

CAMPUS OPINION

'Reds Will Bury America Without Open Fighting'

By Jeannie Langford

The Communists control over a quarter of the world. If they have told us that they will destroy us, do you not suppose they mean it?

They have promised that they will not take us by force, but by a quiet subversion and undermining will condition us so we may be taken without open aggression. Pink has crept into nearly every phase of education, communication, and entertainment.

Unfortunately today's "vogue" is to develop the pseudo-intellectual approach of the supposed realist and criticize any move to investigate or prosecute offenders (who are working for the communist cause). Let me cite for you an example which the Gadfly also discussed in its March 23 issue: on March 17 two films were shown in the Union auditorium.

One was "Operation Abolition" which I had been acquainted with previously. Spliced to and following "Operation Abolition" was a film called "Operation Correction." The former film was a product of the House Un-American Activities Committee (HUAC) and "Correction" was a result of the work of the Northern California branch of the American Civil Liberties Union.

"Operation Abolition" was simply a filming of some incidents which took place in May of 1960, in San Francisco during the hearings of HUAC. Its purpose was only to drive home two basic points: 1) Communist dupes (people who unknowingly are being used to further the Communist cause) do exist, and 2) Communists do utilize mob situations to further their goals.

"Operation Correction" called HUAC a liar, saying that facts had been distorted, the sequence of events was incorrect and that, in general, the film "Operation Abolition" was fallacious. "Operation Abolition", granted, lacks the Hollywood touch, but was not created to give a history of the San Francisco riots.

However, the audience at the films sympathized with "Operation Correction", agreeing that by devious methods HUAC had labeled

all present at the riots Communist dupes or sympathizers. The discussion following the two films took some interesting turns.

The general opinions (which I learned by asking for a show of hands) were well expressed by Michael Richter of the Gadfly. (Keep in mind here that HUAC was created in 1945 by the 79th Congress to investigate the activities of the Communist Party in the United States. It drew popularity immediately when former State Department official, Alger Hiss, was denounced as a communist spy by Whittaker Chambers. The famous case brought us to a realization that communism has its subversive fingers everywhere).

HUAC is no good — who are they to decide who is un-American?

Incidentally, the audience at the films was amused when I suggested our historical documents like the Declaration of Independence, Constitution, Bill of Rights, were perhaps general criteria for making such decisions. Everyone interprets these differently, they told me, so how can they be used as any sort of basis? Therefore, HUAC was dubbed unconstitutional since no committee has the right to decide who is un-American.

Michael Richter defines Americans as "either people born in this country or naturalized citizens." Mr. Richter also sees "no reason why a Communist (or a Fascist) should not be considered an American, nor any justification for a committee that investigates un-American activities, in this land of the brave and the free."

Remembering that HUAC is an organization born by our own Congress, something which we created and supposedly uphold, would you prefer to side with the opposition? Have we become so apathetic or blind that we will criticize and destroy the few attempts made to counteract our enemy's tactics? I hope not. We know that when the Communists say they will destroy us (and consider the percentage of the world already controlled) they indeed intend to do just that.

The Tide Has Receded

Dear Frank,

Now the verbal tide has receded; the four roaring sea lions have returned to the Deep after protesting an alien in their midst.

Rogers, Briner, Richter and Yates were wounded by a letter set to test them. The beatnik-type asides were scrutinized and the larger points missed. Their

answers indicated an attitude prevalent with many civil rights enthusiasts — namely a peculiar narrow-mindedness, a sense of values drowned in emotion.

I have registered Negro voters in North Carolina. I have always argued and practiced equal rights and I will march for a cause with practical application.

Apparently it is necessary that I broadcast my allegiance. As of last week, I am an inferred Bircher, a near-racist, a person who does not understand the Movement and I have a warped sense of values.

The commentary on campus civil rights advocates is complete.

H. Michael Rood

What Is His Purpose?

an economic profit?

The latter seems to be his purpose because the students certainly cannot benefit when the quality of the food remains the same and

the quantity decreases.

The Ag Union possesses some excellent cooks; perhaps if the decision were left to them the student would benefit.

A. C.

Who Is A Counselor?

tors or teachers or instructors to me—not counselors. Who, then, is a counselor?

Within the city of Lincoln, including the University community, I've been able to identify people who call themselves "program counselors," "coed counselors," "residence hall counselors," "college counselors," "guidance counselors," "legal counselors," "psychological counselors," "marriage counselors," "pastoral counselors," "junior division counselors," "family life counselors," "insurance counselors," "investment counselors," "real estate counselors," "mortgage loan counselors."—yes, even

"funeral counselors." And now "math counselors." And I'm sure my list is incomplete.

Who, then, is a counselor? Evidently anyone who is a bit diffident about defining his real functions feels he gains status (or hides his motives) by calling himself a counselor. If we just wait long enough, and the trend continues, the world will be made up of specialized sorts of counselors who make their social contributions by counseling everybody else.

Don't say I didn't warn you.

Clay Gerken, Director
University Counseling Service

Mancini 'Farce' Hurts

er often faded "completely" and the closing bars of the "Peter Gunn theme" were atrocious.

Not to mention a comedian who was on too long, who completely lacked talent, and who did not even have the courtesy to take a closing bow to the audience. I was not the only victim of this. I do not

want my \$6.50 back, because I imagine it has already been squeezed to death.

However, I do feel that the Student Union activities owes us an apology for not honestly presenting the attraction and an assurance that such practices will not continue!

T. E. J.

The Daily Nebraskan

Entered as second class matter at the post office in Lincoln, Nebraska, under the act of August 4, 1912. The Daily Nebraskan is published at Room 51, Nebraska Union, on Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday during the school year, except during vacation and final examination periods, and once during August. It is published by University of Nebraska students under the jurisdiction of the Faculty Subcommittee on Student Publications. Publications shall be free from censorship by the Subcommittee or any person outside the University. Members of the Nebraskan are responsible for what they cause to be printed.

Sign Thieves Warned

The recent arrest of two University students brought to light a commonly accepted breach of law practiced by many members of the University community.

The art of sign stealing, no matter how petty it may seem, is a crime deemed a misdemeanor or in some cases a felony.

In legal statutes, the term "prank" does not exist; this fact must be impressed on the potential "sign stealers". Not only does the sign thief, unfortunate enough to be arrested, receive a heavy fine or jail term, but a criminal record which must be borne the rest of the person's life. A man or woman, regardless of the amount of their education, may find it extremely difficult in obtaining the high level job which an unconvicted person might easily gain.

May we suggest that sign thieves think twice and consider the possible consequences involved. It's your future.

STUDENT TRIBUNAL

Does Any One Care?

Two weeks ago PanHellenic President "elect" Diane Michel contacted us, asking for a Daily Nebraskan reporter at PanHellenic meetings. Realizing that we had overlooked this area when planning our news coverage, we arranged to send a reporter to each Monday's PanHel meeting.

Monday, when our reporter attended her first meeting, she was informed that PanHel would meet in "executive session." This apparently means that no one save PanHel members are allowed to hear what goes on in parts of the meeting.

Other campus organizations have been known to call "executive sessions" on occasion, too, usually when they feel that they are discussing a problem that would "be better unprinted," such as discrimination, drinking, etc.

Realizing that anything we say personally about this problem—and we consider it a very grave problem—will immediately be construed by many narrow minds as an attack against the Greek system, we revisit an editorial by Gary Lacey (Delta Tau Delta) in the Daily Nebraskan of Dec. 6, 1963:

"At the Interfraternity Council meeting last night the Daily Nebraskan reporter and all unofficial observers were asked to leave. J. Winston Martin, associate dean of Student Affairs, spoke on the incident. When a reporter is asked to leave a meeting of people who represent us, every fraternity member is, in essence, being denied his right to know.

"I wonder where the blame lies.

"I wonder who cares.

"Not knowing, however, we can guess the fault does not lie with the students."

We, too are wondering who cares. Do the Greeks of this campus care enough about what goes on in their ruling bodies to protest this NEWS MANAGEMENT? We would like to know.

If not, we probably should apply for a job on the IFC and PanHel publicity committees, to be sure our reporting is done just the way they want it. ●FRANK PARTSCH



NOTICE!

We are opening
our stacks!

**WHOLESALE PRICES
FOR ONE WEEK ONLY**

March 29—April 3

50% OFF
on used books

30% OFF
on new books

**BE YOUR OWN
WHOLESALER**

Nebraska BOOK STORE
1135 R St. Lincoln 8, Nebr.

STRIPED AND STRIKING



Majestic's crisp new seersucker separates for the lively, lovely set really swing. Mix 'em or match 'em for an interesting, cool and comfortable wardrobe. Wear them through spring and into summer. Choose them from Gold's smashing collection. Just say, "Charge 'em!" They're awfully easy on the budget.

GOLD'S
BETTER SPORTWEAR... second floor