

Value Question

Whether or not Christmas vacation should be changed for the Orange Bowl goes is a number one topic of discussion on campus.
STUDENT SENATE Wednesday passed a motion urging that there be no roll taken, and no tests given on Monday, January 3, so that those going to the Orange Bowl will not be punished by their absence.

Faculty Senate is opposed to such a stipulation. So are we. The Senate position would probably have the same effect as dismissing classes completely. Our Senators might just as well have passed a resolution urging that the vacation be changed.

REALISTICALLY the entire problem involves only a small minority of the students. A change in vacation would aid only those who are driving to the bowl games—and driving back.

If vacation were not to adjourn until Jan. 4 or 5 would that small minority who drove to the Orange Bowl be back and present for their classes the following day? Undoubtedly some would. Others would not be.

If the vacation were changed there would be those who would object to remaining for an extra half week in December and they would leave the 17 or 18.

The question is one of values—values that the students, faculty and administrators place in our University.

SOME WOULD SAY: "We're a football school and it's time we admitted it. Let's change the vacation for the convenience of those going to the bowl."

Others would note that the state and the University could gain such good publicity if they were well represented at the bowl game. "Those attending the bowl would be supporting the University. Why should they be punished?"

WE WOULD RESPOND: Those attending the game should not be pampered. They are members of a University community which entails more than supporting their football team.

And the charge that the University is simply a football school is insulting to the University—to the majority of the students, faculty and administrators—and to the state.

Another Change

Terry Carpenter has done it again. One of the least pleasant aspects of Thanksgiving vacation was Carpenter's printed accusation charging that a University agricultural economist, Dr. Everett Peterson, made "wild and unfounded" statements before the Legislative Council Tax Committee last week. The accusation suggested that Peterson's statements were made as a University pressure for more money.

Could this be the same Senator who last year urged that University students march on the capitol to show their dislike for the proposed tuition hike and their support for more tax support for their University?

As it turns out, Peterson did not appear before the Legislative Council Tax Committee last week. Carpenter's charge is unfounded.

It appears the state's party-switching senator has outmaneuvered himself this time. Many of the 15,000 University students are more than a little displeased with this week's accusation.

And 15,000 votes could be very costly for Senator Carpenter should he attempt a state wide political office in the next few years.

Give Today

Today is give-your-blood-for-our-fighting-men day. The University Red Cross chapter is a co-sponsor of the drive. And the University quota is 220 pints. Wednesday evening approximately 200 students had registered to give blood.

We hope that those who did not sign up but would like to donate a pint to the cause do so. The conference rooms are a convenient location.

It would be embarrassing if a University of 15,000 students—the majority apparently in agreement with United States policy in Viet Nam — could not fulfill a 220 pint quota.

MARILYN HOEGEMEYER

Phase IV

By Roger A. Elm
Who was that man who said that AWS on the Nebraska campus was the farce of the year? Apparently he was here during Standards Week; or was he the one who wouldn't pay the 60c for two o'clock hours; or was he the one who saw the three-date-rule abbreviated because he was so special after a very meaningful coke date.

He probably wasn't any of the three. Just a guy, not even a very clever guy, but one who could see through the Snyderisms and Whitneyisms that AWS throws out to placate those who feel an adult woman is just as much an adult whether she's a secretary or a student.

He undoubtedly was the guy who has watched AWS stumble through five years of debate and discussion on senior keys, and still claim they are having difficulties working out some basic problems. Five year old basic problems — they'll probably start kindergarten next year.

He must be the guy who heard AWS speak of its responsible and capable women, then in the same breath say they aren't adult enough for adult hours. Just adult enough for sign-out sheets and skirts in the Union.

Who was that man... His name was Vicki.



"THOSE AMERICANS HAVE NO RESPECT FOR MACHINERY."

Survey Reveals Students Support Viet Nam Policy

A majority of U.S. college students believe that if there is no negotiated settlement in Viet Nam before the end of this year, the United States should push the war into North Viet Nam, according to a Playboy College Opinion Survey.

Viet Namese cities. The survey, which measures opinions of both students and faculty members, finds that the majority of faculty members polled do not take this "aggressive" attitude and believe that the military actions should be confined to South Viet Nam only.

percent of the faculty members agreed that the United States has an obligation to provide active military assistance to that nation. Further, a large majority of students — 88 percent — and faculty — 83 percent — felt that use of non-toxic tear gas is justifiable as a means of disabling the Viet Cong without endangering the lives of civilians.

An even larger percentage of students believe we should extend bombing raids to vital installations in Hanoi and other North Viet Nam cities.

While many officials have stated that the recent public demonstrations against this nation's involvement in Viet Nam are representative of an "infinitesimal" number of college students, the college students and faculty members polled estimate that they reflect the attitude of approximately 5 percent of the students on their campuses.

The opinion survey is based on responses from a representative sample of approximately 1000 students from all classes, ages and backgrounds from 200 college campuses.



Indicative of the support on the campuses for the administration's policy in Viet Nam is the fact that 82 percent of the students and 72 percent of the faculty members agreed that the United States has an obligation to provide active military assistance to that nation.

Further, a large majority of students — 88 percent — and faculty — 83 percent — felt that use of non-toxic tear gas is justifiable as a means of disabling the Viet Cong without endangering the lives of civilians.

The opinion survey is based on responses from a representative sample of approximately 1000 students from all classes, ages and backgrounds from 200 college campuses.

Analysis of returns on a regional basis indicated a high degree of uniformity of opinion. Noticeable exceptions occurred on the question of "Should we avoid bombing military installations in populous areas of North Viet Nam, such as Hanoi?" While 67 percent of all student respondents favored bombing these areas, 82 percent of students on southwestern campuses advocated bombing but only 49 percent of students on southern campuses concurred.

While many officials have stated that the recent public demonstrations against this nation's involvement in Viet Nam are representative of an "infinitesimal" number of college students, the college students and faculty members polled estimate that they reflect the attitude of approximately 5 percent of the students on their campuses.

Indicative of the support on the campuses for the administration's policy in Viet Nam is the fact that 82 percent of the students and 72 percent of the faculty members agreed that the United States has an obligation to provide active military assistance to that nation.

Further, a large majority of students — 88 percent — and faculty — 83 percent — felt that use of non-toxic tear gas is justifiable as a means of disabling the Viet Cong without endangering the lives of civilians.

The opinion survey is based on responses from a representative sample of approximately 1000 students from all classes, ages and backgrounds from 200 college campuses.

Analysis of returns on a regional basis indicated a high degree of uniformity of opinion. Noticeable exceptions occurred on the question of "Should we avoid bombing military installations in populous areas of North Viet Nam, such as Hanoi?" While 67 percent of all student respondents favored bombing these areas, 82 percent of students on southwestern campuses advocated bombing but only 49 percent of students on southern campuses concurred.

Topic Is Too Trite

(ACP) — Back in junior high school, students write editorials with heart about keeping the school grounds clean but college newspapers don't write long-winded diatribes and high and mighty extollations about the merits and demerits of keeping the campus clean, says the Graphic, Pepperdine College, Los Angeles.

It's just not the thing to do. Not enough class—hardly a sophisticated college subject, imagine anything that "wa-back-whenish."

It's like belaboring the obvious or stooping to imitate editorial wrist-slapping to the point of partitioning. There are so many more worthwhile, constructive collegiate subjects on which intellectual college newspapers can editorialize.

All the old jazz about trash here and loose papers there and this and that with "trash" characteristics is two-bit, trite, petty, nothing-better-to-write-about stuff.

Everybody knows all the propaganda about every litter bit hurting. Scholars, better than anyone else, know that those little things properly analyzed, psyched out, combined, utilized—or scattered to the several winds—can produce self-evident, conclusive truths.

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Editorialize about something as insignificant and uncollegiate as keeping the campus clean? Funny. Ha. However....

Closet Case

By Frank Partsch

The big thing these days is student freedom. Which is, and probably always will be, a mirage.

That's why one must give the Students for a Democratic Society a little credit. Although I find it a bit hard to go along with all this conscientious objectivity (if, for nothing else, out of consideration to the dead) I must compliment their understanding of what I like to call the Administration mind.

It's a cross between a pigeonhole and a loophole. Now for those of you who are worried that I might be censured for the preceding paragraph, remember that there is no longer an Administration at this University. It got pigeonholed.

The only student freedom that will ever come out of the Administration mind is a few teasers. These may give a campus activity the right to publish (can't wait to read the first edition of the Tassels Manifesto) or create a new board to consider student participation in the "decision making process."

It probably won't: —bring AWS up to 1960, Wesleyan or common sense, —cut the umbilical cord between Teachers College and the great pigeonhole known as the Administration building.

—make the dormitories into even bad hotels so the residents can be free of three veals a day and lots of unwanted and unneeded mousing.

—make the leaders of Student Government, IFC and all the other junior pigeonholes learn to stand up for what they believe in.

That's why I agree with Carl Davidson that this place is sick. We disagree only in that he sees some hope and I don't.

Try this sometime. Think up a question to ask someone over in the big pigeonhole. Make it a tender question, hard to answer. Then count the steps in the grapevine (the Administration mind calls them "channels of protest") that it requires before you are back where you started—without an answer.

Or try this. Ask an AWS jock how she justifies women's sentences. First she'll get all red and tell you it's none of your business and to keep out of it because all the women in the world appreciate the protection hours afford.

Then she'll tell you that nobody but dirty old men want a liberalization of hours.

She's wrong on both. Women's hours, visiting hours and especially AWS court are more than an inconvenience—they are a slap in the face to all college women and men, too.

AWS, meanwhile, dominated by those who have made good and those who want to make good, remains couched in cliches, neglecting those who depend upon them and insulting those who are brave or unfortunate enough to cross them.

It's a scale model of student freedom. "Participation in the decision making process?" Humbug!

I think graduation is the only way. Unless people who want student freedom learn what student freedom really is.

Base-r

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Several people have stated now that if someone is killed returning from the Orange Bowl it will be Administration's fault for not extending vacation. We can't help wondering who's fault it will be if Administration does extend vacation and someone is still killed.

Cigar-Smoking Coeds

(ACP)—Should a gentleman offer a lady a Tiparillo?

"BY ALL MEANS," many Texas Tech coeds answer, says the Daily Toreador. Women are trespassing into the man's world even further by smoking cigars. One of the last items men had a "patent" to use.

It may have come about because of the cancer scare which drove many men — and now women — to replace plastic-tipped cigars. "I usually ask the salesman what he considers a good brand and go by that," one coed said. "I have always been satisfied."

DISADVANTAGES? One may stare at me like I'm crazy, but I don't really care.

Another thing that bothers me is that they create more smoke than cigarettes and often leave a big cloud around your head. This sometimes annoys friends.

"My major gripe," another said, "is that they leave a horrible taste in my mouth. I have to get up several times during the night to brush my teeth and still can't get rid of the taste.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

Is cigar-smoking by coeds a passing phase? Tech coeds who have tried it said they intend to continue, despite the disadvantages.

are you an innovator?

The U. S. Navy Bureau of Ships offers challenging civilian opportunities to

electrical, electronic, mechanical, and civil engineers, physics majors

For training as Naval Architects who have the ability and desire to play an important role in programs involving new concepts and advanced studies in ship, machinery, electrical and electronic design including

HYDROFOIL CRAFT • DEEP SUBMERGENCE VEHICLES GAS TURBINE PROPULSION • FUEL CELLS AIR-CUSHION SHIPS • VERTICAL AXIS PROPULSION THERMIONIC POWER CONVERSION WATER JET PROPULSION • NOISE REDUCTION COMPUTER-AIDED SONAR, RADAR AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS

THERMO-ELECTRIC POWER GENERATION Professional development programs are tailored to individual assignments, and up to 24 hours of graduate study is underwritten by the Bureau of Ships.

These positions are in the Washington, D. C. metropolitan area, with nearby Virginia and Maryland suburbs offering excellent living conditions.

INTERVIEWS ON YOUR CAMPUS DECEMBER 3 Contact your Placement Officer for an interview with the Bureau of Ships representative.

Bureau of Ships Main Navy Building, Washington, D. C. An Equal Opportunity Employer

Daily Nebraskan

TELEPHONE: 477-8711, Extensions 2588, 2589 and 2590. Member Associated Collegiate Press, National Advertising Service, Incorporated. Published at Room 51, Nebraska Union, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Entered as second class matter at the post office in Lincoln, Nebraska, under the act of August 4, 1917. The Daily Nebraskan is published Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday during the school year, except during vacation and exam periods, by students at the University of Nebraska under the jurisdiction of the Faculty Subcommittee on Student Publications. Publications shall be free from censorship by the Subcommittee or any person outside the University. Members of the Nebraska are responsible for what they cause to be printed.

EDITORIAL STAFF Editor, MARILYN HOEGEMEYER; managing editor, CAROLE BENO; news editor, JOANNE STOHMAN; sports editor, JIM SWARTZ; night news editor, BOB WETTERELL; senior staff writers, WAYNE KEFFSCHER; junior staff writers, JULIE MORRIS, STEVE JORDAN, JAN ETRIN, BRUCE GILES, RUTH HAGEDORN, BETH ROBBINS; East Campus reporter, JANE PALMER; sports assistant, DICK HOLMAN; copy editors, POLLY RHYNOLDS, JACK TODD, JOHN KIRKHOFF.

BUSINESS STAFF Business manager, MIKE JEFFREY; business assistants, CONNIE BASMUSSEN, MIKE KIRKMAN; circulation manager, LYNN RATHJEN; subscription managers, JIM BINTZ, JOHN RASMUSSEN. BUSINESS OFFICE HOURS: 10 a.m. Monday through Friday. Subscription rates are \$2 per semester or \$6 for the academic year.

"ISN'T THERE ANYONE WHO KNOWS WHAT CHRISTMAS IS ALL ABOUT?"

Advertisement for 'Charlie Brown Christmas' cartoon book, featuring a drawing of Charlie Brown and the text 'CHARLES M. SCHULZ'S newest cartoon book, "A CHARLIE BROWN CHRISTMAS," is a heart-warming, truly delightful Christmas story, a perfect gift for both youngsters and oldsters, 48 pages, full-color throughout. \$2.50 at your bookstore.'

Advertisement for 'THE Shoe Box' campus headquarters for fine footwear, famous brands for less, located at 1317 'O' St., Factory Outlet For Fine Footwear.

Advertisement for 'GO-GO GIRLS! Driftwood Club' located at 707 North 8th, Marysville, Kansas. Features Friday Night Star Fires from Beatrice and Saturday Night Dawns from Manhattan, starting at 8:30 p.m.

Advertisement for 'BAREFOOT IN THE PARK' presented by the Lincoln Broadway League at the Stuart Theatre on Monday 8:15 P.M. (A New Comedy by Neil Simon).

Advertisement for 'Bureau of Ships' offering challenging civilian opportunities in electrical, electronic, mechanical, and civil engineering, physics majors, with training as Naval Architects.