?" What about them? Angola and Mozambique are Portuguese colonies; if South Africa is attempting to take over these areas, Portugal doesn't seem to be aware of it. Far from trying to expand into Rhodesia, South Africa is helping Rhodesia to be strong and independent. South-west Africa has been a part of South Africa since South African independence in 1910. How can South Africa be expanding into an area it has controlled for 56 years?

All of these areas have been controlled by whites for more than a century. Name one black African country that has been invaded by South Africa; I can name a dozen non-Communist countries that have been invaded by their Communist neighbors.

3. No, Mr. Davidson, I don't advocate that we begin murdering six million Jews. But since you ask, I will explain the limits of my "anti-Communism." I oppose Communism only so far as it claims to have plans to dominate all mankind by force of subversion, and only in situations where it attempts to put its openly announced plan into operation. The military force used to resist Communism should be limited to the amount necessary to meet the military force of the Communists. For Americans, anti-Communism should be limited by the will of the American people as expressed by their elected representatives and by the safeguards of the U.S. Constitution as interpreted by legally constituted courts.

4. As to your impractical suggestion that I "tell it to the black Africans," I can only express my surprise that one who insists so strongly on "clear thinking" should resort to such an emotion-laden appeal to opinion.

Finally, Mr. Davidson, stop dodging the issue; face up to it. How is it that you justify, on the grounds of attacking the evil of apartheid, a course that would mean violence and misery for Africa; while at the same time you condemn, on the grounds that it entails violence and misery, a course of defending ourselves and others from the incomparably greater evil of Communism?

Ray Wilson

Beatles Not Coming

Dear Editor,

Congratulations Tippy Dye and all others responsible for turning down the Beatles' offer to come to Lincoln! Since when is the administration so well-off that it can turn down a \$10,000 profit? Not that I'm an avid Beatles fan, but what could it possibly hurt? I'm an \$10,000 is \$10,000 and it seems sheer irony that University officials (now advocating a tuition hike because the U. of N. is going broke) should kick a gift horse in the mouth.

As far as damage to the grounds goes, a performance by the Beatles certainly couldn't surpass the punishment the stadium field gets in a regular football game. Perhaps the real reason for not wanting the English combo here is because the administration is afraid that they might put this cowtown on the map by allowing such sinful orgies to happen in our very own stadium! Heaven forbid!!

Dave Turner