



ARCHIVES AWS Passes Key System

... For Seniors Only



LADD LONQUIST, . . . head of the Faculty Evaluation Book project, examines a few of the completed questionnaires returned to the Senate office.

Lack Of Interest Halts Faculty Book

Because of lack of student interest in filling out the faculty-evaluation questionnaires, the ASUN Faculty Evaluation Book will probably not come out this year, according to Ladd Lonquist, ASUN Faculty Evaluation Book chairman.

"I was very displeased with the response," Lonquist said. "Out of 35,000 questionnaires, only a little over 3,000 were returned. All the committee can do is to redouble our efforts to build student interest and find out why they did not fill out the forms."

Proposals

He explained that at the Student Senate meeting Wednesday, two proposals would be presented on future course of action.

"We could hurry up and try to get more students to fill out forms or we could postpone the book until next year and pass out the forms in class during Dead Week," he added.

He explained that the book could not be published on the basis of the questionnaires that have been turned in because "it would not do justice to the faculty and ASUN would not be living up to its responsibility if the Faculty Evaluation book would be based on a few forms."

Lonquist added that if they would "hurry up and try to get more students to fill out the forms" the book would not be as thorough or as complete as it would be if the second alternative were followed.

"Passing the forms out during Dead Week, with the permission of the individual instructor," he continued, "would give us the opinion of every type of student which we might not have gotten otherwise."

Course Critiques

"Also we are envisioning expanding the book to include a critique of the actual course and having the professor write a resume explaining his goals for the course," he said.

"Of course to do this, the questionnaire must be revised to include more questions on the course, material, text and teacher's intent," he added.

With the book postponed until next year, Lonquist said, the committee would have all summer to work on it.

"With more time, we could definitely have a more thorough, more exacting and more responsible book," he noted.

He said that he could "promise the book will not be out by April 1."

"There really is no need to have the book come out this spring after registration," he added, "and by postponing and expanding it, the book would be of more benefit to the students."

Techniques

He added that questions on teachers' methods and techniques in an expanded book

could be of help to the students.

"Our goal is still two-fold," he continued: "to help the students in choosing their teachers and to improve the teaching at the University."

Lonquist's committee has been working on the book for about six months; originally the book was to be published in April.

Legal questions previously threatened the book's existence when the Committee on Student Affairs rescinded approval last month because of questions concerning legal liability.

Student Senate considered either having the book commercially published or appealing to the Board of Regents for official sanction.

Coeds Vie For Beauty Queen Title

The College of Dentistry has announced six finalists for the title of "Miss Impressions," an annual competition sponsored by the college's yearbook, "Impressions."

Miss Impressions and two attendants will be selected Thursday night following final interviews and will be formally presented at the Dental College Formal in April.

The finalists are Marilyn Hardee, Alpha Omicron Pi; Alice Dale, Chi Omega; Marilyn Sicklebower, Kappa Delta; Susie Sitorius, Alpha Delta Phi; Karen Westerberg, Alpha Xi Delta and Kary Kramer, Kappa Alpha Theta.

Twenty-one coeds went through preliminary interviews for the Miss Impressions title, according to Jerry Gemar, chairman of the selection committee.

Gemar said the senior class at the college annually puts out a yearbook and that Miss Impressions is the featured beauty queen.

The title was not given last year, Gemar said. Miss Impressions two years ago was Andi Leeran, Kappa Kappa Gamma.

Candidates are judged, Gemar said, on the basis of poise, personality, intelligence, sincerity and appearance. He said the coed's knowledge of dentistry was a factor, but not a great one.

Death Penalty To Be Discussed

An informal discussion on capital punishment will be held at 3:30 Wednesday in the Newman Center.

The discussion, which is for all University students and faculty, will follow a brief introduction on the subject of capital punishment.

By Jan Itkin
Senior Staff Writer

AWS Tuesday unanimously passed a motion establishing a senior key system, but rejected by a vote of 7-11 an amendment allowing women over 21 who were not seniors to participate in the system.

The system will go into effect in September, 1966 if it is approved by the Committee on Student Affairs.

Vicki Dowling, vice president of AWS, moved that a senior key system be established for women with senior standing, at least a 2.0 cumulative average and parental permission.

According to stipulations in a tentative senior key proposal presented three weeks ago, a woman must also be willing to pay her part of the cost of the system and be willing to take her turn at key duty.

Keys are to be checked out by 7 p.m. and are to be returned upon return. Abuses of key privileges will result in a penalty period without a key or permanent suspension of key privileges.

Keys At 21?

Discussion at the meeting revolved not around the motion, but around the amendment by Barb Beckman extending the system to all women who are 21 as well as those with senior standing.

Miss Beckman referred back to a poll in which 350 out of 501 junior and senior women voted to have eligibility extended to both seniors and women over 21.

"The poll said that the women wanted keys for seniors and those 21," she said,

"and the argument at last week's meeting concerned administration and not philosophy. We could work out a program for both groups."

A separate office could be established, she continued, to deal with records of this type and so eliminate errors. Also, women 21 could attend the same orientation that the seniors would.

General Orientation

Pam Hedgecock, who seconded the amendment, said, "There would be no more problem orienting those who are going to be 21 than those who are going to be seniors."

"Have everyone of them attend a general orientation," she continued, "and then when someone turns 21 and goes to report it, she could receive a printed brochure reminding of the procedures and responsibilities."

Ruth Ann Rasmussen noted that one board member could be specifically responsible for pulling the AWS card and sending a note to the housemothers and deans after a woman notified AWS that she turned 21.

"It would only take about one hour a day," she added, "and that's less time than some board members spend in the office now."

Miss Beckman added that a "seal of verification" should also be kept to sanction the note or certificate that women turning 21 would be given.

"Consider Practicality"

"We must consider the practicality of this," stressed Miss Dowling. "We are talking of incorporating women 21 into the system and forgetting what we are doing for

the seniors. We have a huge responsibility to make the system work without incorporating even more administrative problems."

"First let's assure ourselves of a system that will run efficiently and then talk about 21 year olds," she said. "Because of both conveni-

es and practically, the University does things by class. Age is not relevant and we'd just be adding extra problems."

Patti Teel, AWS secretary, agreed, "There are more administrative problems than can be imagined. By eliminating the facility for 21-year-

old women right now, we could have a more efficient system and then we could expand."

Ann Boyles asked, "Why was there a question asking which system was preferred if we aren't interested?"

Cont. on pg. 4, Col. 1

Osgood: U.S. Should Take 'Midway' Policy In Viet Nam

By Randy Irely
Junior Staff Writer

A course of action midway between the extreme policies open to the United States in Viet Nam, should be made, since there are no simple ways out, according to Dr. Charles E. Osgood, director of the Institute of Communications Research at the University of Illinois.

He spoke at the concluding lecture of the Montgomery Lectures for 1966 Tuesday at the University.

"Those who object and unconditionally demand U.S. withdrawal either have no conception of the state of the public mind and pressures upon our leadership, or are trying to guarantee a place for themselves in Heaven at the expense of the well-being of ordinary people in the here and now," Osgood said.

"Dragged-Out War"

On the other side of the coin, he said that those people "who speak glibly of 'victory' lack either the idea of what a long, dragged-out land war in Southeast Asia would consist of," or "have no conception of what genocide does to those who practice it."

Osgood presented his first lecture of the series on Monday afternoon. His stated purpose for that lecture was to "put the present crises in perspective and suggest some lessons we might learn from them."

"A cultural lag between our understanding and control of things and our understanding and control of ourselves is the crux of our problem as we enter the nuclear age."

According to Osgood, one of the reasons for this lack of understanding is the fact that man, when faced with an overwhelming danger, tends to deny its existence. This, he pointed out, does not erad-

icate the danger. "The idea here is that conscious awareness of the true danger of nuclear war is one of the major factors inhibiting recklessness in risk-taking."

Too Much Success

Another problem discussed by Osgood was our tendency to apply force all over the world. "Paradoxically, the real danger of Viet Nam is that our escalation policy there may be too successful and set us still more firmly on a path toward 'Pax Americana.' I submit that maintaining a military police state externally is fundamentally incompatible with maintaining a free democratic state internally."

"Our commitment to the Free World should be defined positively, in terms of the strengthening of democratic institutions, rather than negatively, as John Foster Dulles did, in terms of the containment of a particular political philosophy," he stated.

"To gain security for our people (and others) in this nuclear age, we are going to have to gradually surrender

our sovereignty as a state, but it should be done in an orderly fashion to international organizations rather than in a disorderly, piece-meal fashion to other nation-states, in a fruitless quest for an illusory 'balance of power.'"

Escalation Hampers

Tuesday Osgood dealt with his belief that "the use of military escalation as a political tool hampers all other approaches to world problems and is incompatible with our own long-term goals as a nation."

He said he felt that the President must shift absolutely from an escalation strategy to a de-escalation strategy.

"We must announce the extent of the present status quo and our intention to maintain it against any aggressive attempts at change, and we must gradually pull back the radius of our bombing of North Viet Nam."

Osgood said that the U.S. should be prepared to negotiate unconditionally with all parties concerned with the war, including political representatives of the Viet Cong.

"We cannot expect our opponents to leap at this opportunity when it is first offered, but we are powerful enough to maintain our firmness under the conditions while waiting for the wisdom of a non-violent solution to sink in."

"In the meantime, if we really want to get out of Southeast Asia with dignity and in the foreseeable future, we can support a gradual broadening of the democratic base of the Saigon government — first, by encouraging the Buddhist and Catholics to bridge their gulf and participate in a common government, and second, by encouraging political leaders of the Viet Cong to become involved in the government."



Osgood

Fraternities Substitute Constructive 'Help Week' For Physical Hazing

"Hell week" is no longer hell to fraternity pledges. The traditional pre-initiation program has been replaced by a constructive "help week", according to University fraternity presidents interviewed by the Daily Nebraskan.

Help week is usually held in many of the fraternities at this time of the year. The new trend is away from the old hell week with physical

hazing to community service projects.

Programs Differ

Gary Larsen, Interfraternity Council president, said help week varies a great deal among the houses. The old idea was that hell week was the final test of a pledge to see if he would really like to become a fraternity man.

"Some houses have programs IFC would not want to happen, but there are some

very constructive programs with definite aims," Larsen said. "The trouble comes in when active think the pledges should go through the same type of hell week games as they did, and they don't think of the changes in the system."

There should be a purpose behind a help week program or something to be gained by the individual, Larsen stated.

Cont. on Pg. 5, Col. 1

Constitution Approval Method To Be Decided By Senate

A resolution regulating procedures for approval of amendments and new constitutions of existing student organizations will come before Student Senate Wednesday afternoon.

The resolution was originally presented last week, but was tabled as a result of controversy over a provision that the Senate would recognize an organization and approve its constitution on the basis of contents and clarity.

Larry Frolik, vice president of ASUN, explained that under the ASUN constitution, Student Senate has the power to approve all constitutions and recognize new student organizations.

"It said nothing, however,

about constitutions of existing organizations or amendments," he continued. "In fact, it had no stipulated powers in these areas. We want to clarify the constitution and erase these ambiguities."

The resolution also provides that "Student Senate approval shall be based on content, form and clarity."

Frolik noted that all the ASUN constitution says at the present time is that Student Senate has the power to recognize organizations and approve their constitutions.

"But on what basis are we to judge?" he asked. "This resolution would stipulate the basis for consideration."

Terry Schaaf, ASUN sena-

tor, said, "Before this resolution, an organization could theoretically be rejected because no one liked its president. Now that a basis for consideration has been formed, if something like that happened, the organization would have reason to appeal to the ASUN Court."

Frolik stressed that unless ASUN can effectively approve or disapprove of organizations, Faculty Senate is the only authority.

"We'd be shirking our responsibility to the students if we'd let that happen," he continued. "If we accept our responsibility, then Faculty Senate will act as a check—after the students and Student Senate have had a voice in the decision."



MOD GLASSES RANK . . . at the top of the fashion totem pole. Diane Woodhull, Richard Johnson and Nancy Henrikson all sport the mad mod look in "owls" and "granny-glasses." (See story, page 4.)